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## PREFACE.

Among the mythological legends of ancient Greece, which furnished material to the Attic dramatists for their poetical compositions, there was none of a more truly tragical character than that of the house of Labdakus, because none exhibited on a grander scale the vicissitude of human affairs, as the result of that conflict between individual freedom and a higher necessity, which constitutes the chief element of all tragedy among the ancients. Hence it is that the misfortunes of Laius and his descendants formed one of the favorite subjects for representation on the Athenian stage. All the great dramatists of whom we have any account handled the subject with more or less success, and some of the finest specimens of dramatic poetry which have come down to our times treat of the fate of the royal house of Thebes.

Whilst we possess, in the Antigone and the CEdipus Rex and Coloneus, three entire tragedies of Sophocles on the history of the Labdakidæ, there remain to us, with the exception of the "Seven against Thebes," only the names and
a few insignificant fragments of the various dramas which Æschylus composed on the same subject; viz. the Laius, CEdipus, Sphinx, and the Eleusinians. From the early date of the first performance of the "Septem" (B. C. 471), we may safely conclude that it formed part of a trilogy or tetralogy ; for, as it is well known that Sophocles was the first poet who departed from the custom of composing his dramas in trilogies, but did not exhibit his first play, the Triptolemus, until the year B. C. 468, Eschylus cannot have written detached plays previous to that period. Until lately, there was generally assigned to the "Septem" the second place in the tetralogy which our author wrote on materials drawn from the Cyclic Thebaid, the Eleusinians forming the concluding play; but according to an ancient סıסабкалia, or theatre-roll, which has been recently discovered, the "Septem" formed the third part of this tetralogy, the Laius and CEdipus being the first two, and the Sphinx the satiric drama. There are, however, serious objections to both these arrangements. If, according to Plutarch (in Thes. cap. 29), the Eleusinians represented the burial, through the mediation of Theseus, of the Argive chiefs who had fallen before Thebes, - the correctness of which statement the name of the play seems to corroborate, - its subject was altogether foreign to the misfortunes of the house of CEdipus, and had little or no connection with the "Septem" ; and if it contained an account of the fate of Antigone, for which the conclusion of the "Septem" evidently prepares the mind of the spectator, together with the burial of the Argive chiefs, its subject was far too extensive to be
comprehended in one play. On the other hand, it is equally difficult to believe the statement of the Didaskalia to be correct, because it assigns to the "Septem" the concluding part of the trilogy. A poet like Æschylus, however crude and irregular his plots may occasionally have been, could never have committed so egregious an error as to leave his audience entirely in the dark about the fate of Antigone, after having excited their deepest sympathy in behalf of the heroic maiden by stating her determined opposition to the decree of the Theban senate, and the awful doom which awaited her in case she should persist in her resolve of burying her outlawed brother. Nothing prevented him from concluding his drama with the funeral song over the slain bodies of the two brothers, and it is paying poor homage to the genius of Æschylus to believe him capable of having added to one of his sublimest conceptions an appendage, the utter uselessness and impropriety of which must be perceived by the most superficial observer. Æschylus himself is said to have been prouder of the "Seven against Thebes" than of any other of his works, and Aristophanes, a very acute critic, indorses the author's high opinion of his play, at least indirectly, by introducing him, in the Frogs (v. 1085), as priding himself on his work, without ridiculing him on account of these boasts; and could both have been insensible to a blunder which almost every school-boy in Athens might have pointed out to them? We are, therefore, compelled, in the face of the statement of the Didaskalia, (the genuineness of which is probably far from being firmly established,) to
believe that the "Septem" formed the second part of a trilogy, whatever the concluding play may have been.

Of the merits of the "Seven against Thebes" as a work of art, it is scarcely necessary to say any thing. The gorgeousness of the description of the warlike host encamped before the gates of Thebes, and of the preparations for defence within the walls of the Kadmea; the regal dignity and calm composure of young Eteocles, which no danger, however imminent, can disturb, and which, if compared with the passionate impetuosity of Polyneikes, forces the conviction irresistibly on our minds that the older brother alone was fit to rule, however defective his right to the throne may have been; the gentle timidity of the Chorus of Theban ladies, which so happily relieves the manly sternness of the Kadmean warriors ; the skilful contrast between the wild ferocity and daring impiety of the Argive chiefs, and the wise and manly caution of their Theban opponents, which assures us beforehand that the issue of the impending contest will be in favor of the besieged city, - are all so exquisitely beautiful, as to make the "Septem contra Thebas" one of the noblest remains of the literature of Greece. It breathes in almost every line those lofty sentiments of valor and patriotism which sustained our poet on the battle-field of Marathon, and which, with the progress of the glorious struggle of Hellas against the Persian invader, grew more and more intense in his breast, approving the remark of Gorgias the Sophist *

[^0]to be not more elegant than true, - that Mars himself inspired $\not$ Eschylus when he wrote the play.

In preparing the notes which accompany the present edition of the "Septem," I have been guided by the conviction, that nothing is more injurious to the cause of classical learning than that system of indiscriminate annotation and translation which leaves no room for the student's own exertions. Whilst I have therefore endeavored to explain every grammatical difficulty that presented itself, I have abstained from giving the translation of any passage which the student may, with a reasonable effort on his own part, understand without that aid. Whenever a suitable parallel passage, especially in the dramatists, occurred to me, I have quoted it, believing that an habitual careful comparison of similar passages in different authors is one of the easiest, and at the same time most interesting, roads to the attainment of a thorough knowledge of the classics. The text of this edition is that of W. Dindorf, as printed in the "Poetæ Scenici Græci," published at Oxford" in 1846. For obvious reasons I have left it unaltered, although in the notes I have occasionally given preference to the readings of other editors. The editions of Æschylus which I have used in preparing the commentary are:-

1. That of Thomas Stanley and Samuel Butler, in eight volumes. Cambridge, 1816.
2. That of Augustus Wellauer, published in 1823 at Leipzig, in four volumes.
3. Æschyli Septem contra Thebas, emendavit, etc. Car. Jacob. Blomfield. Lond. 1847. Edit. VI ${ }^{2}$.
4. The "Annotationes ad Æschyli Tragcedias" of W. Dindorf, issued from the Oxford press in 1841, in two volumes.

The grammars to which frequent reference has been made in the notes, are those of Matthiæ (translated by E. V. Blomfield, 5th edition, London, 1837), and Jelf's translation of Raphael Kühner's large Greek Grammar, 2d edition, Oxford, 1851.
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TATOX $\triangle$ PAMATO乏 ПРOइ $\Omega$ ПA．

ETEOK $A \mathrm{H} \Sigma$ ．
ATГEAOE KATAEKOHOE．
XOPOE ПAP日EN $\Omega$ ．
I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$ ．
ANTITONH．
KHPY采。

## $\Upsilon \Pi O \Theta E \Sigma I \Sigma$.







 є̇ע $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Gamma a \nu v \mu \eta ́ \delta \eta \nu ~ a ́ \rho \pi a ́ \sigma a s . ~ " O \pi \epsilon \rho ~ o ́ ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \lambda o \psi ~ \mu a \theta \grave{\omega \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~}$





Мі̀ $\sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ä̀


 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ о̀ $є$ єіто́vта





$\lambda a ß \omega ̀ \nu$ aủ



 татє́ $\rho a$ бov каì $\mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ ~ \sigma o v ~ \sigma v \nu є v \nu a \sigma \theta \eta ̂ \nu a l . ~ ' A к o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o u ̂ ~$












































 є́катоута́ти入оь．
 $\epsilon i s ~ \phi \rho o v \rho a ̀ \nu \tau \eta ̂ s \pi o ̂ \lambda \epsilon \omega$ ．

## $A \Lambda \Lambda \Omega \Sigma$ ．
























## EПTA EПI OHBA

## ETEOK $\boldsymbol{E} \mathrm{H}$.




 Ei $\delta^{\prime}$ aủ $\theta^{\prime}$, ̀̀ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ үध́vouto, $\sigma v \mu \phi o \rho a ̀ ~ \tau u ́ \chi o \iota, ~$ ' $E_{\tau \epsilon о \kappa \lambda \epsilon ' \eta s ~ a ̀ ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda u ̀ s ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~}^{\pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota \nu}$




 B $\lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu о \nu$ ả $\lambda \delta a i \not v o \nu \tau a ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau о \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \grave{\nu \nu, ~}$

 $B \omega \mu \hat{i} \sigma \iota, \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ ' $\xi a \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i ́ \pi о т \epsilon$.








 ムє́ $\gamma \in \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma і \sigma \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \circ \lambda \eta \nu_{\nu}^{\prime} A \chi a i ̂ \delta a$


${ }^{`} O \rho \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \sigma o \hat{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \grave{\nu} \pi$ тavтєv$\chi i ́ a$,
Плךройтє $Ө$ шракєіа, ка̉ті̀ бє́ $\lambda \mu a \sigma \iota$





Kaì т $\hat{\omega} \delta \delta^{\prime}$ ăкov́ $\alpha a s$ ov̂' $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega}$ סó̀ $\omega$.

## АГГЕムOع.

'Етєóклєєя, фє́ $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon K a \delta \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\prime} \nu a \xi$,




 "Ap $\tau^{\prime}$, 'Evv̀̀, каì фıлаípaтov Фóßov


 M $\nu \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ́ ~ \theta ' ~ a u ̛ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s ~$









 $X \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \kappa о \nu i \epsilon \epsilon, \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ a \delta^{\prime}$ à $\rho \gamma \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \bar{s}$ à $\phi \rho o ̀ s$





Кả $\bar{\omega}$ тà $\lambda о \iota \pi a ̀ ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi о \nu ~$



## ETEOK $\boldsymbol{C} \mathrm{H}$.

 'A 1 á $\tau^{\prime}$ ' $E \rho \iota \nu u ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma a \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta ̀ s$, 70
M ${ }^{\prime} \mu \circ \iota \pi о \lambda \iota \nu \quad \gamma \in \pi \rho \nu \mu \nu o ́ \theta \in \nu \pi a \nu \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$






xOPOE.
$\Theta_{\rho \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \iota ~ \phi o \beta \epsilon \rho a ̀ ~}^{\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda} \lambda^{\prime}$ ä $\chi \eta$,
MєӨєíтal $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu ~ \lambda \iota \pi \omega ̀ \nu$,

Ai $\theta \in \rho i ́ a ~ \kappa o ́ v ı s ~ \mu є ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota ~ \phi a \nu \epsilon i \sigma ', ~$

'E入єठє $\mu \nu$ às $\pi \epsilon \delta ь о \pi \lambda$ о́ктvто́s $\tau$ '

' $A \mu$ ахє́тои סíkал v̌סатоs ópoтútov.
'Ì̀ ì̀ $\theta \in o \grave{~ \theta \epsilon а i ́ ~ \tau ' ~ o ́ \rho o ́ \mu є \nu o \nu ~}$
Kакò̀ ả入єúбатє.




$\Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \hat{\alpha} \nu$;




$\Pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$


 $\gamma^{\hat{a} \nu}$;



110पov入oov́vas v̌rтep.
115
"Aреог òpónevov.120
Kıuv́povtal фóvod रàıvoí.पopvoóous $\sigma$ ázaus $\pi$ úxaus éß̧oónaus125
$\Pi_{\rho о \sigma і \sigma т а \nu \tau а ı ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \varphi ~}^{\text {дахо́vтея. }}$130
Поутонé̀өv ävaそ,135
 Kaì Kúтрцs, äтє $\begin{array}{r}\text { évous } \pi \rho о \mu a ́ t \omega \rho, ~\end{array}$ ..... 140
145




＂Отоßоע áp $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ á $\mu ф і$ то́ $\lambda \iota \nu \kappa \lambda v ́ \omega$.
${ }^{〔} \Omega \pi o ́ \tau \nu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} H \rho a$ ．



Tí $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ ä $\mu \mu \iota \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi є \iota, ~ \tau i ́ ~ \gamma є \nu \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota ;$


${ }^{5} \Omega \phi$＇$\lambda$＇＂$A \pi о \lambda \lambda о \nu$,




＇Ì̀ таva入кєîs $\theta$ єò，

Tâб $\delta \in \pi$ тирүофúдакєя，
Пó入ıv סорíтоעо⿱ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \delta \hat{\omega} \theta^{\prime}$


Xєıротóvovs $\lambda \iota \tau$ ás．
＇Ì фìдoı $\delta a i \mu o \nu \in s$


$M_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu i \omega \nu$ ，

 Миท̆бторєs еै $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu о \iota$.

## ETEOK $\boldsymbol{N} \mathrm{H}$.





$A \nu \in \epsilon \nu, \lambda а \kappa a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu \mu \iota \sigma \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau a ;$
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 $T{ }^{3}{ }^{\prime} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \cdot{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \delta o \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\sigma a \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \epsilon$.


## XOPOE.







## ETEOK $\boldsymbol{C H}$.


$\Pi \rho u ́ \mu \nu \eta \theta \in \nu$ єن̀ $\rho \in \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta ̀ \nu$ б $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s$,


> xOPO』.
 Хaîa ßрє́т $\eta$, $\theta \in o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi i ́ \sigma v \nu o s, ~ \nu \iota \phi a ́ \delta o s ~$
 $\Delta \grave{\eta} \tau o ́ \tau ’ ~ \eta ้ \rho \theta \eta \nu$ фóß $\beta$ т $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu а к а ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \lambda \iota \tau a ̀ s, ~$


ETEOK $\Lambda$ H $\Sigma$.
 xOPOE.

ETEOK $\triangle$ H $\Sigma$.
'A $\lambda \lambda$ ' oủv $\theta$ قoùs

xOPO




ETEOK $\Lambda \mathbf{H}$.




## XOPOE.



Полла́кь $\delta^{\prime}$ є́v какоі̂б८ тòv ả $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \chi a \nu о \nu$
 K $\rho \eta \mu \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu a ̂ \nu \nu \in \phi \in \lambda a \hat{a} \nu$ ó $\rho \theta 0 \hat{\iota}$.

$$
\text { ETEOK } \Lambda \mathrm{H} \Sigma \text {. }
$$


$\Theta \epsilon 0 і \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho \delta \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$.

XOPOE.
$\Delta i a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \nu \epsilon \mu o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ áoá $\mu a \tau o \nu$ ，
 Tís тáóe $\nu$ е́ $\mu \in \sigma \iota \varsigma \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ；

## ETEOKAH乏．




XOPOE.

Потаívıov кдv́ovба тátayov ảvá $\mu i \gamma a$

Típıov є́ठоs，iкó $\mu а \nu$.

## ETEOKAH乏．




XOPOE．


## ETEOKAH乏．


XOPOE.


ETEOKAHE．


$$
\text { XOPO } \Sigma
$$


ETEOK $\Lambda \mathrm{H}$ ．

XOPOE.
${ }^{3} \Omega \xi v \nu \tau \epsilon ่ \lambda \epsilon \iota a, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \delta \hat{\omega} s{ }^{3} \pi \nu \rho \gamma \omega ́ \mu a \tau a$.
ETEOK $\triangle \mathrm{H}$ ．


$$
\text { XOPO } \Sigma
$$


ETEOK $\boldsymbol{E} \mathrm{H}$ ．

XOPO乏.

ETEOK E H E ．

XOPOE.

ETEOK $\Lambda \mathrm{H} \Sigma$ ．

XOPO乏.

ETEOK E H E ．

XOPO $\Sigma$ ．

ETEOK $\Lambda \mathrm{H} \Sigma$ ．


## XOPOE.

$\Sigma_{\imath} \iota \gamma \hat{\omega} \cdot \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu o ́ \rho \sigma \iota \mu о \nu$. ETEOK $\boldsymbol{C H} \mathrm{E}$.
 Kaì тро́s $\gamma \epsilon$ тои́тоьs, еєкті̀s ои̉ $\sigma^{\prime}$ ả $\gamma a \lambda \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,


 ‘$E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu \nu о ́ \mu \iota \sigma \mu a ~ \theta v \sigma \tau a ́ \delta o s ~ \beta o \eta ̂ s, ~$ ఆápбos фíخoıs, $\lambda$ v́ov $\sigma a$ mo $\bar{\mu} \mu \iota o \nu$ фóßov.


 $E_{v}{ }^{3} \xi v \nu \tau v \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i ̆ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \varsigma$,
 275
 $\Theta \eta ं \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тротаîa, тодє $i^{\prime} \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є’ $\sigma \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$,



Ov̉ $\gamma$ áp тı $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ фú $\eta_{t}$ s тò $\mu o ́ \rho \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$.





XOPOE.

Mє́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota, \phi_{o}^{\prime} \beta \omega \delta^{\prime}$ ov̀ $\chi$ vim $\omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ a \rho$. Tєі́то⿱єєs òє кароías

Tòv áa $\mu \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \omega े \nu$,


Пádтрофоs $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a ́ s$.

Паvঠпнє̀̀ таvoнı入є̀

Tò̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ ध́ $\pi^{\prime}$ ả $\mu \phi \iota \beta o ́ \lambda о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$
'Іа́ттоибь то入ітаıя
Xєр а́̃́' óкрьóєббау. 300

$\Theta \epsilon o \grave{,} \pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ каì $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ \nu$
$K a \delta \mu о \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \rho \hat{\rho} \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

Tâ $\sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\rho \in \iota o v, ~ \epsilon ̇ \chi \theta \rho o i ̂ s$
'Aфévтes тà $\beta$ ßäúx $\theta o \nu$ ' aîav,
${ }^{\prime \prime} \Upsilon \delta \omega \rho \tau \in \Delta \iota \rho к а \hat{\imath} о \nu є \dot{\jmath}$ -
трафє́бтатор $\pi \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

Tच $\theta$ vios $\tau \in \pi$ таîठєs.
Про̀s тád', ङ $\pi 0 \lambda \iota o u ̂ \chi o \iota$

$\Pi \nu ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ à $\nu \delta \rho o \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu$



Kaì $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ р $\rho \tau \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in s$
Ev̌єठ $\rho o i ́ ~ \tau \in \sigma \tau a ́ \theta \eta \tau^{\prime}$
 ..... 320

＇Aî́ó $\pi \rho o i ̈ a ́ \psi a \iota, ~ \delta o \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \gamma \rho a \nu, ~$$\triangle o v \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \psi a \phi a \rho a ̂ ~ \sigma \pi o \delta \hat{\omega}$${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \pi$＇ả $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s$＇$A \chi a \iota o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$$\Pi \epsilon \rho \theta о \mu \epsilon ́ v a \nu$ áтíر $\omega \varsigma$ ，325
Tàs $\delta є є \kappa є \chi є \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s$ à $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，
＂$E$ €̂，véas $\tau \epsilon \kappa а \grave{\iota} \pi а \lambda a \iota a ̀ s$
${ }^{`} I \pi \pi \eta \delta o ̀ \nu \pi \lambda о \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ，$\Pi є \rho \iota \rho \rho \eta \gamma \nu v \mu$ év$\omega \nu$ фарє́ $\omega \nu$ ．330
ムaîoos ò ò $\lambda v \mu$＇́vas $\mu \iota \xi o \theta$ рóov．
Baрєías тоє тú $\chi a s ~ \pi \rho о т а \rho \beta \hat{\omega}$ ．
K入avтò̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\rho \tau \iota \tau \rho o ́ t o \iota s ~ \omega ̀ \mu о \delta \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu ~$335
$T_{\iota}^{\prime} ; ~ \tau \grave{o} \nu \phi \theta^{\prime} \mu \in \nu o \nu \gamma a ̀ \rho \pi \rho o \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$
Bé $\lambda \tau \epsilon \rho a \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta є \pi ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．
Под入à $\gamma$ à $\rho$ єủтє $\pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \delta a \mu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ ，
＂$E$ ề，$\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \hat{\eta} \tau є \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$.＂$A \lambda \lambda$ os $\delta$＇ä $\lambda \lambda \lambda o \nu$ aै $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ ，340Фоעєv́єє，$\tau \grave{a} \delta_{\epsilon} \kappa a \grave{~} \pi v \rho \phi о \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ．$K a \pi \nu \hat{\varphi}$ रраі̀єєaı $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \mu^{\prime}$ ä $\pi a \nu$.Maıvó $\mu \in \nu o s \delta^{\prime}$＇є̇ $\pi \iota \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda$ дao $\delta a ́ \mu a s$

345
Поті̀ $\pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \delta ' ~ о р к а ́ \nu а ~ \pi v р \gamma \hat{\omega} \tau \iota \varsigma$.
B入aхaì ${ }^{\prime}$ aí ${ }^{\prime}$ ато́є $\sigma \sigma a \iota ~$Tف̂̀ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu a \sigma \tau i \delta i ́ \omega \nu$


包 $\nu \mu \beta$ длєî фє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ фє́ $\rho о \nu \tau \iota$,
Kaì кєขòs кє̀ò калєî,



Паутобато̀s $\delta \grave{є}$ карто̀s

$\Pi \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ ' ~ ' \mu \mu а ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \theta a \lambda а \mu \eta \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \nu . ~$
Полдà ס' ảкрито́фиртоs
Tâs סóols oủtıסavoîs
'Ev potiors фореітаl.


'Avóoos єủtuरov̂vtos, ต́s . 365
$\Delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \hat{\mathrm{~s}} \mathrm{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \in \rho \circ \mathrm{o}$.



## hmixopion.





## HMIXOPION.





$$
\text { АГГE } \triangle O \Sigma
$$





 $T v \delta \epsilon \grave{s} \delta_{\epsilon} \mu a \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ̀ \mu a ́ \chi \eta s \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$

 इaìveıv $\mu o ́ \rho o \nu ~ \tau є ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ a ̀ \psi u \chi i ́ a . ~ . ~$









 "Oбтıs ßò̀v $\sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma o s$ ó $\rho \mu a i ̀ \nu \in \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. Tì’’ ả $\nu \tau \iota \tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon ; ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \Pi \rho o i ́ \tau o v ~ \pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ 395


## ETEOKAHE.





 Tá $\chi$ ’ à̀ ү́évouтo $\mu$ ávтıs $\eta^{\prime} \nu \nu 0$ oía тıví.





Tóv $\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \tau a ́ \xi \omega ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \pi v \lambda \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,

Tı $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \kappa \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau v \gamma o \hat{v} \nu \theta^{\prime}$ vimé $\rho \phi \rho o \nu a s \lambda^{\prime}$ óyovs.






XOPO乏.
Tò̀ ả aóv $\nu v \nu$ ảvтíta

 фó $\rho o v s$ нópovs $\dot{v} \pi \grave{e} \rho$ фí $\lambda \omega \nu$


## АГГEAOE.



Tíyas ó ó' ä $\lambda \lambda$ os tô $\pi a ́ \rho o s ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu$ évou

Пúp




Мєб $п \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu о і ̈ \sigma \iota ~ \theta a ́ \lambda \pi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma и ̆ к а \sigma \epsilon \nu . ~$
 $\Phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \delta_{\grave{c}} \lambda a \mu \pi a ̀ s \delta_{i a ̀} \chi \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.


$T i \varsigma$ äv $\delta \rho a$ ко $\mu \pi a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau а ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma a \varsigma ~ \mu \in \nu \varepsilon \hat{\imath}$;

## ETEOK $\boldsymbol{E} \mathrm{H}$.




Kaтavє̀̀s $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \rho a ̂ \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \varsigma$,

Xapâa $\mu a \tau a i ́ a ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ \omega ̈ \nu \nu ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ o u ̉ p a \nu o ̀ \nu ~$
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Aї $\theta \omega \nu$ т єє́тактаı $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$, Подифо́ขтои ßía,
Фєрє́ $\gamma \gamma v o \nu$ ф $о$ óp $\eta \mu a$, тробтатпрías
'A $A$ т́́ $\mu \iota \delta o s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \nu o i ́ a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v ́ \nu ~ \tau ' ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \theta \epsilon o i s . ~$

XOPOE.



' $E \delta \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$ и $\boldsymbol{\pi \epsilon \rho к о ́ т ~} \omega$
$\Delta о \rho i ́ \pi о \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda a \pi a ́ \xi a \iota$.
ATTEAOE.




"I ITтovs $\delta^{\prime}$ ẻ̀ ả $\mu \pi v \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma \iota \nu$ é $\mu \beta \rho \iota \mu \omega \mu$ évas
$\Delta \iota \nu \varepsilon \hat{l}, \theta \in \lambda o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \pi e ́ v a l . ~$

Миктпроко́ $\mu \pi о \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \nu є$ е́ $\mu а \sigma \iota \nu ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho о и ́ \mu є \nu о \iota . ~$








## ETEOKAHE.




"Os ои้ть $\mu a ́ p \gamma \omega \nu ~ і т \pi \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu ~ ф р v а \gamma \mu a ́ т \omega \nu ~$



${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \lambda a \phi$ и́роıs $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu a$ коб $\mu \eta$ ' $\sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \pi а т \rho o ́ s . ~$


## XOPO乏.


$\Pi \rho o ́ \mu a \chi$ ' $\epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$, $\tau о \stackrel{i \sigma \iota}{ } \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \epsilon i \nu$.

Maıvoú́va фрєvì, т'́s vı
$Z$ ธ̀̀s $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \rho$ є́ $\pi i ́ \delta o \iota ~ к о т а і ́ \nu \omega \nu . ~ 485 ~$

## АГГEAOE.

Tє́тартоя ä $\lambda \lambda$ оя, үєітораs $\pi u ́ \lambda a s$ еै $\chi \omega \nu$ "Оүкая 'AӨávas, §̊̀v ßon̂ тарíбтатає,




 Tvф $\omega \nu$ ’ í́ $\nu \tau a \pi \nu \rho \pi \nu o ́ o \nu ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a ~$
 "Oфє由ע סє̀ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau а ́ \nu a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \delta \rho о \mu о \nu ~ к и ́ т о \varsigma ~$
Пробךба́фьттає коьлоүа́бтороя ки́клоv.

 'Toıô̂ठє фштòs $\pi \epsilon \imath ̂ \rho a \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ ~ \phi u \lambda a \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ Q \nu . ~$ Фóßоs $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota s ~ к о \mu \pi a ́ \zeta є \tau а и . ~$

ETEOK $\Lambda \boldsymbol{H}$.

 $E_{\iota \rho}^{\prime \prime} \xi_{\epsilon \iota} \nu \in о \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ف̀s $\delta р а ́ к о \nu \tau а ~ \delta и ́ \sigma \chi \iota \mu о \nu$.


' $E \xi \iota \sigma \tau о \rho \eta \hat{\sigma a \iota ~ \mu o i ̂ \rho a \nu, ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \chi р є i ́ a ~ \tau u ́ \chi \eta ร, ~}$







Koüт $\omega$ тıs єîठє $Z \hat{\eta} \nu a ́ ~ \pi o v ~ \nu \iota \kappa \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu . ~$
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xopos.



$\triangle$ ароßioьгı $\theta$ єoíбı,
$\Pi_{\rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon ~}^{\pi v \lambda a ̂ \nu} \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a ̀ \nu$ lá ${ }^{\prime} \psi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
А ГГЕ CO .

$\Pi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \pi \tau a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ Boppaiaıs $\pi u ́ \lambda a \iota s$,
Túmßov кат' aùtò $\delta$ ообєvoûs ' $A \mu$ фíovos.


${ }^{3} H \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda a \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ aै $\sigma \tau v$ Ka $\alpha \mu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ ßía

В $\lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu а$ кал入ím $\rho \omega \rho о \nu$, ảv $\nu \rho o ́ \pi \pi a \iota s ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ́ p . ~$
$\Sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}$ '้ov

' $O \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\dot{\omega} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$, ov̀ $\tau \iota \pi a \rho \theta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \stackrel{\text { ć }}{ } \pi \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu o \nu$,



इ ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \iota, \kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\varrho}$ б $\omega^{\prime} \mu a \tau о \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \beta \lambda \eta \prime \mu a \tau \iota$,










ETEOK $\Lambda \boldsymbol{H} \Sigma$.






"Os ơ้к ’’á $\sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \nu$ є’ $\rho \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ aैтє


 " $H$ ' $\xi \omega \theta \in \nu$ є ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \phi^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \iota \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \psi \in \tau a \iota$,
XOPO乏.






> АГГЕムO乏.



 Tòv ảvठ $\rho \circ \phi o ́ \nu \tau \eta \nu, \tau o ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau а р а ́ к т о \rho a, ~$



 'E $E v \pi \tau \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ oै $\nu о \mu a, ~ \Pi о \lambda v \nu \in i ́ k o v s ~ \beta i ́ a \nu, ~$














Ỏ̉ $\gamma$ àp סокєì ăpıгтоя, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ єîval $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon t$,

 Toúte бофоús $\tau \epsilon \kappa a ̉ \gamma a \theta o u ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \tau a s ~$
$\Pi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ध́ $\pi a \iota \nu \hat{\omega} . \quad \Delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ o ̂ s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \epsilon . ~$

## ETEOKAHE．













Oútos $\delta^{\prime}$ ò $\mu$ ávtıs，viò Oiк O $\lambda$ éovs $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ ，




 Док⿳⺈ $\mu$ ѝv oủv $\sigma \phi \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota, ~$





${ }^{\circ} E \chi \not{ }^{\circ} \rho{ }^{\prime} \xi \in \nu=\nu \pi \nu \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ \nu$ à $\nu \tau \iota \tau a ́ \xi о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，
$\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ тò v voûv, ба́рка $\delta^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \phi^{\prime} \rho є є$,

Пap’ ả $\sigma \pi i ́ \delta o s ~ \gamma v \mu \nu \omega \theta$ Є̀v áp $\pi a ́ \sigma a \iota ~ \delta o ́ \rho v . ~$


## XOPOE.

Kגúovtes $\theta$ єoì סuкaious $\lambda$ ıtàs





## АГГЕムOE.


 Oïas àpâtal каì катєú $\chi \in \tau a \iota ~ \tau u ́ \chi a s . ~$





Toıaût' àüтєî kaì $\theta \epsilon o \grave{s} \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda i ́ o v s$



$\Delta l \pi \lambda \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu a \quad \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \mu \in \in \nu o \nu$.







 $M_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \psi \epsilon \iota, \sigma \nu ̀ \delta^{\prime}$ aủròs $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta \iota \nu$ vavк $\lambda \rho \epsilon \in \hat{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.

$$
\text { ETEOK } \triangle \mathrm{H} \Sigma \text {. }
$$


 " $\Omega \mu o \iota, \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ảpaì $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi^{\prime} \rho o l$.







 ' $A \lambda \lambda$ ' ойтє $\nu \iota \nu$ фи才о́vта $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ бко́тоу,



 Oî $\mu a i ́ \nu \nu \nu$ aùtê $\nu \hat{v} \nu \pi a \rho a \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon ' \lambda a s . ~$






K $\nu \eta \mu i ̂ \delta a \varsigma$, $a i \chi \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ каì $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho о \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$.

## XOPOE．








## ETEOKAHE．





## XOPOE．




ETEOKAHE．



xOPOE.

$\nu \epsilon \iota \pi \iota \kappa \rho о ́ к а \rho \pi о \nu$ ả̀ $\delta \rho о к т а \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$
$A_{i \mu}^{\prime \prime}$ атоs oú $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau o u ̂$.

## ETEOKAH乏．




XOPOE.
＇A入入à $\sigma u ̀ \mu \eta$＇тотри́vov．Како̀s ои кєклク＇－

 Өєoì $\theta v \sigma i a \nu ~ \delta e ́ \chi \chi \nu \tau a l$.

ETEOKAHE.



XOPOE.


 $\Pi_{\nu \in \cup ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ \cdot ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \iota \zeta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.

$$
\text { ETEOK } \Lambda \mathrm{H} \Sigma \text {. }
$$



"O
xOPOE.


## ETEOK $\Lambda \mathrm{H} \Sigma$ 。


xOPOE.


$$
\text { ETEOK } \Lambda \mathrm{H} \Sigma \text {. }
$$


XOPOE.


## ETEOK $\Lambda \boldsymbol{H}$.


xOPOE.
'A $\lambda \lambda$ ' aủ $a ́ \delta \in \lambda \phi o \nu$ aî $\mu a \quad \delta \rho \in ́ \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;$

## ETEOK $\triangle$ HE.


XOPOE.


 $\pi o ́ \delta a$.





$$
\chi \in \iota \nu,
$$

$T \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \omega \nu$ á $\mu \circ i ́ \rho o v s$.
'Eтєıঠà̀ aủтокто́vшs

Kai $\chi$ Өovía ко́vıs тín
Mєлантаүє̀s aî $\mu a$ фоívıov,
T'́s à $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ каӨариоùs то́рои,

Пóvo८ סó $\mu \omega \nu$ עє́oь та入a८-
oîбı $\sigma v \mu \mu \iota \gamma \epsilon i \hat{s}$ какоі̂s.

Парßабíà ळ́ки́тоидоу. Aî̀va $\delta^{\prime}$ є́s трі́тоע $\mu$ є́vєє.
'Aтó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ оs єย̉тє $\Lambda$ áio
Bía, трìs єiто́ขтоя є̀ $\nu$
Мєбонфа́доıs $\Pi v \theta \iota к о і ̂ \varsigma ~$
 $\nu a s$ äтєр $\sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \zeta \iota \iota \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
 ..... 750

Патрокто́vò Oiઠ九тódav，
＂Oбтє $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho$ òs á $\gamma \nu a ̀ \nu$
$\Sigma \pi \varepsilon i ́ \rho a s$ äpoupav，ìv’ é $\tau \rho a ́ \phi \eta$ ，
755
${ }^{7}$ Eт ${ }^{2}$ a．Парávola $\sigma v \nu a ̂ \gamma \epsilon$

Tò $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi i ́ \tau \nu o \nu, \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o \delta^{\prime}$ ảєí $\rho \in \iota$
T $\rho$ í $\chi a \lambda о \nu$, ò каѝ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath ̀ ~} \pi \rho u ́-$760
$\mu \nu a \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma \kappa a \chi \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon$ ．

Tєívєı $\pi u ́ \rho \gamma o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \epsilon ข ้ \rho \epsilon \iota . ~$

M̀̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \delta a \mu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ ． ..... 765

Bарєíaь катал入аүаі̀，

Про́трицда $\delta^{\prime}$ єُкßо入à̀ фє́ $\rho є \iota$
${ }^{\prime} A \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \lambda \phi \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ..... 770


Өєоі̀ каі̀ छ̀vยє́ $\sigma \tau \iota o \iota$
Пó入єos ó то入úßoтós т’ aī̀ $\beta \rho о \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，775
＇Avapтa乡ávסрav

＇Етєì ${ }^{\prime}$＇à $\rho \tau і \phi \rho \omega \nu$

$\Gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu, \epsilon \in \pi^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \delta v \sigma \phi \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$

पíठvца ка́к’ є́тє $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
Патрофо́ $\underset{\iota}{\omega} \chi є \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

T'єкขоья $\delta^{\prime}$ ápaías
' $Е \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa є \nu$ єंтько́тоvs трофа̀s,
Aiaî, тıкроу $\lambda \omega$ ббоия ápàs,
Kaí $\sigma \phi є \sigma \iota \delta а \rho о \nu о ́ \mu \omega$
Día $\chi \in \rho i ̀ ~ \pi о т є ̀ ~ \lambda a \chi є i ̂ \nu ~$
Кт ${ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau a \cdot \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \tau \rho^{\prime} \in \omega$,


$$
А Г \Gamma E \Lambda O \Sigma
$$

Өapбєitce, тaî̀єs $\mu \eta \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \rho a \mu \mu \in ́ v a l$.

$\Pi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ỏ $\beta \rho^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ контáб $\mu a \tau a \cdot$







Kpaìvov $\pi a \lambda a i a ̀ s ~ A a i ̂ o v ~ \delta v \sigma \beta o u \lambda i a s . ~$
XOPOE.

Tí $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\sigma \tau \iota ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma o s ~ \nu \epsilon o ́ к о т о \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda є \iota ~ \pi a \rho o ́ v ; ~$

$$
\text { АГГЕ } \triangle \text { O乏. }
$$

" $A \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ є’ $\kappa \chi \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ аủтокто́v $\omega \nu$.

## XOPOE．

Tìves ；тí $\delta^{\prime}$ єîmas ；тараф $о \nu \hat{\omega}$ фó $\beta \omega$ 入ó $\gamma o v$. AГ「EAO.

XOPOE．


## АГГЕムO乏．

OỦ $\delta^{\prime}$ a’ $\mu \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa а \tau \epsilon \sigma \pi о \delta \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \iota$.
XOPOE．

AT「EAOE．

XOPOE．
Oӥ́т

## ATIEAOE．

 Tо८аи̂та $\chi a i ́ \rho є \iota \nu ~ к а і ̀ ~ \delta а к р и ́ є \sigma ө а \iota ~ \pi а ́ \rho a . ~$ Пó $\lambda \iota \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \mathcal{\jmath} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o v \sigma a \nu$ ，oi $\delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \tau a \iota$ ， $\Delta \iota \sigma \sigma \grave{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \grave{\omega}, \delta \iota \in \lambda a \chi o \nu \sigma \phi \nu \rho \eta \lambda a ́ \tau \varphi$






XOPOE．
${ }^{\text {T }} \Omega \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \epsilon Z_{\epsilon}$ v̂ каì то入ıov̂ $\chi о \iota$ $\Delta a i ́ \mu o \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ ，oi $\delta \grave{\eta} K a ́ \delta \mu o v ~ \pi u ́ p \gamma o v s ~$ Toú $\sigma \delta \epsilon$ ¢́vє $\epsilon \theta \epsilon$ ．

$\Pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s \dot{a} \sigma \iota \nu \varepsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota$,
"H тoùs $\mu$ обєроѝs каі̀ $\delta \cup \sigma \delta a i ́ \mu о \nu а я ~$

Oì $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \kappa \kappa \tau^{\prime}$ é $\pi \omega \nu \nu \mu i a \nu$
Kaì тo入vขeルкeîs

${ }^{9} \Omega \mu \epsilon$ ' $\Omega a \imath \nu a \kappa$ каі̀ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a$

Како́ข $\mu є к а р \delta і ́ a \nu ~ т \iota ~ \pi є \rho \iota \pi i ́ т \nu є \iota ~ к р v ́ o s . ~$

@viàs, аінатобтаүкîs
Nєкроѝs кдv́ovба $\delta \nu \sigma \mu o ́ \rho \omega s$

סe $\xi v \nu a v \lambda i ́ a ~ \delta o p o ́ s . ~$
${ }^{\prime} E \xi \in \pi \rho a \xi \in \nu$, oư $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\pi \epsilon і \pi \epsilon$
Патро́Өєь єن̉ктаía фа́тьs.




$\gamma^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \cdot{ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta \in \delta^{\prime}$ ai-
акта̀ ж $\eta_{\mu} \mu \tau^{\prime}$ oủ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$.

$\Delta \iota \pi \lambda a i ̂ ~ \mu \not ́ \rho \iota \iota \mu \nu a l, ~ \delta ı \delta u \mu a ́ v o \rho a ~$
Ка́к’ aùтофо́va, סíцоьра
$T \epsilon_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon \pi a ́ \theta \eta$. Tí ф̂̀ ;
Tí $\delta^{\prime}$ aै $\lambda \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ v o \iota \pi o ́ v \omega \nu$,


 ..... 855

Tà̀ äбтоขоу, $\mu є \lambda а ́ \gamma к р о к о \nu ~$

Tà $\nu \dot{a} \sigma \tau \iota \beta \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \iota, \tau a ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \lambda \iota o \nu$,
860

$\Theta_{\rho \eta \hat{\nu} \nu \nu}$ á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ i ̂ \nu \cdot$ ov̉к ả $\mu \phi \iota \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma$

865


' $1 a \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ " $A \iota \delta a \tau^{\prime}$ ''E $\chi \theta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \iota a ̂ \nu ' ~ \epsilon ่ ~ \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \pi \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$'Ì̀ $\delta v \sigma a \delta \in \lambda$ фóтатає $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\pi o ́ \sigma a \iota$870
इтро́фоע є́ $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$,K $\lambda a i ́ \omega, \sigma \tau \in ́ \nu o \mu a \iota, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta o ́ \lambda o s ~ o v i \delta \epsilon i \varsigma$$M \grave{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \kappa \phi \rho \in \nu \grave{o}$ ó ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \mu \in \lambda \iota \gamma a i ́ \nu \in \iota \nu$.
HMIXOPION.
'Ì̀ ì̀ $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \phi \rho o \nu \in s$,
$\Phi i ̀ \lambda \omega \nu$ ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \iota \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa а к \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảт $\rho \tilde{\mu} \mu о \nu \epsilon \varsigma$, ..... 875
Пaтрผ́ovs $\delta o ́ \mu o v s ~ є ́ \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon о \iota ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \kappa a ̂ . ~ . ~$
HMIXOPION.
Mé $\lambda \epsilon \circ \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \theta^{\prime}$ ö̀ $\mu \epsilon \lambda$ є́ous $\theta a \nu a ́ t o v s ~$ HMIXOPION.
'Ì ${ }^{\prime} \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ..... 880



## HMIXOPION.

 По́ти' ' $E \rho \iota \nu \grave{\varsigma}$ є́ єтє́краує.

HMIXOPION.

$T \epsilon \tau \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0<\delta \hat{\eta} \theta^{\prime}$, ó $\mu о-$
$\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

*     *         * 

Aiaî סaı $\mu$ óvıoı,
Aiâî $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \tau \iota \phi^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$

* Өavát $\omega \nu$ ảpaí.

HMIXOPION.

$\Sigma^{\Sigma}{ }^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda a \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu o u s$,
'Avavסáтш $\mu$ '́veє



## HMIXOPION.




Kтéàá $\tau^{\prime}$ є̀ $\pi \iota$ го́voıs,
$\Delta i$ ìv aivouóposs,

Kaì Өavátov тє́خos.
HMIXOPION.


$\Delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a \kappa t \hat{\eta} \rho \iota \delta^{\prime}$ oưк
'A $A \epsilon \mu$ фía фíдoıs,
 ..... 910
HMIXOPION.

Táф $\omega \nu \pi a \tau \rho \oplus \varphi^{\omega} \omega \nu \lambda a \chi a i$.
HMIXOPION.
 ..... 915

עòs, ä
K $\lambda a \iota o \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \mu o v ~ \mu \iota \nu v ́ \theta \epsilon \iota ~ т о i ̂ \nu \delta є ~ \delta v o i ̂ ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ к т о \iota \nu . ~$ ..... 920
HMIXOPION.
925
ПoдvфӨópovs év $\delta a i l$.
HMIXOPION.


$\delta^{\prime} \omega \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́-$930

HMIXOPION.
Dıatonaîs oủ фínaıs,935


## Nєíкєоs ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \in \lambda \epsilon v \tau a$.

## HMIXOPION.

 Zóa фогори́тч
 940
Пıкро̀s дขтѝ $\nu \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$


Kaкòs $\delta a \tau \eta \tau a ̀ s ~ * A \rho \eta s$ 945

HMIXOPION.

$\Delta \iota \sigma \delta o ́ \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \prime \omega \nu$.
${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \pi o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ \gamma \hat{a} s$
Плоиิтоs aैßuббоs $\begin{gathered}\text { eैбтац. }\end{gathered}$
HMIXOPION.

Móvouซí $\gamma \in$ סó $\mu$ ovs.
Tє $\lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{a} \delta^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} \delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi \eta \lambda a ́ \lambda a \xi a \nu$
'Apaì тòv ógùv vó $\mu o \nu$,


'Ev aîs є̀ $\theta$ єìvovto, каì
$\Delta \nu о i ̂ \nu \kappa \rho a т \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varsigma{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \xi \in \delta a^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$.
ANTITONH.


## I $\Sigma$ MHNH.

$\Sigma^{\prime} \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ e’ $\theta a \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ к а т а к т а \nu \omega ́ \nu . ~$
ANTITONH.
$\Delta о \rho i \delta^{\prime}$ єैкадєя.

## I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$.

पopì $\delta^{\prime}$ eै $\theta a v e s$.
ANTITONH.
Mєлєо́тоуоя.
I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$.
$M \in \lambda \epsilon o \pi a \theta \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
ANTITONH.

I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$.
"Iт ${ }^{2}$ 耳óos.
ANTITONH.
Прокєі'бєтаи катакта́s.

I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$.
Eעtòs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ кар $\delta i ́ a ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota . ~$
ANTITONH.
'Ì ì $\grave{\omega} \pi a ́ v \delta \nu \rho \tau \epsilon \sigma u ́$.
I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$.
$\Sigma_{i}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ av̉тє каı̀ $\pi a v a ́ \theta \lambda \iota \epsilon$.
ANTITONH.
Прòs фí̀ov eै $\phi \theta \iota \sigma$.
I $\Sigma$ MHNH.
Kaì фí入ov e้ктаขєя.
ANTITONH.
$\Delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a} \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$.
$\Delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a} \delta^{\prime} \dot{o} \rho \hat{a} \nu$.
Antitonh.


I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$.

XOPO乏．
＇Ì̀ $\mu \circ i ̂ \rho a ~ \beta a \rho v \delta o ́ т \epsilon \iota \rho a ~ \mu о \gamma \in \rho a ̀, ~$,
По́тьıá т＇Oiōítov бкıà，
 I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$ ．


ANTITONH．

I $\Sigma$ MHNH．
$\Sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \grave{\iota} \delta_{\grave{\epsilon}} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \omega^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．
ANTITONH．
＇A $A \omega \bar{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$.
I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$ ．


## ANTITONH．

Tá入à $\begin{array}{r}\text { ćvos．}\end{array}$
I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$ ．
Tá̀ $a v a$ тa日óv．


ANTITONH．
पívүра трıта́ $\tau \tau \nu \pi \eta \mu a ́ т \omega \nu$.
I $\Sigma$ MHNH．
＇O入oà $\lambda \epsilon$＇$\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
ANTITONH．
＇O入oà $\delta$＇ópâ $\nu$ ．
XOPOE．


Пóтขıá т＇Oisíтov бкıà，


ANTITONH．

I $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ M H N H．

ANTITONH．

I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$ ．

ANTITONH．
＇O入oà $\lambda$＇́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
I $\Sigma$ MHNH．
＇O入oà $\delta$＇ópâ $\nu$.
ANTITONH．
＇İ̀ тóvos．
I $\Sigma$ MHNH．
＇Ì какà

ANTITONH．
＇Ì ì̀，каі̀ тро́бн $\gamma$＇$\epsilon \mu о$＇．
I $\Sigma$ MHNH．


ANTITONH．
＇İ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi о \lambda v \sigma \tau о \nu \omega ́ \tau a \tau o \iota . ~$
I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$ ．

ANTITONH．
＇Ì̀ ì̀，$\pi o \hat{v} \sigma \phi \in \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \chi$ $\chi o \nu o ́ s ;$
＇Ì öтоv тєць＇тато⿱亠䒑．

## I $\Sigma \mathrm{MHNH}$ ．

＇Ì̀ ì̀ $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a \pi a \tau \rho \grave{~ \pi a ́ \rho ́ \rho v \nu o v . ~}$

> KHPY

$\Delta \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu o v ~ \pi \rho o \beta o u ́ \lambda o \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ Ka $\delta \mu \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s . ~$







${ }^{\prime} E \xi \omega \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ä $\theta a \pi \tau о \nu, ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \gamma \eta ̀ \nu ~ к \nu \sigma i ̀ \nu$,







Kaì $\mu \dot{\eta} \theta^{\prime}$ о $\mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i \nu ~ \tau v \mu \beta о \chi^{\prime} a \quad \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu a \tau a$




## ANTITONH．




 ＂E Х $^{\prime}$





 Táфov $\gamma$ à aưтخ̀ каì катабкафàs є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ ，
Гvvŋ́ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ov̉ $\sigma a$ ，т $\uparrow \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon ~ \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \iota$
 Kav̉т̀̀ калú $\psi \omega \cdot \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \delta^{\prime} \xi_{\eta} \eta \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.

KHPY ${ }^{\boldsymbol{s}}$ ．
Aúdê $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$
ANTITONH．
Aưठิ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \eta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma a ̀ ~ \kappa \eta \rho u ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \not \epsilon \mu o l$.
KHPY気。


## ANTIIONH．


KHPY采。


## ANTITONH．

＂Hঠ̀ $\tau \grave{a}$ тoû $\delta$＇ov̉ $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \tau i ́ \mu \eta \tau a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s . ~$
KHPY忥。

ANTITONH．


## KHPY



## ANTITONH.



KHPY

XOPOE.
$\Phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \hat{v}$.

Kท̂pes 'Epıvúєs, aíт' Oi $\delta \iota \pi o ́ \delta a$

Tí $\pi a ́ \theta \omega$; $\tau i ́ \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \delta \rho \hat{\omega}$; $\tau i ́ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \omega \mu a \iota$;
$\Pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \eta_{\tau} \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda a i \epsilon \iota \nu$

' $А \lambda \lambda a ̀$ фоßой $\mu a \iota ~ к а ̇ т о т р є ́ т о н а \iota ~$
$\Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu a \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\Sigma \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon \mu \eta \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \nu$


Eîб८. Tís à̀ тav̂тa тíӨоитo;
HMIXOPION.
$\Delta \rho a ́ т \omega ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi о ́ \lambda \iota s ~ к а і ~ \mu \grave{~} \delta р а ́ т \omega$
Toùs клаíovтая Подvขєíкך.

Aї $\delta \in \pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi о i$.
Kaì $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{a}$ коьขò то́d' ä $\chi o s$,
Kaì тó $\lambda \iota s$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$


## HMIXOPION.


Kaì тò סíкаьод $\xi v \nu \in \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon i ̂ . ~$
Mєтà $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ на́караs каì $\Delta i o s ~ i \sigma \chi \grave{\nu}$
"O $O \in К а \delta \mu є i ́ \omega \nu \eta ้ \rho v \xi \in \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

$M \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda \lambda o \delta a \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ кú $\mu a \tau \iota \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
Катак $\lambda \nu \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \grave{a} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$.

NOTES.

## N OTES.

 what befits the time. Comp. below, v. 619.
2. "Oбтıs фu入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$, whoever carefully attends to, $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma}$ os, $=\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \tau a$, the business of the state. Comp. Thuc. iii. 72, oi ${ }^{\epsilon \prime} \chi$ оעтєs tà $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$; Herod. vi. 39. 83 ; and Blomf. ad loc. - ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho v ́ \mu \nu \eta \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$. The poets frequently compare the state with a ship. Thus Soph. in Antig. 189, ed. Wunder, "̈ $\delta^{\prime}$ '่ $\sigma \tau i ̀ \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta o v \sigma a$, where the Scholiast adds
 cribed to Erinna, v. 11, $\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ à $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \in \omega s$ кvßєрvậs ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon s \lambda^{\lambda} \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$. Hor. Carm. i. 14, and Blomf. ad loc.
3. öaka $\nu \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. ola ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ is the helm or rudder. Homer uses the same expression in Odyss. xii. 218, ė $\pi \epsilon i$ vך̀̀s $\gamma \lambda a \phi v \rho \eta_{s}$ oiñıa $\nu \omega ̂ \mu a s$. In Odyss. x. 32 he calls it $\pi o ́ \delta a$ $\nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$. - $\nu \omega \mu a ́ \omega$, to use and move skilfully, so as to retain full command over the helm, even when in the swiftest motion. In v. 25 its meaning is to revolve.- $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi a \rho a$ $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa o \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \tilde{\nu} \pi \nu \varphi$. The same thought is expressed in Hom. II. ii. 24, as cited by Stanl. $\mu^{\prime}$ is used with the participle, when the writer does not think of a particular person or a thing existing in reality, but supposes such a one as merely possible. Comp. Matth. § 608. 5. Expressions like $\kappa o \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \ddot{\nu} \pi \nu \omega$ are frequently used by dramatic writers, in 5*。
order to increase the effect. Thus, Soph. Electr. 650,
 Wunder.
 Matth. § 523. 2. The aorist is used, because the action is thought transient and not permanent. Matth. § 501. Observe, beside, the difference between $\epsilon \mathcal{u} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\epsilon \mathcal{v}$ $\tau v \chi \in i v$. Both mean to be fortunate; the latter, however, expresses merely to be fortunate in our actions as far as they depend on an overruling fate ; whilst $\epsilon \mathcal{\delta} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \leqslant \iota \nu$ conveys the idea that our actions have fortunate results, because they have been carried on in an effective manner. ——aitia $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, sc. ầ $\epsilon \nexists \eta$, the merit would be ascribed to the gods. The ancients ascribed victory in battle to the influence of the gods. Thus, Hom. Il. vii. 101, aùràp
 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, which is found in the Codex Mediceus and sixteen other manuscripts, and is supported by v. 21, єủ $\dot{\rho} \notin \pi \epsilon \iota \iota$ धós, vv. 35,625 .
5. av̂ $\theta^{\prime}$. avitc is the Ionic form of the Attic av̉⿴\zh11s. Both forms are used by Æschylus promiscuously ; the former, e. g., in Agam. 321 Well. ; the latter in Agam. 331. ó $\mu \eta$ ’ $\gamma^{\prime}$ voıтo. "Ad avertendum $\delta v \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a \nu$ verborum insequentium ait $\hat{o} \mu \dot{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \nu$." (Stanl.) Similar is below, v. 426, à $\mu \eta$ краivol тúx $\eta$, and 549 , à $\mu \eta$ краivoı $\theta$ єós.
6. єìs $\pi o \lambda \grave{s}$, i, e. єîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \nu$ vel $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$. Cfr. Matth. $\$ 46$, where he compares the Latin " unus omnium max-
 $\pi а \rho a \sigma \chi \dot{\dot{\omega}} \boldsymbol{y} . ~ C o m p . ~ a l s o ~ B l o m f . ~ a d ~ l o c . ~ a n d ~ A r i s t o p h . ~ R a n . ~$ 1044 (ed. Bekk.).
 Sophocles uses $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \nu \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu$ similarly in Edd. Tyr. 1275. фроинios, contr. from $\pi \rho о о \iota \mu i o s$. фроіног properly means the prelude of a song, then the song itself; here, abuse. - modvpoó日os, flowing from the mouths of many.

Æschylus is fond of forming adjectives from fóoos (the noise of waves) ; thus we have below, taxuppó四ous $\lambda$ ójous,

8. $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu Z \epsilon \grave{s} . .$. . $\pi$ óл $\epsilon \iota$. The genitive $\bar{\omega} \nu$ governed by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in \dot{\omega} \nu v \mu o s$ expresses that by which the surname is occasioned. Cfr. Matth. § 375, Obs. 3. Translate : From which things (i. e. from averting which things) may Zeus deserve his name à $\lambda \epsilon \xi \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho o s$ (averruncus) at the hands of the Thebans. Linw. Soph. ©Ed. Col. 143 has $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{\imath} \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \tau o \rho$. Blomf.
10. '̇ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \sigma \nu \tau^{\prime}$. '่̇ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, with genitive, means abesse; with accusative, omitto.
11. ${ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{H} \beta \eta$ s áкцаias, the full-grown bloom of manhood. -
 $\eta \eta_{\beta} \eta$, i. e. who is beyond thirty-five years old. The Scholiast understands the poet to mean the old men. Well. translates it by senes, but Blomf., Bothe, and Dind. take the term for those who have reached the age of ripened manhood. The poet probably meant the citizens past their prime, yet still vigorous enough to bear arms (see below, v. 13).
 d̀ $\delta \in i v \epsilon \iota \nu$, to make stronger (to increase, below, v. 557).
 $\lambda a \omega ̂ \nu$. Æisch. Prom. 550.
 equivalent to $\eta \not \beta \eta$ (prime), whilst Blomf. renders the words by "et unumquemque secundum ætatem quam habet," which is rejected by Dind., who, with Butler, prefers ©̈pav, cura. This emendation Well. and Linw. consider unnecessary. The latter divides the men spoken of by Eteocles into three classes; namely, 1. the young men under
 ఉрav $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \kappa a \sigma \tau o \nu$, i. e. were in their full prime; and 3. the
 retained $\pi o \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s \quad \beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu o \nu . \quad \tilde{\omega} \rho a$ is again used for
prime, below, v. 535, ש̈pas фuovions. Comp. also Il. ii. 468 ,

 à $\bar{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau i$, to ward off something, as below, v. 119. - $\theta \in \omega \bar{\omega}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \omega \rho i \omega \nu$. The Dii indigetes rel indigena. Blomf. The same term is used Agam. 810, where Blomf. compares
 бopal. Some of the principal deities worshipped at Thebes were Athene ("О кка, v. 164), Apollo (Ismenius), Artemis (Eukleia, v. 450), etc.
15. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ' $\xi a \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i$ тотє. The construction is áp $\gamma \in \epsilon \nu$ $\beta \omega \mu 0 \hat{\imath} \tau \iota$, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \mu a ́ s$, etc. The infinitive is used in order to explain more fully in what the àpभ́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тód $\epsilon \iota$ каì $\beta \omega \mu$ oí $\iota$ consisted. Cfr. Matth. § 532. d.
16. $\gamma \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \tau \rho i . \quad \gamma \hat{a} \mu a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$, Soph. CEd. Col. 1480.
17. 'H $\gamma$ à $\rho=a v ̃ \tau \eta$, Matth. § 286.
18. $\pi$ аиঠокоิิбu, all-receiving, kindly taking upon herself.


 the leading thought in the sentence more prominently forward, the poet has put the words oikı $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a s ~ \dot{a} \sigma \pi \iota \delta \eta \phi o ́ \rho o u s$ miotou's by attraction in the principal clause, they being properly, together with $\gamma^{\prime} \operatorname{covol}^{\prime} \theta \epsilon$, the predicate of the dependent clause. With the attraction resolved, the sentence
 $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in s$ à $\sigma \pi \iota \delta \eta \phi$ ópoc. Cfr. Jelf, §898, Obs. 3, and Dind. ad loc. The optative is used, because ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \notin \mathcal{A}$ a is in the past sense (Matth. \& 518. 1), implying at the same time the wish that they might grow up as defenders of the land (Matth. §518.5).
20. $\pi \rho$ òs रpéos тódє, towards this needful occasion, i. e. so as to be able to meet it when it comes.
 scales favorably, i. e. favors us. Zeus is frequently repre-
sented by the poets, from Homer downwards, as holding the golden scales in which the fate of the battle was placed. Thus, Hom. Il. viii. 69 (xxii. 212), каì тóтє ס̀̀ $\chi \rho$ v́бєєa $\pi a \pi \eta ̀ \rho$ étitalve tádavta, where Bothe quotes Virgil, Æn. xii. 725, 726 : "Jupiter ipse duas æquato examine lances Sustinet, et fata imponit diversa duorum." Milton, Par. Lost, iv. 996: ". . . . had not soon Th' Eternal, to prevent such horrid fray, Hung forth in heaven his golden scales," etc. Cfr. also Aristoph. Ran. 1394. Similar to the passage before us is Æsch. Suppl. 405, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta{ }^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \xi$ 'lбov $\dot{\rho} \in \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
 expressing duration. - $\quad$ - $v \rho \gamma \eta \rho o v \mu$ évoıs, turribus inclusis, obsessis.
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota$. - ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\theta_{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, by the aid of the gods, since the prosperous condition of the country proceeded from them. Comp.

24. oi $\omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßoríp. ßoríp, o $\pi \circ \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, the lord. Schütz thinks Tiresias had been called here oi $\omega \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ ßor $\dot{\rho} \rho$, because he kept and fed birds, which he used for auguries ; this is, however, refuted by the meaning of oievós, which applies only to large birds, such as vultures (see below, v. 1020), from whose flight the auguries were taken. The Schol. to Soph. Antig. 999 remarks of Tiresias, that he could summon the birds from all quarters, when he wanted them.
 Soph. Ant. 999. On account of his blindness, Tiresias could of course only judge from the cries of the birds, and the noise which they made with their wings. Schol. B. says, however, that his daughter informed him of the flight of the birds. The myth, that Chariklo, the mother of Tiresias, requested Pallas to restore sight to her blind son, and that the goddess, unable to do so, opened his ears so that he could understand the voices of all the
birds, is well known. - $\pi v \rho o{ }^{\prime} s i x a$, without the aid of fire. Non ignispicio aut extispicio usus. Blomf. The divination by the fire of the sacrifice (ignispicium) was called $\pi$ vроцávтєıa; the predictions made from the entrails of the victim (extispicium) were termed ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi v \rho a$, from the fire in which they were burnt. Differing from these were the divinations by the flight of birds, which are here meant by $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta i ́ x a$.
28. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \circ \lambda \eta$ 首, invasio, attack, Well., Blomf., Pass.; agmen, invading party, Stanl., Butl., Linw. The former signification seems preferable; it is at least the more verbal and the more poetical of the two. -'Aरaióa, i. e. тov̀s 'A $\chi$ aloús. Brunck reads 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { alîôa. }\end{aligned}$
29. Nuкт $\eta$ оорєīӨat, is assembled (for counsel). This is the meaning given by the Schol., Stanl., Well., Linw., and Passow, the latter of whom derives it from á ${ }^{\prime}$ óá. Blomf. in Gloss. ad loc. translates, "Noctu proclamo," and adds : "Incredibili stupore vertunt interpretes contraho, quasi foret ex árєip.." In Eur. Rhes. 88, which he quotes in support of his explanation of the word, $\nu v \kappa \pi \eta \gamma o p o v=\iota \iota$ bears, or at least may bear, also the signification of to hold nightly counsel.
31. $\sigma o \hat{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon \quad \sigma \grave{\nu} \pi a \nu \tau \epsilon v \chi i a=\sigma \grave{\nu} \quad \pi a \nu o \pi \lambda i a . \quad \sigma o v ิ \sigma \theta \epsilon$, from the Attic бów. Matth. § 250.
32. $\theta \omega \rho а к \epsilon і$, the parapets. - $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \mu a \sigma \iota$. $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \mu a \tau a$ are properly the cross-beams or row-benches of vessels ; here, the floors of the towers.
35. $\epsilon \mathcal{v} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \in o ́ s$. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, Att. fut. for $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota$.
36. इкотоѝ . . . катоттйрая. бкотои́s and католти̂раs mean about the same thing, namely, spies; if we, however, join $\sigma \tau р a \tau o \hat{v}$ to катотл $\eta$ рas alone, we may take the $\sigma$ котoús for those who were sent out to reconnoitre in the neighborhood, whilst the $\kappa a \tau o \pi \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in s$ went in disguise into the camp of the enemy in order to acquaint themselves with the plans of the besiegers.
 I trust. On the present signification of $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \circ \theta a$, cfr. Matth. $\oint 505.3$. iii. $\mu a \tau a ̂ \nu$ óộ̂. Well., Blomf., and Linw. give to these words, according to Hesychius, the meaning of to loiter on the way. Stanl. translates, "quos viam spero non frustra capessere," and this meaning is also given by Passow. The passage in Prom. 57, which Blomf. quotes, may also be rendered, the work is not without effect, i. e. is thoroughly done. Blomfield's interpretation seems, however, to be the simpler of the two.
38. oű $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega}$ סó $\lambda \omega$, i. e. oủ $\lambda \eta \phi \theta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \iota$. The conjunctive of the aor. 1 pass. has the force of the future in negative propositions after $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$. Comp. Matth. §517. $\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega}$ expresses here the hope or opinion of Eteocles that he will not be caught, so that a verb like $\phi$ фоюồaı or $\delta$ é $\delta o \iota к a$ must be understood. The particle tı gives force to ovं; so that ov́ $\tau \iota$ means, not at all, not in any respect. Comp. Prom. 172 , каі̀ $\mu^{\prime}$ ойть $\mu \in \lambda \iota \gamma \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma o \iota s$, etc., and translate, $I$ do not at all fear that $I$ shall be taken in any snare. Comp. also below, vv. 199, 475, and Mitchell ad Aristoph. Vesp. 186.
 ing the force of the Latin preposition cum. Cfr. Matth. § 557. 4 ; Jelf, § 698 , Obs. 2. - $\sigma a \not\langle\hat{\eta} \tau a ̉ k \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \nu$, i. e. $\tau \dot{a}$ $\sigma a \phi \hat{\eta}$ éxєîev, the certain news from thence.
 $72, \pi o \lambda v a ́ v \delta \rho o v \delta^{\prime}$ 'Aaias $\theta o u ́ \rho ı o s ~ a ̈ \rho \chi \omega \nu$. In Homer the word is only used as an epithet of Ares. 入oхау'єтal, Dor. and Att. for $\lambda о \chi \bar{\eta} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \tau a$.
43. Tavpoogayouvtes, letting the blood of the victim flow into the hollow of the shield. Comp. Xenoph. Anab. ii. 2, тav̂тa $\delta^{\prime}$ ढ̈ $\mu \circ \sigma a \nu, ~ \sigma \phi a ́ \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau a v ̂ p o \nu . . . . \epsilon i s ~ a ̉ \sigma \pi i \delta i a . ~ S o p h . ~$ Trach. 609.- $\mu \epsilon \lambda a ́ v \delta \epsilon \tau о \nu, ~ n i g r o ~(s c . ~ f e r r o) ~ v i n c t u s . ~$ Blomf.
44. Oı $\gamma \gamma$ ávovтєs . . . . фóvov. $\theta \iota \gamma \gamma a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ and similar verbs
govern the genitive, as it is only a part and not the whole that is touched. Cfr. Matth. § 330 ; Jelf, § 536. фóvov (see v. 47), properly murder ; then the blood shed by murder or slaughter. Comp. Eurip. Hel. 1591, éк ס̀̀ tavpeíou фóvov. In Eurip. Electr. 92, we find more fully $\pi v \rho a ̣ ̂$
 363. On the custom of vowing by the blood of a victim (sometimes a human being) the accomplishment of a warlike undertaking, comp. Virg. Æn. iv. 425 and Stanl. ad loc. Pausanias in Corinthiaca 2. 19 relates that the seven chiefs swore at the altar of Jupiter Pluvius. A similar scene is described in Hom. Il. ii. 410.
 to curse, etc., take an accusative of the person or object by which one swears or curses. Matth. § 413 ; Jelf, § 566. 2. ——'Evv', the goddess of war, the Bellona of the Romans. Some made her the nurse, others the mother, and others again the sister of Ares. Her occupation was either to direct the chariot of Ares or to precede him in battle. She was represented as a terrible woman, with dishevelled hair, rushing wildly about, armed with shield and lance, and holding a bloody scourge in her hand. Her chief temple was at Comana in Pontus. She was also worshipped at Orchomenos. Cornutus de Nat. Deor., p. 56, says, 'Evv凶 ס̀́
 According to Hesiod (Theog. 932), Фóßos and $\Delta \epsilon i ̂ \mu o s ~ w e r e ~$ the sons of Ares and Aphrodite. (On the reason why these parents were assigned to them, cfr. Van Lennep, Commentar. in Hes. Theog. p. 374.) Homer and Hesiod make both the servants of Ares, who put his horses into his chariot. Il. xv. 119 ; Hesiod, Scut. 195 and 463.
49. M $\nu \eta \mu \epsilon i a ́ ~ \theta^{\prime}$ aùt $\hat{\nu} \nu$, mementos. It was customary with warriors to send such tokens to their friends at home, before they engaged in any desperate battle. According to Hesych. and Schol. A., they consisted of buckles, locks
of hair, scarfs (raivaı), etc. - eis oó $\mu o u s$, for home, i. e. in order to send them home.
 chariot of Adrastus, because he alone was promised by the oracle to return home safe (cfr. Mure, Hist. of Gr. Lit., Vol. II., Thebais) ; yet they did not attain their object, for Adrastus, as the mythus tells us, did not return home on his chariot, but merely escaped death by the swiftness of the divine horse Areion. - ठák $\rho v$ for ঠáкриа. Hom. Il. xiii.

51. oîkтos, lamentation. Thus Soph. EEd. Col. 1636, oùk ổктоv $\mu$ '́та кат $\eta \boldsymbol{\nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu . ~ C o m p . ~ a l s o ~ C h o e p h . ~ 4 0 1 , ~ a n d ~ B l o m f . ~}$ Gloss. to that verse.
 like. Thus, below, v. 498, фóßov $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu$, looking fearful, where it is equivalent to $\beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu a$ фóßov. Thus also in

 II. iii. 342, $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon \rho \kappa$ ќ $\mu \epsilon \nu o \iota . ~ C f r . ~ M a t t h . ~ § ~ 409 ; ~ J e l f, ~ § ~ 554 . ~$ In reference to $\lambda \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ it may be remarked, that Æschylus is fond of comparing his heroes with animals distinguished for prowess, especially the lion and eagle. Thus, e. g., Agam. 717; Choeph. 247, $\gamma^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu a \nu$ єỉvı $\operatorname{ai\epsilon \tau ov̂~\pi at\rho ós,~the~}$ orphan offspring of the eagle father (meaning Agamemnon).
54. öк $\nu \varphi$, delay arising from fear.
55. $\pi a ́ \lambda \omega ~ \lambda a \chi \propto ́ \nu, ~ o b t a i n i n g ~ b y ~ l o t . ~ T h u s, ~ H o m . ~ I l . ~ x x i i i . ~$ 862, к $\lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \underset{\sim}{c} \lambda a ́ \chi \omega \nu$. $\pi a ́ \lambda o s$ sors ; sensu primario quassatio, galeæ scilicet, in quam sortes seu tesseras singulorum nominibus inscriptas, demiserant. Blomf.
57. Прòs тaût', accordingly, therefore. Cfr. below, v. 312, $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ áó ; also Eurip. Phœn. 531, and Soph. Electr. 383 , $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ ф \rho a ́\} o v . ~$
58. тáyєvaat. tayєún, properly to command, to lead;

 179，and Eurip．Rhes．986．For other accusatives used thus adverbially，comp．Matth．§ 425 ；Jelf，§ 579． 7.

60．коvíє．кoviడ，lit．to soil with dust，to raise dust； hence，to march quickly．

62．$\kappa \in \delta \delta_{0} \mathbf{o}^{\prime}$ ，i．e．àjaAós．Comp．below，v．407，and Soph． Ajac．663，òakoテт $\mathbf{o ́}^{\phi}$ os，the helmsman．In Prom． 153 the term òaкovó $\mu$ os occurs．－This verse has been borrowed


63．$\pi$ piv ．．．．＂Apeos，before the breath of Ares，i．e．the fury of war，Shall have rushed down（like a tempest）； $\pi \nu 0 a ́ s$ is subject to кataciriata．On the various constructions of $\pi \rho i v$, comp．Matth．§ 522．2．c．；Jelf，§848．The ex－ pression $\pi \nu 0 a i$＂Apeos is repeated below，v． 115.
 of the army，i．e．the army which rolls along like a roar－
 $\tau \rho a \chi \epsilon \bar{\epsilon})$ is added to $\kappa \hat{\nu} \mu a$ ，in order to define it，and to show that not a real wave is meant，but that the term is used metaphorically．Thus，below，v．82，кóvıs ．．．ävavòos ．．．．äry $\gamma$ edos．See Matth．§ 446．10，Obs．4，and Blomf． Gloss．ad Agam． 81.
 possible opportunity for these measures．

66．тà 入oırá，de reliquo．On this accusative，used ad－ verbially，comp．note to тáxos in v．58．－ì iцєробко́тоv， who watches by day．
68．äß入aßخ̀s $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \sigma \epsilon, \\ \text { ，thou shalt be safe（from unexpected }\end{gathered}$ danger）．
 रovtes кaì фu入̀́oซovtes，Schol．Eteocles addresses here especially Athene，Ares，and Aphrodite．

70．＇Apá $\tau$＇＇Epuvís．＇Apá，Lat．Dira．＇Epuvis has here the force of an adjective，so that we may translate＇Apá $\tau$＇ ＇Epuvis，avenging（destroying）curse．On the curse which （E．dipus pronounced on his sons，comp．Introduction．
 presses ardent desire that the city may not be utterly destroyed. See Jelf, § 420. 3, Obs. - $\pi \rho \dot{u} \mu \nu \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$, from the bottom. The proper reading is, however, $\pi \rho^{\prime} \mu_{\mu \nu} \theta_{\epsilon \rho \nu}$ (a stirpe), as restored by Voss from v. 1056. See Blomf. ad loc. and Wunder ad Soph. Antig. 708.

 $\chi$ '́ovalv, speaking, uttering ; thus, Pind. Isthm. vii. 129

73. סó $\mu o v s$ '́ $\phi \in \sigma \tau i o v s, ~ h o u s e s ~ h a v i n g ~ a l t a r s . ~ B l o m f . ~ d i s-~$ approves of this meaning, and translates by Gracia indigenas; but the examples he adduces in support of his interpretation (Hom. Il. ii. 125, Æsch. Sept. 851, Eum. 574, Suppl. 361, etc.) have little resemblance to the passage before us.
75. $\sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \nu$. The Schol. says $\sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \nu$ is used for $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ or $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$; it is, however, better to understand a word like סótє, grant, $\pi о \iota \epsilon i ̂ \tau \epsilon$, cause, or єüðоцaı. This elliptical use of the infinitive with the accusative in prayers and invocations is very common. Cfr. Matth. § 547 ; Jelf, § 671. 6.
76. छ̇vขá. коьшфє入 $\bar{\eta}$ каi $\dot{\imath} \mu i \nu$, Schol. What interests both parties, gods and citizens.
78. After Eteocles has finished his address to the gods, and has withdrawn from the stage, the Chorus, consisting of Theban virgins, make their appearance. We must suppose them to hurry on the scene in great consternation, caused by the news which has just reached their ear of the approach of the Argive host. Having placed themselves in a position which enables them to survey the surrounding country, they become aware of the actual approach of the enemy by the cloud of dust which rises up in the direction of their camp. Their agitation now increases; for a time they are at a loss to whom to look for deliverance ; but with the returning calmness of their minds, their trust
in the protecting help of their native gods also increases, so that (v. 110) they commence addressing the chief deities worshipped at Thebes successively in fervent prayer. Once more, however (v. 150), the Chorus are interrupted in their litany by the noise produced by the hostile army, now already stationed under the very walls of Thebes; but (v. 165) they again recover from their terror, and conclude their prayer by a general address to the gods. - The Chorus, at least down to v. 164 , is composed кaтà $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \sigma \tau \nu$, i. e. in one uninterrupted whole, an arrangement which is calculated, and on that account adopted by the dramatists, to give to lyrical composition more of the character of a narrative (cfr. Schol. ad Eurip. Phœn. 246). The general address to the gods, commencing at v. 164, has, however, been properly subdivided by Hermann into a strophe (vv. 164-172) and antistrophe (vv. 173-181). - Ө $\operatorname{\text {vî̀}}$ $\mu a \iota$, Doric for $\theta \rho o \hat{v} \mu a \iota$ (which Blomf. reads, but Hermann rejects as not used by the Tragedians), I utter. The word is related to $\theta_{\rho} \eta$ ขos, $\theta_{\rho} \eta \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, etc. - $\phi \circ ß \epsilon \rho a ́$ is neut. plural, agreeing with ${ }^{\alpha} \chi \eta$.
80. ${ }^{~} \mathrm{P} \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, pours along like a stream; a word frequently used of an army. Comp. Eurip. Rhes. 290, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \gamma$ à $\rho \dot{\eta} \chi \hat{\eta}$

81. $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon$. The Chorus cannot yet see the enemies themseļes, but merely perceive a cloud of dust, which, $a$ mute, yet plain and trustworthy messenger, persuades them that the Argive host is on the march. Comp. Virg. En. ix. 33, 34: "Hic subitam nigro glomerari pulvere nubem Prospiciunt Teucri, ac tenebras insurgere campis."
83. 'E ${ }^{\text {® }} \in \delta \epsilon \mu \nu$ ás, lectum capiens. Turnebus. This word Hermann rejects as not Greek. Schol. B. has $\epsilon^{\oplus} \lambda \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \nu a ́ s$,
 $\kappa a \theta \epsilon v \delta \delta \iota \nu$. Hermann has proposed è $\lambda \in \delta \in \mu a s$, destroying the body, murderous, which has been approved of by Well. and taken into the text by Blomf., who reads é $\lambda \in \delta \in \rho \mu a s \pi \epsilon \delta \iota 0$ -
$\pi \lambda$ óктитоз $\beta$ oà $\chi$ рíдттєтаı．The same reading Well．pro－ poses，with the addition of $\omega \omega_{i}$ before $\chi \rho i \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ．Dindorf＇s

 perhaps，too free．In the reading exhibited in our text we
 סiov，óт入ウ，ктúmos）$\beta$ oŋ́ is noise made by the horses striking the ground with their hoofs．

85．$\delta i к a \nu=\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ ，in the fashion of，like．On the use of this accusative put in apposition to the verbal action expressed in $\beta \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ ，cfr．Matth．§ 425.5 ；Jelf，§ 580.2. －óротútov，striking the mountain．Stanl．compares Virg． Æn．i． 245 ：＂Unde per ora novem vasto cum murmure montis It mare proruptum，et pelago premit arva sonanti．＂ Comp．also Æn．ii． 304 and viii． 592.

87．à $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ，ward off．The active of this verb is sel－ dom found；it occurs again below，v．141，where it means protect by averting evil．

88．Boâ，i．e．$\sigma \grave{v} v$ ßon̂．On the omission of the preposi－
 with a shout（resounding）over the walls．

90．＇о $\lambda \epsilon$ v́кабтıs．Thus，Hom．Il．xxii．294，$\Delta \eta$ í申оßò $\delta$＇
 фө̂та．Stanl．also compares Eurip．Phœn．1106，几єv́кабтı
 of $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s$, burnished．

91．Ev̀т $\epsilon \epsilon \pi \dot{\prime} s$ ，ready，agile．The vulgate has $\epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta \dot{\prime}$ ， adorned with arms．The former，which is found in simi－ lar passages in the Tragedians，has been adopted by Blomf． and others；the latter is retained by Well．After $\delta \iota \omega$ к $\kappa \nu$ we may either supply $\pi o ́ \delta a s$ ，or take it absolutely，hurry－ ing on．

92．Tis äpa $\mathfrak{\rho} v \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \tau a \ell$ ，quis igitur defendet（sc．$\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоьớ $\omega \nu$ ，Schol．B．）．The particle äpa expresses with pecu－ liar force the embarrassment of the Chorus，who do not
know whom of the gods to address for assistance. Comp. Jelf, § 872. 2. c.
 with $\beta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$. In $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ the agitation of the Chorus is again
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega$, like other verbs compounded with the prepositions $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, is usually construed with a dative ; we should, therefore, expect $\beta \rho^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau$; but these verbs frequently govern the accusative, because $\pi \rho o^{\prime}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ govern that case. Cfr. Matth. § 402. 6, Obs.
 images. $\beta p \epsilon \tau \in \in \omega$, which is an Ionic form from $\beta$ pétas, stands in the genitive, because only a part of the statues was embraced. Thus, Eurip. Hec. 398, ímoia кıббòs סpvós,


 occupied with, to engage in. Thus, Xenoph. Cyr. 5. 44,
 danger the ancients were accustomed to walk in procession to the images of the gods, and adorn them with garments and flowers. The first instance of this ceremony we find in Homer (II. vi. 87), where Helenus advises Hector to urge his mother to take the best and largest $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda o \nu$ and dedicate it to Athene by placing it over her knees. For more examples, see Stanl.

 videndi, quum sit is sensus omnium nobilissimus, reliquorum sensuum perceptiones declarantur." Brunck ad Soph. (Ed. Col. 138. - oủ $\begin{gathered}\text { évós }=\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \text {. "Consulque non } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$ unius anni," Hor. Carm. iv. 9. 32, and Blomf. Gloss. ad loc.
105. $\pi a \lambda a i \chi \theta \omega \nu$ "Apps. To Ares and Aphrodite, the ancestors of the royal race, the Theban territory was sacred. The walls of Thebes were called "Aptiov $\tau \epsilon i$ ios, because
they had been erected by Cadmus, and Harmonia, daughter of Ares and Aphrodite. There was also near Thebes a fountain Areteia, which stood under the protection of Ares. - $\pi a \lambda a i \chi \theta \omega \nu$, the ancient possessor of the land. - $\tau \dot{a} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon a ̀ \nu \gamma \hat{a} \nu$, i. e. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, thy city, for $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ has often the force of $\pi$ ó̀ıs. Comp. Soph. Antig. 937 ; Eurip. Phœn. 252, where Thebes is called é $\pi \tau$ ámv $\rho \gamma$ os $\gamma \hat{a}$; Evang. Matth. ii. 6,
 the form $\tau$ tál occurs also in Soph. Antig. 604, and $\tau \in o i \sigma \iota$ for $\sigma \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota$ in Æsch. Prom. 162.
 order to express the alarm of the Chorus. Thus, below,
 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \sigma o v$.
109. $\pi$ o入ı $\sigma \sigma o \hat{\chi} \chi o \iota ~ \chi$ Oovós. On this redundant expression
 and Wunder ad loc.

 $\theta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ refers, of course, to the virgins who constitute the Chorus.
 riors, with obliquely bending helm-bushes. On the metaphor in киิца comp. below, vv. 758 and 1078, and. Xenoph.

117. On metrical grounds, Dind. proposes ' $A \lambda \lambda$ ' $\bar{\omega} Z \in \hat{v}$ $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in$.
118. äp $\eta \xi=\nu \delta a i \not \omega \nu \quad a ̈ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, ward off conquest by the enemies; the genitive expressing the authors of the conquest. Comp. Matth. $\S 375$; Jelf, $\S 499$. áp $\eta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \nu$ is used in the


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oikíav. —— фóßos $\delta^{\prime}$ áp $\epsilon i \omega \nu$ (i. e. $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i к \omega \nu$, Schol.) ${ }^{\circ} \pi \lambda \omega \nu$. The vulgate has $\dot{a} p \eta i \omega \nu$. The form in our text is Ionic. The Schol. supplies $\dot{u} \pi o ́$; it is, however, a causal genitive.
 $\nu v i \omega \nu$, i. e. $\delta \epsilon \tau o i ̀ \delta i a ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu v i \omega \nu$. (Blomf.) $\gamma \epsilon \nu v i \omega \nu$ is, by synizesis, dissyllabic ; hence, Dind. proposes to read $\gamma \in \nu \hat{v} \nu$, in anal-
 elsewhere.
123. Kıvúpovtaı фóvov, rattle murder.
125. Sopvaóoıs $\sigma$ áरaıs, with warlike armor. Dopvaóoıs (lit. brandishing the spear) stands, for the sake of the

 adopted by Stanl., Butl., Hermann, and Well., but has been properly rejected by Valckenaer, who says, in Diatr. de Aristobulo, p. 119, "Septem duces non stabant ad septem portas, sed adstabant portarum septime, forsan in vicino Jovis altissimi templo, $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega$ 入á ${ }^{\prime}$ ovtєs, sortiti, quam quisque de septem portis sibi haberet tuendam: nondum ad suum quemque ducum stationem missos liquet ex v. 282."
127. ф८入óкахоу кра́тоs. Schütz compares Agam. 109, 'A $\chi a \iota \omega ิ \nu$ 8i ípovov крáтos.
 seidon was called $i \pi \pi \iota o s$, either because Pegasus and Areion were his offspring, or because by opening the earth with his trident he created the horse. Comp. Virg. Georg.
 (Olymp. v. 49). According to the Scholiast to Soph. Edd. Col. 709, however, this epithet was given to Poseidon because he was the first who taught men to break horses and put bridles on them. Comp. Soph. loc. cit., äva $\mathcal{\xi}$ Пoбєı-
 The epithet $\pi$ оутонé $\delta \omega \nu$, lord of the sea, is also given to Poseidon by Pindar in Olymp. vi. 176 (103 Bergk.) ; it occurs also in Eurip. Hippol. 744 ; Aristoph. Vesp. 1531.
 Побєє $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu$, the fish-striking instrument, i. e. trident.


Dor. for $\pi \rho \circ \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$. Why the Cyprian goddess is here called $\pi \rho о \mu a ́ \tau \omega \rho$ has been mentioned above, v. 104.
141. "A $\lambda$ єvбov. See above, v. 88.
 plains, $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á入aıs, âs kaì ó $\theta \in o ̀ s ~ a ̉ k o v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu . ~ S o ~ B l o m f ., ~ " d i i s ~$ audiendus." —— $\lambda \iota \tau a i ̂ \sigma i ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \theta є о к \lambda u ́ t o ı s ~ a ̉ \pi v ́ o v \sigma a \iota . ~ T h e ~ a c c u-~$
 on thee, we draw near (viz. to thy altar). Cfr. Jelf, § 583.
 (as quoted by Stanl.) refers these words to Pan, because Apollo, who elsewhere (in Agam. 1228) is called by Eschylus $\Lambda v^{\kappa} \in \iota$ " $\AA \pi о \lambda \lambda o \nu$, is again invoked below, in v. 159. However, Apollo is undoubtedly meant here, as also in
 the chief deities worshipped at Thebes are addressed by the Chorus, and Pan cannot be counted among that number. The word $\Lambda$ úкєєos is variously derived : from his being the chief god of Lykia, from his having extirpated wolves ( $\lambda$ úкos) in the district of Sicyon (Pausan. ii. 9.7), and from his producing the dawn ( $\lambda v \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ). Æschylus, it seems, has punned with these different meanings of súkelos, deriv-
 second from $\lambda \dot{\text { úros, so that the line may be rendered, and }}$ thou Lykcan king (lord of light) become a wolf-slayer to the hostile host.
146. $\sigma \tau o ́ v \omega \nu$ ảürâs. äütâs is causal genitive. Jelf, § 481.
 Well. prefers áürás, which is found in two manuscripts, as an absolute accusative.
148. Tógov є $\begin{gathered}\text { đukáSov, equip thyself well with the bow, i. e. }\end{gathered}$ hold thy bow and arrows in readiness to defend our city against our enemies.
153. "Елакоу . . . . र̌óaı, the naves of the axles weighed down (by the men standing on the chariots), creaked.
 and Virg. En. vi. 413, "Gemuit sub pondere cymba."
154. Bothe rejects this line, as having been repeated from v. 150. The same is done by Blomf. and approved of by Dind., who proposes to transfer v. 152 to this place.

 pro $\tau$ à סópata $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ aḯ́pa $\tau \iota \nu a \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$. Is. Casaubon.
 The dative ${ }^{a} \mu \mu \nu$ does not, as some think, belong to $\pi$ ódıs and stand for $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$, but is a sort of redundant dative, used chiefly in familiar language, and expressing the interest which the speaker has in what he asserts or desires. Of the many instances of this dative which might be quoted, we will allude only to the well-known passage in Xenoph. Cyropæd. (i. 3. 2), where Cyrus, meeting with his rather oddly dressed grandfather, exclaims, $\bar{\omega} \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho$, $\omega s$ кало́s $\mu o \iota$ ó $\pi$ ántos. The same familiar usage of the personal pronouns is met with in other languages. Thus, the Germans say, "Das ist mir ein schöner Kerl," That is a fine fellow (ironically), and the French, "Emporte-moicela?" etc. - $\tau i \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota}$; what is to become of it? Comp. below, v. 297, $\tau^{i} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \omega \mu a \iota$;
157. ধ̇máyєє. Dind. proposes, for the metre's sake, to read $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \xi a \iota$, which the sense also seems to require, though it is not found in any of the manuscripts.
 interpreted. Pauw. proposes to supply the preposition $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon}$ or ámó, and understands that the Thebans hurl the stones down from the battlements upon the Argives; whilst Heath (supplying катá) takes the stone-shower as coming from the enemy against the Theban walls, the battlements of which are hit on the top. This latter is undoubtedly the simplest and best explanation of the passage. Blomf. translates áк $\rho о \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \lambda_{l} \theta a ́ s$ by "lapidum imber a velitibus jactus," a shower of stones thrown by the velites (light-armed troops), whilst Well. takes ákpoßó入os actively, and renders it "de-
super tela jaciens." Both interpretations are, however, too harsh. See Linw. ad loc.
 is very obscure, and we may, with Dind., suppose that several words have been lost after $\Lambda \iota o \theta_{\epsilon} \nu$. Translate, The war-deciding, sacred issue in battles comes from Zeus. Blomf., however, renders $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu$ óкраитos, "a bello confectus." A different, but perhaps rather too fanciful interpretation of the passage, is given by the Scholiast, and partly adopted by Dind. (see Schol. A. ad loc.). Blomf. and Well. take ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi a \imath \sigma \iota$ together with the following sentence.
 which goddesses were addressed, as gods by ävaछ. So
 Schol. A. mentions that the Phœnician name of Pallas was " O ккa, and that Cadmus instituted her worship under that name in Bœotia, after he had slain with her assistance the dragon who watched the sacred fountain of Ares. According to the Scholiast to Pindar, Olymp. ii. 45, the surname was given to Athene from the village of Onkæ in Bœotia, near Thebes, where she was worshipped. One of the seven gates of Thebes, before which the temple of Onkas Athene was situated, was called "Onkæan gate." Cfr.
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ is to be construed with ä $\nu а \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ "Оука, because her temple and statue were, as has already been remarked, situated in the neighborhood of Thebes. The ancients firmly believed in the actual presence of the gods in their shrines and statues; hence, for instance, they washed and dressed them, and even imagined occasionally to discover traces of life in the wood, ivory, or stone of which the images were made. Cfr. below, note to v. 217. By é $\pi \tau$ dá$\pi v \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$ ẽos, the seven-gated dwelling-place, of course Thebes is meant.

[^1]169. סopitovov, laboring under the evils of war. Thus, below, v. 628, סорітоуа кака́.


 as much as hostile. - To make this verse agree with the corresponding line (v. 179) in the antistrophe, the word $\mu \mathrm{oi}$ has been inserted by Schütz before $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \varphi, \varphi$, and $\gamma^{\prime}$ has been suggested by Blomf.
171. $\pi a v \delta i$ ícoss, $j u s t$, because they were made in behalf of their native country. Blomf. prefers, however, $\pi a \nu \delta \partial \kappa \omega \bar{s}$. The $\lambda \iota \tau a i$ are $\chi \in \iota \rho o ́ \tau o \nu o \iota$, i. e. accompanied by the outstretching of their hands.
175. à $\mu \phi \nprec a ̈ v \tau e s$, walking around for protection. Comp.

 has. After фìoтó̀ts understand थ̈ $\epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
 ing, sacred rites of our city. The öpyıa are properly the sacred rites ( $\mu v \sigma \pi n \rho i a$ ) with which certain gods, as Demeter, and especially Dionysus, were worshipped. Comp. Grote, Hist. of Greece, Vol. I. p. 30. Here, however, sacred rites in a more general sense are meant; so, also, in Soph. Antig. 993, where cfr. Wunder, and Trach. 765. On the redundant use of $\mu$ oi compare what has been said above, v. 156 .
182. $\theta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ oủk àvaб $\chi \in \tau a ́$. $\theta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a$ is properly any thing that is nourished, an animal, but is frequently used as a word of reproach ; as, creature. Thus, Soph. Elect. 622,

 able. Comp. Æsch. Fragm. 281, Kакoì үàp єỉ $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ò̀к àvaбхєтоi.
183. 'H tav̂', are these things really. The particle $\bar{\eta}$ expresses the irony with which Eteocles asks the question. Supply éczi after $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \eta_{\rho \prime}$.

184．Aápoos．Properly，courage；here，that which gives courage，encouragement．Schütz compares Hom．Il．ix．
 $\pi a ̂$ סák which cause the tears．See Mitchell＇s Frogs，ad v． 645.

185．Bрє́т $\pi \epsilon \sigma o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi \rho o ́ s, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \eta . ~ S o p h . ~$


186．Aứєı ，入aкá̧єєข．These words qualify the тav̂тa in v．183．Their position is very expressive of the passion with which Eteocles addresses the Chorus．The words
 av̉є $\epsilon \nu, \lambda a \kappa \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，and be rendered things hateful to the wise，or we may refer them to the women（ $\theta \rho \dot{\rho} \kappa \mu a \tau a$ ），and translate ye abominations to the wise．This latter is preferred by most editors，and is perhaps more likely to be the true interpretation，as $\mu i \sigma \eta \mu a$ is frequently used by the Trage－ dians as a word of reproach to persons．（Soph．Electr． 289 ；Eurip．Hippol． 407 ；Fragm．531，Dind．）It seems， also，the more natural interpretation，because a man in a violent passion，such as Eteocles was in，would not be apt to make the moral reflection which lies in $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o{ }^{\nu} \omega \nu$ $\mu \tau \sigma^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ ，if taken in apposition with the two preceding in－ finitives．
 well－being，prosperity．Comp．Blomf．Gloss．ad Agam． 630.
 implied in $\tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \epsilon i \varphi \varphi \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota$ of the preceding line．Gram－ marians call this figure the $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тлòs тò $\sigma \eta \mu a \imath \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$. Comp．Jelf，§ 382．1．Similar are Soph．Phil．497，$\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$

 бos，impudence，audacily；the quality used for the person that possesses it．Thus，Soph．Ant．756，yovaukòs $\grave{\omega} \nu$ doú－ $\lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} a$ ，i．e．反où入os．

duced in the citizens dastardly cowardice；the word modi－
 Eurip．Iph．in Taur．676，каì $\delta є \iota \lambda i a \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ к а і ̈ ~ к а ́ к \eta \nu . ~ A l s o, ~$ Eurip．Medea 1051.

193．Tà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta_{\nu} \rho u \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，i．e．$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ ．
195．Touav̂тá тả̀ ．．．＇＇̈́Xoıs，such things you will encoun－ ter，etc．The optative with ${ }^{a} \nu \nu$ softens the assertion．

196．$K є i \mu \eta$＇$\tau \iota s . .$. áxov́бєтat，and if there is any one who will not obey．The particle $\mu \eta$ is to be construed with àкои́өєтає．
 intra duas acies．＂Vir et fæmina et quicquid inter hæc nomina omitto，i．e．pueri，virgines．＂Blomf．

198．$\Psi \boldsymbol{\eta} \phi \circ$ ．．．．$\beta$ ov入єv́ $\sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, a fatal vote，i．e．sentence of death，will be passed．及ov入єvंधєтal，fut．mid．with the force of the fut．pass．，which is not in use．On the passive use of these futures，comp．Eur．Orest．440，$\psi \hat{\eta} \phi$ os кa $\theta^{\prime}$

 нópò $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$ ס $\eta_{\mu} \mu v$ ，He shall by no means escape from death by stoning，inflicted by the people．－$\lambda \in v a \tau \eta ิ p a . ~ \tau \grave{o}$ $\lambda_{i} \theta_{o} \beta \dot{0} \lambda_{o \nu}$ ．Etymol．Magn．Homer calls the death by stoning 入áivov $\chi \iota \tau \omega ิ v a$（Il．iii．57）．Soph．Ajac． 252 has
 Mitchell＇s Acharn．p．79，and Blomf．Agam．p．312．－On the attributive genitive $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$ ，comp．Jelf，§ 483 ，Obs． 4. ——oũ $\tau \iota \mu \eta ̀ \phi u ́ \gamma \eta$ ，i．e．oủ $\phi \in \grave{j} \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota . ~ C f r . ~ a b o v e, ~ v . ~ 38, ~$ and Jelf，$\$ 415$.

201．riter． 2 pers．sing．pres．imper．act．from the old form тเ $\theta^{\prime} \omega$ ．

204．ápдато́ктито⿱ ӧтоßоу，the noise made by the rattling of chariots．

205．бópcyyєs èitpoxou．Pars pro toto，the hole in the nave of the wheel for the wheel itself．èitrooxor $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\text { às }}$ єлíaбoутая oi трохоí．Schol．A．
206. ${ }^{`} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi \kappa \kappa \omega ิ \nu . . . . \chi^{a \lambda \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu . ~ U n d e r s t a n d ~ o ै т о ß o \nu, ~ a n d ~ c o n-~}$ strue : ( ${ }^{\text {oै } \tau о \beta o ́ \nu) ~} \tau \epsilon \chi^{a \lambda \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu} \pi \nu \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau a ̂ \nu ~ \pi \eta \delta a \lambda i \omega \nu ~ i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu \dot{a} \hat{u} \pi \nu \omega \nu$ סià $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a$, hearing the noise of the fire-born (i. e. wrought in the fire) bit, the rudder of horses, sleepless in their mouths. The passage is, however, probably corrupt. As the words $i \pi \pi \kappa \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{d} \hat{v} \pi \nu \omega \nu$ do not agree with the corresponding verse in the antistrophe, à $\gamma \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \nu \omega \nu$ (sleepless) has been conjectured by Seidler, and approved of by Dind., Well., and Blomf., the latter of whom even has taken it into the text, and compares Prom. 358, Zquòs ä $\gamma \rho v \pi \nu o \nu \beta^{\prime}$ '̃os. Besides, Schütz has proposed סıa⿱тómia (bit) for $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a$, which Well. has received. Dind., however, rejects it, on the ground that the end of a dochmius could scarcely fall here into the middle of a word; he however admits the necessity of reading $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \mu c a$, both for the sake of sense and metre. The reins are called sleepless, because the horse moves them incessantly to and fro. Schütz compares the whole passage with Eurip. Hippol. 1221, where Valckenaer quotes Ovid, Trist. i. El. iv. 11.
208. ó vaút $\quad . .$. mus sc. nautarum, qui a patrono navi præficitur ; $\kappa v \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$, gubernator, qui navem gubernaculo regit." Stanl. ảpa $\mu \dot{\eta}$, к. т. $\lambda$. Translate, Has ever the sailor, by hastening from the stern (the helm) of the vessel to the prow (where the image of the tutelary deity was placed) found a means of safety? Thus, says Eteocles, neither will you nor would I do any good to the state by running to the temples of the gods. ảpu $\mu \dot{\eta}$. The $\mu \dot{\eta}$ added to ${ }^{\circ} \rho a$ expresses the conviction of Eteocles that his question is to be answered in the negative. Blomf. quotes Soph. Electr. 446 ; Antig. 632. Comp. also Matth. § 614, and Jelf, §873. 3.
 after каноv́бŋs, because the notion of the standing still of the vessel, whilst she struggles against the waves, is predominant in the mind of the poet. See Jelf, $\$ 645$.
212. $\theta$ єoîcı $\pi i \neq v v o s . ~ C o m p . ~ S u p p l . ~ 351, ~ a ̀ \lambda k a ̣ ~ \pi i ́ r v v o s . ~$
 ${ }_{0}{ }^{2}$ oâs. víhas is properly a snow-storm ; here it signifies the shower of stones which the besiegers threw against the city. After $\beta \beta$ ó $\mu$ os understand $\grave{\text { è }} \gamma^{\prime} \varphi \in \tau \sigma$.
214. $\pi \rho \grave{c}_{s} \mu a \kappa i ́ \rho \omega \nu \nu$ 入ıás, to prayers to the gods. Thus, Soph. Antig. 1184, $\pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ o ̀ o s ~ \theta \epsilon a ̂ s ~ o ̂ ̃ \pi \omega s ~ i к o i \mu \eta \nu ~ \varepsilon i ̀ \gamma \mu a ́ t \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o o n '-~$ ropos. Cfr. Jelf, § 464. 3. - The genitive $\pi$ ó̀eos is gov-

216-218. חípyov .... तóyos. Well. ascribes these three lines altogether to Eteocles, placing a full stop after $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$, instead of a mark of interrogation; because Eteocles speaks three senarii between each strophe of the Chorus, and the poet would not have broken through this rule in this one instance. This is undoubtedly true, yet, as the verses stand, they give scarcely sufficient sense if assigned to Eteocles alone. Dind. considers, on that account, v. 217 as an interpolation. - $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \nu$, to be proof (tight) against. See below, v. 797.
217. Oüкovע. ... $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$; Well, will this then not come from the gods? On the use of $\pi$ pós c. genit., expressing the author from whom something proceeds, cfr. Matth. § 316. d, Obs. ; Jelf, § 638. 2. c. -'A ${ }^{\prime} \lambda$ ' . . . $\lambda$ óóos. It was a popular belief among the ancients, that, before a city was taken by the enemy, the gods were accustomed to leave it. Stanl. compares Eurip. Troad. 25 ; Virg. Æn: ii. 351. Add Livius, v. 15 , sub fin., and Horat. Carm. ii. 1. 25-27. Schol. A. relates that Sophocles, in a lost tragedy entitled \#oavnфópoı (The Image-bearers), represented the native gods of Troy as carrying their wooden images ( góava) on their shoulders out of the city when on the eve of destruction. Burton adds, that hence arose the custom of carefully guarding the images in times of great danger, and of even fastening them in the temples. Cfr. G. Curtius Rufus, iv. 3: "Cumque unus e civibus concioni
indicasset, oblatam esse per somnum sibi speciem Apollinis urbem deserentis, . . . . quamquam auctor levis erat, tamen ad deteriora credenda proni metu, aurea catena devinxere simulacrum," etc. Construe, toùs $\theta \epsilon o$ vis $^{\text {t }} \boldsymbol{\eta} s$ ádoú-

221. 'Aбтvঠроцоуцє́vav, stormed, overrun by the enemies. It may also, with the Scholiast, be referred to the citizens who, during the sacking of the city, run to and fro in the greatest confusion.
 fire to the city. On the gen. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ understood, see above, v. 43. We have adopted the translation of Linwood, who, with Butler, takes ám $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ in a middle sense. Stanl. and Well., however, construe it as in the passive voice. In the former case, $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a$ refers to the army of the Argives, in the latter to the Thebans, and is to be taken for the city of Thebes itself. According to Well. the words would be translated, And the (Theban) army (i. e. city) burned by the hostile fire.
223. M' $\mu$ оь $\theta$ єò̀s, к. т. $\lambda$., Do not in calling on the gods take evil counsel; i. e. do not, although coming here for the purpose of praying to the gods, cause panic amongst the soldiery by your cries and lamentations, and thus injure the state. On the dative $\mu$ oi cfr. above, ad v. 156.
224. ПєєӨapхia . . . . $\sigma \omega \tau \bar{\eta} \rho o s . ~ C o n s t r u e, ~ \Pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi i ́ a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho, ~$
 $\gamma^{i} \nu \eta$ for the vocative $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \mathrm{va} \mathrm{\imath}$ (which Blomf. has received), and $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ as an adjective, qualifying $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \rho a \xi \xi i a s$. On the use of the nominative for the vocative, comp. Jelf, § 476. Dind., however, approves of Hermann's conjecture, $\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \bar{\eta} \rho o s$, the saving offspring, in apposition with єن่ाpa乡ias.
225. $\tilde{\omega}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \notin \chi \not \subset \iota$ 入óyos, habes meam sententiam, Schütz and Dind. It seems, however, better to translate, with Blomf. and others, thus the proverb has it. Cfr. Eurip. Iph. in


 $\pi a ̂ s ~ \delta u ́ a s, ~ \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda a ̂ \nu ~ к \rho \eta \mu \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{a} \nu$ ṽ $\pi \epsilon \rho \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, clouds hanging over his eyes (i. e. above his head). The words $\nu \in \phi \in \lambda a \hat{\nu}$ $\kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{a} \nu$ (Doric for $\nu \epsilon \phi \in \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ) are in the geníive absolute. Stanl. compares Cicero de Arusp. Respons. c. 3, and Orat. iv. in Catil. 2: "Circumspicite omnes procellas, quæ impendent nisi providetis." See also Blomf. ad Pers. 673.
 at the sacrifices, $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı a$ those which were slain before consulting the gods.
231. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$, to sacrifice. Comp. Hom. Il. i. 315, $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \delta o \nu \delta^{\prime}$

232. $\Sigma \grave{̀} \nu$, i. е. $\tau \omega ิ \nu \gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$.
235. Tis . . . . $\sigma \tau v \gamma \in \imath$; Tis, which the metre requires, is a correction of Heath's, adopted by most editors; all the manuscripts have $\tau i$, which Well. retains as giving better sense. Translate, What envy (of yours) finds fault with these things? i. e. Why do you object to our pious faith in the efficacy of the gods, that moves us in these times of public danger to turn to them for protection and help ? Stanl. compares Virg. Æn. iv. 349: "Quæ tandem Ausonia Teucros considere terra Invidia," etc.
 opposite is $\theta_{\rho} a \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi^{\nu o s}$, brave. Comp. Blomf. Gloss. ad Prom. 755.
239. àvá $\mu \iota \gamma a$. Well., Blomf., and others read ä ara, which means the same thing. On the dative фóß $\dot{\rho}$, comp. Jelf, §594. 2.
241. Tícov ${ }^{\text {ÉOos, }}$, this sacred seat; sacred, because the images of the gods stood there.
 the news with lamentations.
244. Тои่т . . . . ß $\rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu$, For on such slaughter of mortals
(as you will soon see) Ares feeds; i. e. this is the necessary consequence of war. Well. and Blomf., however, read $\phi \dot{\sigma} \beta \omega$ instead of $\phi \dot{\sigma} \nu \varphi$, which they refer to the terror of the Chorus. Dind., who adopts this reading, places behind
 "A $\mathrm{A} \eta \mathrm{s}$ ßóбкєта.
245. Kà̀ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, and yet. I hear. On this force of каі̀ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \epsilon$,

246. M $\grave{\eta} \nu v ̂ \nu . . . a^{a} \gamma a \nu$, do not bear too openly, i. e. do not show your feelings too plainly. Blomf. compares Hom. Hymn. Merc. 92, and Plaut. Mil. II. vi. 88.
 an active force, as above, v. 121.
248. Оủkoû̀ . . . $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho 九 . ~ T r a n s l a t e ~ o u ̉ k o v ̂ \nu ~ b y ~ s u r e l y, ~ c e r-~$ tainly. Properly the sentence should be, 'Аркєє̂ $\mu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon$
 oủkoû̀ has been illustrated by Passow, and Liddell and Scott sub voce ov่кồv. Comp. also Jelf, § 791, Obs.
 Wilt thou not remain in silence? $\sigma$ ija being an adverb. The full expression is found in Soph. Ajac. 75, ov $\sigma \hat{i}{ }^{\prime}$ $\mathfrak{a} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\in} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota$. The particle ov expresses the command contained in the first, and $\mu \eta$ in $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu$ the prohibition contained in the second clause of the verse. Comp. Wunder ad Soph. Edd. Tyr. 618. In $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \epsilon i s$, the second person of the future is used with the force of the imperative. Cfr. Matth. §511. 3. Elmsley ad Eurip. Med. 1120 places, however, the sign of interrogation after $\pi \tau \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$, which is adopted by Dind.
251. ${ }^{s} \Omega \xi_{v \nu} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon u($ i. e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \nu)$. The Chorus address themselves to the images of the tutelary deities, which were placed in the Acropolis.
252. Оủk '̇s $\phi \theta$ ópov, к. т. $\lambda$. "Breviter dictum pro oủk є́s
 Soph. (Ed. Tyr. 1146, oúk єis ö $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$; ov̉ $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ 敞 $\sigma \epsilon \iota$;"

Dind. The sense is, Go to perdition and bear it in silence.
 is usually omitted in prayers. Comp. Hom. Il. viii. 179,
 ad Eurip. Suppl. 3, and our note, v. 75, above.
257. ‘ävסpas. If we read äv $\delta \rho a s$, we must understand ${ }_{\omega}^{\omega} \pi a \sigma a s$ from the preceding line. Well. and Blomf., however, read äv $\partial \rho \epsilon \epsilon$, which is found in several manuscripts. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\omega}$ is 3 sing. aor. 2 pass. from $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma к о \mu a \iota$; the subjunctive is used, because the case is merely supposed as possible, not as really existing.
258. Палıvбтонєis. We may (with Butler) suppose the Chorus to have spoken the preceding line in an undertone, so that Eteocles, not understanding the import of the words, thought that the Chorus were again commencing with their lamentations. He therefore asks, Are you muttering again? etc. $a \mathcal{v}$ is to be construed with $\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \sigma \tau o \mu \epsilon i s$, and not with $\theta_{\text {l }}$ 子ávovoa.
 grant me, asking it, a small favor, sc. I would be glad. $\epsilon i$ or $\epsilon i$ à $\rho$ is thus frequently used to express the wish of the speaker, as also the Latin si in Virg. Æn. vi. 187, as quoted by Abresch. The same editor also compares Soph.


 softened imperative for $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon$, which was chiefly used in addressing the gods or persons of superior rank. Comp. below, v. 316, äpoıг $\theta$.
263. то̀ $\mu$ о́ $\rho \tau \mu о \nu$, death.
264. Toù $\tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu \tau^{\prime} \in \in \kappa \in i \nu \omega \nu$. With тov̂to Eteocles refers to the resolution of the Chorus, expressed in the preceding line; by ékeivol he means the lamentations which the Chorus had been uttering before. aipeîo $\theta a \iota$ àvi tivos, to take in preference to.

265．є̇кто̀s oủ̄＇ả $\boldsymbol{q}^{\lambda} \lambda \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，being，keeping away from the images．The images were placed in the middle of the temple on pedestals，and inclosed with rails．To this in－ closure（ $\sigma \eta к o ́ s$ ）the word éккós has reference．Cfr．Potter， Archæol．Græc．p． 196.

266．EưXov тà kpєívoc，pray for better things．On the construction of verbs of praying，etc．，comp．supra，v．45，
 in apposition with $\tau \grave{a}$ кpєíनo由；the vulgate has a comma after крєí $\sigma \sigma \omega$ ．
 pitiatory shout．The active signification of $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \nu \hat{\eta}$ ，as Stanl． has given it，and which is supported by the Gloss．of Schol． A．，seems in this instance preferable to auspicious，benign， which is the more common meaning of the word；for the pæan was sung，not only after，but also before the battle， for the double purpose of propitiating the gods and inspir－ ing the troops with courage．Comp．，for instance，Xenoph． Anab．iii．2．9，where，at the council of the generals elected in the place of those who had been treacherously slain by Tissaphernes，Xenophon proposed a plan for their future march and conduct，which being adopted，the author adds：


269．Quatáoos．Schol．A．，$\uparrow \hat{s} s \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \theta v \sigma i a i s ~ \gamma є \nu o \mu e ́ v \eta s . ~$ Soph．Antig．1019，日vacáóas 入ıtás．

270．入र́ovaa mo入є́ $\mu$ оо $\phi$ óßov，thus dispelling the dread （which our men entertain）of the enemy．Blomf．has re－ ceived $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ from Schol．B．；Dind．approves of it，but Well．prefers $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ as the more poetical reading of the two．

272．Пєठьovó $\mu o \iota s$ ，who protect the plains．Stanl．com－ pares Propert．Carm．iii．13：＂Diique Deæque omnes， quibus est tutela per agros，＂and Virg．Georg．i．21．－ кảropâs émırкótoıs．Eschylus means Athene，and espe－ cially Artemis，who（cfr．Soph．CEd．Tyr．160）was wor－
shipped in the áropá of Thebes．See also below，v．449， $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau а т \eta \rho i a s ~ ' A \rho \tau є ́ \mu і \delta о s$.

273．$\Delta i \rho \kappa \eta s \tau \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma a i s$, i．e．to the nymphs who inhabited the fountain．Several manuscripts have $\pi \eta \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ or $\pi \eta \gamma \hat{a} s$ ，sc． $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s .-$ ovं ${ }^{\prime}$＇àn＇＇I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu o u ̂ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ，nor do I speak apart from Ismenus，i．e．nor do I except Ismenus．Stanl．and Schütz suppose $\dot{a}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \omega$ to stand，by tmesis，for ${ }^{\prime} \pi \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ ；but then we should，as $\dot{a} \pi \circ \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ governs the accusative，have to understand $\theta$ єoús after＇I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu o v$ ．Well．gives to àmó the force of ä ávv，$\chi \omega$ pis；comp．also Jelf，$§ 620.1$ ．The Isme－ nus was a small mountain torrent，flowing at the foot of a hill on which stood a temple sacred to Apollo Ismenius．

274．E U そ́v $\nu \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ，sc．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \omega \nu$ ．Blomf．and Dind．，however，prefer to supply aủ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ，sc．$\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，because $\xi v \nu \tau v \gamma \chi^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ is seldom used of things，but most frequently of persons．

275－278．M ${ }^{\prime} \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu . .$. óó $\mu \iota \iota$ ．As these verses are given in the text，we must understand $\dot{\eta} \mu a \hat{s}$ before aipá⿱宀бovtas，and translate：I thus make a vow that we，staining the altars of the gods with the blood of sheep，and sacrificing bulls to the gods，will deposit in their sacred houses vestments of the enemies，the spear－stricken spoils of foes，as trophies． The whole passage，however，is doubtless unsound，and at least v． 275 an interpolation．Blomf．proposes to read in v． $276 \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ for $\theta \in o i \sigma \iota \nu$ ，in order to avoid the unpleasant repetition of the same word $(\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu)$ in the preceding line． For Bothe＇s excellent emendation，cfr．Dindorf＇s note． Dindorf himself proposes to read the passage thus：


$\Sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \psi \omega \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \nu a \omega \bar{\nu}, \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ є́ $\sigma \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu a \tau a$ ．


Such things vow to the gods，without indulging in sighs． In the second line，dovрiтпкта means hung up in the tem－
ples on spears, as it was the custom in ancient times to dedicate the spoils taken from enemies to the gods, and suspend them in their temples. In v. 278, as given in the text, $\lambda a ́ \phi v p a ~ \delta a ̣ ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta o v p i \pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau a ~ s t a n d s, ~ b y ~ h y p a l l a g e, ~ f o r ~$ $\lambda a ́ \phi u \rho a ~ \delta a ̣ ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta o v \rho ı \pi \lambda \eta ́ k \tau \omega \nu$, the spoils of enemies slain by the spear.
 more, etc. The second aorist subj. after ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ has the force of the future. Cfr. Jelf, § 748.

 This is, however, improper, on account of the great distance by which the two words are separated. It is better to read with Blomf. and Well. $\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \omega{ }^{\circ} \delta \epsilon \in \gamma^{\prime}$.
 adverso remigat." Blomf. - тò̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu ~ т \rho o ́ \pi о \nu, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \mu \epsilon-~$ rá $\lambda \omega$, in a noble style, as it behooves a king. Thus, below, v. 463, $\beta$ áp $\beta a \rho o \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ ; ~ 465, ~ o v ̉ ~ \sigma \mu \iota к \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu . ~$
 On the nature of these compound adjectives, cfr. Jelf, § 435. a, Obs. See also above, v. 163.
 kindled and increased) by our distress. 'This is better than Stanley's interpretation, who takes $\phi \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ actively, and translates, "ac nos præ necessitate accendant." Schol. B.
 $\delta а \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$.
287. Mé $\lambda \epsilon \iota$, sc. $\mu$ ò̀ rá $\delta \epsilon$, thy words are an object of care to me, - yet. The Chorus, although feeling the propriety of obeying the command of Eteocles to refrain from any further lamentations, yet soon after the king has departed again give vent to their feelings, and address the gods a second time in prayer.

289, 290. M'́ $\rho \iota \mu \nu a \iota$. . . . $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu$. By the words $\dot{\alpha}_{\mu} \phi \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ $\lambda \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega}$ (the people besieging the walls) the poet defines more
clearly in what the tápßos of the preceding line consists. We must therefore consider $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$ as a sort of apposition with $\tau$ ápßos, supplying in our mind the active meaning, which lies in тápßos, viz. тap $\beta \hat{\omega}, I$ dread. Comp. Seidler ad Eurip. Iph. in Taur. 215, and Jelf, § 580. 3.


 ture of Lachmann de Chor. Syst. p. 67. All the manuscripts have $\lambda \in \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$, which, if preferred, has to be construed with סvoधvváropas, bad bedfellows of the nest. Instead of «ávт $о$ oфos, which the two Scholiasts explain by breeding all the year round, but which means nothing more than nursing with all care, or obtaining food from all sides, the reading $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \rho o \mu o s$, all-trembling, has been adopted from one of the manuscripts by Blomf., Dind., and others, as being an epithet more suited to the condition in which the dove is represented to us here.- ivv gerous bedfellow, as $\Delta \dot{v} \sigma \pi a \rho \iota s$, infaustus Paris. Cfr. Blomf. Gloss. ad loc.
295. $\pi о \tau i, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \pi \rho o ́ s . ~$
296. $\tau i$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \mu a \iota$; Cfr. note on v. 156, above.
298. ả $\mu \iota ß\left\langle\begin{array}{l}\lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \\ \text {, struck on all sides. Blomf. compares }\end{array}\right.$ Thucyd. iv. 36, каì oi $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \iota o \iota, \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$ oí $\tau \epsilon \mathfrak{a} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$

302. $\sigma \tau \rho a t o ́ \nu, ~ p o p u l u m . ~ S i c ~ P i n d . ~ P y t h . ~ i i . ~ 160 . ~ S t a n l . ~$ Compare also ${ }^{\circ}$ Prom. 423, dáios $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s . ~$
304. à $\mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \psi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, will ye take in exchange. Comp. what has been said above on v. 218.
306. $\beta a \theta \hat{u}_{\chi} \theta o v^{\prime}$ aỉav, having a deep, i. e. a fruitful soil. The soil of Bœotia was celebrated for its fertility; hence also Eurip. in Phœn. 657 calls the fields watered by the Dircæan fountain $\beta a \theta v \sigma \pi o ́ \rho o v s, ~ d e e p l y ~ s o w n, ~ i . ~ e . ~ h a v i n g ~$ deep furrows (comp. Blomf. Gloss. ad Prom. 673). The sweetness of the Dircæan spring is also celebrated by
 ßaUvarópous, as quoted by Butler.
309. $\pi \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ö $\sigma \omega \nu$, by attraction for $\pi \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ö $\sigma a$.
310. yatáo ${ }^{\circ}$ s, Dor. for $\gamma a i \eta$ oxos; an epithet given to Poseidon already by Homer (Il. ix. 183, etc.), because he encircles the earth, or, according to Schol. on Hom. loc. cit.,

311. Tך $\theta$ v́os $\tau \epsilon \pi a i \delta \epsilon s$, i. e. oi потaцoi. Tethys was the daughter of Uranus and Gæa, and wife of her brother Oceanus, to whom she bore the Rivers (Hes. Theog. 337) and Oceanids (id. 362). She must not be confounded with Thetis, the mother of Achilleus.
312. Прòs тád', i. e. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a \hat{i t a, ~ t h e r e f o r e, ~ a s ~ t h e s e ~ t h i n g s ~}$ are so. Comp. above, v. 57, and Blomf. Gloss. ad Prom. 1065.
313. тoî̃兀 $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ 光 $\xi \omega \pi \dot{\jmath} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, i. e. тoís $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu$ iots. Comp. v. 193, $\tau \grave{a} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad$ טípa $\theta \in \nu$.
314. à $\nu \grave{\rho} \rho \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu$, destroying men.
315. Kaì tàv. These words, which stand in all the manuscripts, are rejected by Dind., who proposes in their place עóvov. Hermann reads "Atav; Ahrens proposes Aíx $\mu a \nu$; but Dindorf's emendation seems preferable, as ärav is repeated after $\dot{\rho} i \not \subset \circ \pi \lambda o \nu .-\dot{\rho} i \notin o \pi \lambda o \nu$ ätav, the woe which causes men to cast away their arms.
316. ä $\rho o \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (sc. ä $\nu$ ). Schol. B. $\lambda a ́ ß o \iota \tau \epsilon ~ a ̈ \nu . ~ C o m p . ~$ above, v. 261.
317. Kv̂ $\delta o s . . . . \pi o \lambda i t a l s . ~ I n s t e a d ~ o f ~ к u ̂ \delta o s, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda i-~$ rats, which is the reading of the Vulgate, Blomf., and after
 Blomf. compares Hom. Il. iv. 94 in support of this emendation. If we read the line thus, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \delta \boldsymbol{\pi}$ moditats is the dativus commodi depending on "̈poır $\theta$, and stands (cfr. Matth. $\$ 394.3$ ) for a a $\pi$ ó with the genitive. See also Jelf, $\$ 598$. Translate, therefore, Oblain glory for yourselves at the hands of linese cilizens.
 with the corresponding strophe，Dindorf，in order to supply the two syllables that are wanting，proposes Kai $\pi$ ódє $\omega_{s}$ ค́víopєs ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \in \delta \rho o i ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \theta \eta \tau^{\prime}$ ．

320．＇O $\xi v \gamma$ óo七s $\lambda_{\iota \tau a i ̄ \iota v ', ~ s c . ~}^{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，［moved］by our anxious litanies．
 erable．The word is derived from Ogyges，an ancient king of Attica，or，according to Pausanias，of Bœotia． The city of Thebes is said to have been named from him Ogygia，and one of its seven gates was called the Ogygian gate．Cfr．Eurip．Phœn．1113，＇$\Omega$ yóvıa $\delta^{\prime}$＇s $\pi \nu \lambda \dot{\omega} \mu a \theta^{\prime}$ ．
 common reading，and seems preferable，as Homer（Il．i．3）， from whom the phrase is derived，has＇Aiór．Translate ＇Aiòa $\pi \rho o i ̈ a ́ \psi a \iota, ~ s h o u l d ~ b e ~ u t t e r l y ~ d e s t r o y e d . ~-~ \delta o \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \gamma p a \nu ~$ is in apposition with $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ，to which also dov入iav refers．

323．Dov入ià 廿aфapạ $\sigma \pi o \delta \hat{̣}$ means enslaved by the dry ashes，i．e．subjected and reduced to ashes．Some editors place the comma after $\delta o u \lambda i a v$, construe it with ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \nu$（an enslaved prey of the spear），and supply $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ before $\psi a \phi a p a ̣$ $\sigma \pi o \delta \hat{\varphi}$ ．This would，however，be too harsh．

324．＇$\gamma \pi$＇à $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \chi a \iota o ̂, ~ b y ~ a n ~ A c h æ a n ~ m a n, ~ i . ~ e . ~ a r m y . ~$ Thus the Romans use frequently one man for the whole army．Comp．Liv．xxi．9，＂Pœnus（i．e．Pœnorum exer－ citus）quia non vicisset，pro victo esset．＂－$\theta \in \dot{\sigma} \theta \in \nu$, by the will of the deity．

 prisoners to be carried by the hair in the manner of horses． Stanl．comp．Virg．Æn．ii．403，＂Ecce trahebatur passis Priameia Virgo（Cassandra）Crinibus，＂and Æsch．Suppl．
 With the phrase $\pi \lambda о к а ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ä $\gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ Stanley compares pívos．

व̈ $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$（see Jelf，§ 536）．Kühner，however，takes $i \pi \pi \eta \delta \delta \dot{\partial}$ $\pi \lambda o \kappa a ́ \mu \omega \nu$ as an elliptical genitive absolute，oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ being understood．Cfr．Jelf，§ 376，Obs．

331．$\Delta$ aîoos（i．e．$\lambda$ nitoos from $\lambda$ íis），the booty，viz．the captive inhabitants．－$\mu$＇̇ंo日 oóov，with mingled cries．The cries are said to be mixed，because men，women，and chil－ dren were slaughtered indiscriminately．

332．Bupeías ．．．．$\pi \rho о т а \rho \beta \hat{\omega}$ ．$\pi \rho о \tau а \rho \beta є i ̀ ~ \tau \iota v o ́ s, ~ t o ~ d r e a d ~$ something beforehand．Abresch compares Soph．Ant．83，

$333-335$ ．K $\lambda$ avtò̀ ．．．．óoóv．After к入avtóv supply $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$, like oikтрóv（sc．є́cтı），v．321，and before ápтıтрóтoıs a word like rópaıs．As the lines stand，we have to translate，It is a mournful thing for virgins lately turned（from childhood to maidenhood），before the rites which pluck unripe fruits （i．e．the marriage rites），to take a hateful journey（into captivity）in exchange for their homes．For à ãıгоótoıs （from $\tau \rho \in ́ \pi \omega$ ）Schütz proposes áp $\quad \iota \nu v \not \mu \phi o \iota s$ ，and Schneider， Dind．，and others ápтıтоóфoıs（from т $\rho \in ́ \phi \omega$ ），the latter with the meaning of áptıгрónoıs．The whole passage seems， however，to be more or less corrupt．

336．Tí；elliptical for $\tau i ́ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda a ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ; ~ S c h o l . ~ A . ~$ －$\pi \rho \circ \lambda \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \omega, I$ say with confidence．

337．Bé入тєрa $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，is better off than these． The word $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \boldsymbol{m}$ may either be referred to the persons whose calamities the Chorus described in the preceding lines，or to the calamities themselves．The former is，per－ haps，preferable．In regard to $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \tau \epsilon \rho a$ it may be remarked that this form is always used by Æschylus instead of $\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega \nu$ ．

338，339．По $\lambda \lambda \grave{a} . . . . \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ．The subjunctive $\delta a \mu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ is used after $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau \epsilon$ ，because the principal clause $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a} . .$. $\pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota s . . . . \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ gives merely a general statement of what will happen when a city is taken．Cfr．Jelf，§842．2．

other parts) are placed in opposition to ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o \nu$; the latter, however, refer to men, the former to the buildings of the city.
343. Maıvóúvos $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\pi \iota \pi \nu \epsilon$ î. Cfr. Soph. Antig. 136, 及ак$\chi \in \dot{v} \omega \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \tau \nu \epsilon$, etc.
 Dind. explain these words by turris expugnatoria. óккáp is evidently related to $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\rho}$ коз ( a fence) ; we may, therefore, take it to be a rampart thrown up around the walls of Thebes, and furnished with towers for the assailants of the city.
347. Sopi. Well. reads úmò $\delta o \rho i$, which is found in one manuscript.
 cries of the infants resound. The cries are called aiparóє $\sigma=$ $\sigma a l$, bloody, because the infants were slain or dashed to the ground by the plunderers of the city. The adjectives aipatóє $\sigma \sigma a \iota$ and à $\rho \tau \iota \beta \rho \epsilon \phi \in i$ is agree, by hypallage, with $\beta \lambda a \chi a i$ instead of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \mu a \sigma \tau \iota \delta i \omega \nu$. Thus Soph. Ant. 793, ขєíкоs ả $\nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$
 $\beta \rho \epsilon \phi \bar{\omega} \nu$ (for $\beta \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ), of lately-born infants at the breast, taking $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu a \sigma \tau i \delta i \omega \nu$ as an adjective, and quotes in support of this emendation Eurip. Iph. in Taur. 231, o̊̀ ${ }^{\circ \prime} \lambda \iota \pi \iota \nu$

351. $\delta \iota a \delta \rho о \mu \hat{a} \nu$, Dor. for $\delta \iota a \delta \rho о \mu \omega ิ \nu$. Rapine is called the sister of confused flight, because both occur together during the sacking of cities. Æschylus is fond of calling lifeless objects, which have the same origin, brothers and sisters; thus, below, v. 494, we read of smoke as the brother of fire, because, as Schol. A. says, both are produced from the wood, and in Agam. 503 he makes dust the brother of mire. In a similar manner Pindar, Olymp. xi. 2 calls rain-showers the sons of clouds. Compare, besides, Fragm. Soph. apud Stob. iv. 7 (Dind. no. 663), $\dot{\eta}$ ס̀̀
 Blomf. ad loc.
 lines is, that those who were loaded with plunder met each other, whilst others who had not yet been successful, desirous of having companions in their search after booty, were calling on their fellow-soldiers to join them; yet all coveted neither a less, nor even an equal share, but were anxious to obtain more than the others. The word $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{c} \mu$ $\mu^{\prime} \nu_{\nu}^{\prime} \ell$, coveting, refers therefore both to $\phi^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ and $\kappa \in \nu o ́ s$.
356. Tiv' '่к $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\prime}$, к. т. $\lambda .$, What is there reason to conjecture from this? As the line stands, $\tau i \nu$ ' is to be taken as the neuter plural; the true reading is, however, probably $\tau \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i} \epsilon$ к. One of the manuscripts has $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$.

357, 358. Паขтобало̀s . . . кvрŋ́баs, Produce of every kind, having fallen to the ground, causes grief. If we take the passage as it stands in the text, $\pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu$ and кир $\eta \sigma a s$ have to be construed together, кupeiv having the force of to be. Blomf. compares v. 401, цар $\mu$ aipovaà oùpavov̂ кvpєìv. Dind. considers the word to be a mere gloss; but Heath proposes кvpoúvas, a conjecture which recommends itself by its simplicity and adaptedness to the sense of the passage. If we read kvpoíras, the sense will be, Produce of every kind, having fallen to the ground, causes grief to those who meet with it. The next line, Пıкрò $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \not{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \nu a \lambda a \mu \eta \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$, Stern, sad is the eye of the housekeepers, explains the word кupov́ras more fully.
361. oủtıסavoîs . . . . форєîtal, is borne away in worthless floods, i. e. by the worthless multitudes of the plundering invaders.
 less corrupt as it stands, and has not yet been satisfactorily explained or emended. (See Butler and Well. ad loc.)
 lated. Blomf. has transposed two verses, and reads, $\Delta \mu \omega i \delta \epsilon s$


as genitive absolute, The young girls (are) afficted with new grief, the victorious hostile man having obtained their wretched captive bed. Matthiæ (Gr. Gram. § 422) and Kühner (Jelf, § 579. 2), however, take $\tau \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \circ \nu^{\prime}$ aỉ $\mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu$ cỉváv as an accusative, expressing in what the $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ of the young girls consisted. If we do not, with Dind., reject the
 lation, we may retain our text as far as $\dot{\omega} s$, and putting a colon behind єỉzvðồvtos, read (with Butler) ais instead of $\dot{\omega} s$, and take $\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \partial u \bar{s} \dot{i \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon} \rho \circ v$ as genitive absolute. The version of the passage then would be, The young maidens (are) suffering new griefs, namely, the wretched captive bed of the victorious man; and since the enemy is prevailing, there is hope that death, the deliverer from wretched woe, will come. Matthiæ, who retains $\dot{\omega}$ s in line 365 , supplies övtos. Cfr. Gr. Gr. § 563, Obs.
 ขaтov. Blomf. reads $\pi о \lambda v \kappa \lambda a v ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
369. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v} \pi \in \cup \theta \dot{\omega}, n e w s$ concerning the army (of the enemy). $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$ may, however, also be taken as dependent on като́тт ${ }^{\text {s. }}$
371. $\Sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \nu$, urging on with haste the guiding naves of his feet. $\sigma \pi o v \delta \delta \hat{\eta}$, i. e. $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \pi \sigma v \delta \hat{\eta},=\sigma \pi v v \delta a i \omega s$. With the naves of the feet the joints are meant in which the feet move, as the wheel moves in the nave. Hesychius
 feet ; yet although this interpretation (adopted by Passow) would diminish the impropriety of the metaphor, it is better to take the term literally, as it occurs above, in v. 153 , since it is entirely in accordance with the (occasionally faulty) grandiloquence of our poet. $\delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \omega \nu$ is to be taken transitively, with $\chi \nu$ oás for its object, as Eum. $403,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \nu \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \delta \iota \omega^{-}$

 not intransitively, as Kühner explains it (Jelf, § 558. 2). ——In v. 372 ö $\delta \epsilon$ stands for |  |
| :---: | (cfr. Blomf. ad Prom. 977).

373．Eis ápriко入入ov ．．．$\mu a \theta \in i \nu$ ．The reading of the man－ uscripts is $\epsilon i \sigma^{\prime}$ ，comes．This is defended by Well．（see also Matth．§ 504.3 ），but objected to because the present tense of lévaı has always a future signification．We have， therefore，to understand $\tilde{\eta} \kappa є \iota$ after то́коs，take $\mu a \theta \epsilon i \nu$（with Linwood）as a substantive，governed by eis and qualified by
 time），or construe єis ápтiкоддод（sc．каєоóv）with $\tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ，comes opportunely．The Schol．A．and Passow assign to apriкод－ $\lambda_{o \nu}$ the meaning of new，and construe it with $\lambda_{o ́ \gamma o v: ~ c o m e s ~}^{\text {a }}$ for the new report of the messenger，to learn it；but this is not as good as the construction just now pointed out，since it isolates the infinitive $\mu a \theta \in i \nu$ too much．On the ellipsis in v． 372 ，Blomf．compares Soph．Antig．626，ö $\delta \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{A}_{i}{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ，


374．ajapri乡єє．To this verb，which the text of the vul－ gate exhibits，the sense of to complete is generally as－ signed．Blomf．，however，has received from the Codex Guelferbytanus ov катартí $\xi \in$ in the sense of does not permit it to rest，which has been rejected by Well．on the ground that катартi乡єı means rather restituere，conciliare．Well． and Dind．approve of the conjecture of Hermann ov кatap－ ris $\epsilon$ ，does not permit to delay，and this，although objec－ tionable，because it is a word made for the occasion，yet may，in fault of something better，be preferred，as at least best adapted to the sense of the passage；for evidently Semichorus B．intends to assert the same thing of Eteocles which Semichorus A．had said of the messenger．Trans－ late，therefore，Nor does haste permit the foot of this one to delay．Linwood，who rejects Hermann＇s conjecture， inclines to Pauw＇s interpretation of the Vulgate：＂facit，ut pes sibi non sit æqualis，＂i．e．haste prevents him from mak－ ing equal steps．This is also adopted by Butler．
 gates of Thebes have been differently given by various
authors. According to Eschylus, they were 1. חpouti $\delta$ es,

 tions the first three, and the sixth and seventh; besides, he has the ' $\Omega$ yoyial, which according to Hesychius were the same with the 'Оүкаídєs of Æschylus, and lastly, the Kр vaiat, which agree with the Dircææ of Statius. According to the Schol. the name of the ${ }^{\circ}$ E $\beta \delta o \mu a \iota$ of Eschylus and Euripides were Botariat. Comp. Porson ad Eurip. Phœn. v. 1134.
377. Tvócus. Tydeus was the son of CEneus and Peribœa, and brother of Deianeira. In consequence of some murder which he had committed, he was compelled to leave his native country Ætolia, and fled to Adrastus, king of Argos, at whose court he is said to have arrived the same night with Polyneikes, the son of Edipus. An oracle had directed King Adrastus to give his two daughters Argeia and Deiphyle to the lion and the boar; and as the shield of Tydeus was adorned with the device of a boar, and that of Polyneikes with a lion's head, Adrastus, believing that the time for executing the command of the oracle had arrived, gave his two daughters to the two fugitives in marriage. The offspring of Tydeus and Deiphyle was the Homeric hero Diomedes. - $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \Pi \rho o \iota \tau i \sigma \iota . ~$ These gates received their name from Prætus, an old Theban hero. Comp. Smith, Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Biog.
379. 'o $\mu$ ávtıs, i. e. Amphiaraus, son of Oikles (hence called below, v. 382, oik $\lambda \epsilon i \delta \eta s$ ) and Hypermnestra, brother-in-law of Adrastus, whose sister, Eriphyle, he had married. By her he had, among other children, Alkmæon, who afterwards slew his mother in revenge of her treachery to Amphiaraus. Being descended from the celebrated seer Melampus, Amphiaraus was himself highly gifted with prophetic powers, so that he foretold the disastrous issue of the campaign before the seven chiefs started from Argos. Cfr.

Smith, Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Biog., and especially Grote, Hist. of Greece, 2d ed., Vol. I. ch. 14. - $\sigma$ фа́үıa ка入á are the beasts sacrificed, the appearance of whose entrails was favorable to the undertaking contemplated. The custom of consulting the entrails of victims before battle was very general among ancient nations, and hostile armies were occasionally prevented for days and weeks from engaging in fight, because the sacrifices did not appear favorable. One of the most remarkable instances of this kind occurred before the battle of Platæa, as related by Herodotus, ix. 37, etc.
 $\nu a i s ~ \kappa \lambda a \gamma \gamma a i \sigma \iota \nu$, because, as the Schol. A. explains, the serpent тóтє $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ нaivєтal. к入á $\gamma \gamma \eta$, properly a cry, signifies here the hissing of the serpent. Schütz compares Virgil, Georg. iii. 432 ; Potter adds Ovid, Met. ii. 175.
382. Өeivet $\delta$ ' óveíót, strikes with reproach. The reading of the Vulgate $\theta^{\prime} \nu \in \iota$ is condemned by Blomf. and Pas-
 501.
 fawningly to avert death and battle. The infinitive $\sigma a i v \in \iota \nu$
 used of a dog, who, afraid of punishment, wags his tail and crouches before his master. See Blomf. Gloss. ad loc.
385. т $\varphi$, i. е. тоúтч. The article has often demonstrative force in Attic poets. Thus, for instance, below, v. 509, Є่ $\chi \theta \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ́ v \eta ̀ \rho ~ a ̉ v \delta \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̣ ̂ ~ \xi ́ v \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota . ~ C o m p . ~ a b o v e, ~$ v. 17, and Jelf, § 444. 5.
 fear, i. e. produce fear by the noise they make. Compare Eurip. Rhes. 308, where Musgrave remarks, that such bells were chiefly worn by Trojans. It was, however, probably a custom universal among Eastern nations. See also Blomf. ad loc. and Bothe ad Hom. Il. v. 739. The
word $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa \grave{\lambda} \lambda a z o s ~(~} \chi^{a \lambda \kappa o ́ s}$ and $\epsilon \lambda a i v \omega$ ）occurs again below， v． 539.
387．$\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu$＇．न $\hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，the emblem，device，of the shield．
388．Ф入＇́रove＇im’ ä ärpous，flaming with stars．
 $\mu \omega \dot{\omega}$ atav．．Stanl．compares Virgil，Æn．ix．404，＂Tu，Dea （i．e．Luna），tu præsens nostro succurre labori，Astrorum decus．＂With the form $\pi \rho \epsilon$＇$\sigma \beta \iota \sigma \tau 0 s$ compare above，v．65，
 Wund．，è xpvó́as ápépas $\beta \lambda$ ¢́qapov．Eurip．Phœen．543，
 forth．
 genitive governed by ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$, comp．Jelf，$\$ 498$.

393，394．＂I $\pi \pi$ os ．．．$\mu$ 色 $\nu \omega \nu$ ．Translate，Like a horse breathing with violence against the bit，that with impatience awaits（ $\mu$＇évov）the sound of the trumpet．－$\chi^{a \lambda \lambda \nu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \omega े s ~}$
 $\nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．$\mu^{\prime} \dot{\ell} \nu \epsilon \iota$ is the dative sing．of $\mu^{\prime} \dot{v} v o s$ ，force，violence，and not the 3 sing．pres．of $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega$ ．In v． 394 ，we have taken ópuaive in its active sense，having 乃ò̀v $\sigma a ́ \lambda \pi t \gamma \gamma o s$ for its object（see Jelf，§ 551.1 ）．Linwood and others construe it intransitively，and make $\beta o^{\prime} \nu$ object to $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ．Dindorf rejects ópuaivet $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ，and reads，with Tyrwhitt，$\dot{\text { opuaivec }}$ $\kappa \lambda \dot{\imath} \omega \nu$ ，but this is quite unnecessary．Stanl．compares Virg． Georg．iii． 83 ；Ovid，Met．iii． 704 ；Lucan，iv．750， 756.

395．Проírov $\pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，genitive governed by $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i \nu$.
396．к $\lambda \dot{j} \theta_{\rho} \omega \nu \lambda \nu \hat{\theta}^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，genitive absolute．－$\phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\rho} \gamma \gamma v o s$ ， a fit opponent．According to Schol．ad Soph．Electr．942，
 Blomf．Gloss．

397．Kór $\mu$ оу ．．．．＇̀ $\gamma \dot{\gamma}$ ，No equipment，etc．could I possi－ bly dread．äy with the optative in an independent clause expresses that something cannot possibly take place．See Jelf，§ 418．f，and § 425.
398. € $\lambda к о \pi о ь$ á, making wounds. Blomf. compares Tacit. Agric. 22, and Liv. x. 39, "Non enim cristas vulnera facere et per picta atque aurata scuta transire Romanum pilum." According to Schol. A. the thought expressed in these lines was paraphrased by Æschylus from Alcæus.
 Choeph. 843, єлкаіроуть каі $\delta є \delta \eta \gamma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varphi$. Pers. 846, $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota-$
 351.
400. Kaì ขv́кта тaútๆข, and as to this night, which. This absolute accusative is used by the poet to bring the leading thought of the sentence more vividly before the hearer's mind. Butler supplies кaтá, but unnecessarily.- In the following words, construe $\mu$ ар $\mu$ aipovaav кv $\epsilon \in i \nu$ together, to be glittering. Comp. Pers. 503, $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu$ '́vos кv $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\imath}$.
 prove fatal to some one, i. e. to Tydeus. Thus, Choeph.
 Instead of $\dot{\eta}$ ' $\nu \nu o i a, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu o i ́ a, ~ W e l l . ~ r e a d s ~ s i ́ \eta ~ ' \nu o i a, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \dot{\eta}$ àoia, his boasting folly. Blomf., who denies that the ultima of $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \nu o i a ~ m a y ~ b e ~ l e n g t h e n e d ~(s e e, ~ h o w e v e r, ~ M a t t h . ~$ $\oint 68.3$, note), has évooía.

 ports. Observe the change of mode from the optative $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu о \iota \tau o$ to the indicative $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$. By the optatives $\epsilon i$
 speaker is represented as merely supposing that such a thing might happen ; but he suddenly forgets that he utters a mere possibility; he foresees in his imagination the impending death of the boastful enemy, and therefore he adds kaĩùs $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, and he himself will have prophesied this insolent boast against himself.
 sons of Astakus, a descendant from the five Sparti, who
were all that remained of the heroes sprung from the teeth of the dragon. Melanippus mortally wounded Tydeus, but was in turn slain by Amphiaraus. (Stanl. compares Statius, viii. 718.) His sepulchre (as well as that of Tydeus), Pausanias (ix. 18. 1) informs us, was near the Prœtid gate, and he was worshipped after his death by the Thebans.
408. Tóvo'. Butler rightly supposes that Eteocles appeared on the stage surrounded by the most distinguished Theban warriors. The same demonstrative pronoun occurs again below, v. 472.
411. Ai $\sigma \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu . . . \phi i \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. After ai $\sigma \chi \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ supply ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, not performing base deeds. ápyós is contracted from ảє $\rho \gamma$ ós. - $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ is equivalent to $\sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. On the peculiar force of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (in contradistinction to oú), cfr. Jelf, § 739.
413. 'Рi $\zeta \omega \mu$ ' àvєitat, his origin is traced. -- кápтa, thoroughly, out and out.
414. є̈руоv.....крьvєi, but the affair (the issue of the contest) Ares will decide with his dice. Compare Eurip. Rhes. 183, $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \rho \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\nu} \beta \iota \iota \sigma i$ סuíuovos. Thus, in Latin, jacta esto alea. Comp. also Eurip. Suppl. 328, where Trollope quotes Shakspeare, Richard III., V. 8, "Slaves, I have set my life upon a cast ; and I will stand the hazard of the die." See also Mitchell's note to Aristoph. Frogs, 1368.

415, 416. $\Delta i к \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\delta \mu a i \mu \omega \nu ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \delta o ́ \rho v, ~ t h e ~ l a w ~ o f ~ c o n s a n-~}$ guinity appoints him especially to ward off the hostile spear from the mother who brought him forth (i.e. Thebes). This is the interpretation of the Schol. and Schütz, whom also Dind. and Linwood follow. Stanley renders $\Delta i \kappa \eta \delta^{\prime}$ óai$\mu \omega \nu$ by Justitia consanguinea, and similarly the passage has been translated by Buckley (Oxf. transl. p. 48), who in a note ad loc. says: "Justice is styled the near relative of Melanippus, because he was aioxpêv ảpyós." Blomf. reads סiкn $\boldsymbol{\delta \mu a i \mu \omega \nu , ~ " J u r e ~ a u t e m , ~ u t ~ p o t e ~ c o n s a n g u i n e u s . " ~ - ~}$
rekovog $\mu \eta \tau \rho i$ is the dativus commodi, showing that the action expressed in $\epsilon p p \epsilon \nu$ is done for the advantage of the mother. Matth. § 394 ; Jelf, § 596, Obs. 1.
 wrestling), the champion.
 סíkaos.

 ne videam." Blomf. According to Hermann ad Viger. iii. (as quoted by Linw.) two constructions are blended in these

 dying for the sake of their friends. Blomfield's explanation seems, however, preferable, on account of the position of $\lambda_{\lambda o \mu} \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, which, according to Schütz, would have to agree with a word like $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\rho} \nu \nu$ understood. On the infinitive used after verbs of fearing, dreading, etc., cfr. Matth. § 520, Obs.
423. Kamavès $\delta$ '. Kapaneus was the son of Hipponous. and married Euadne the daughter of Iphis, king of Argos, who reigned together with Adrastus. His son was Stheneleus, who succeeded his grandfather Iphis on the throne of Argos. Kapaneus was placed before the Elektrean gate, where, according to Pausanias, he was also killed by lightning. Apollodorus iii. 6 assigned to him the Ogygian gate. - $\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho a u \sigma \iota \nu$. The Elektrean gate was called so after Elektra, sister of Kadmus. (Pausan. ix. 8. 3.) It was the gate by which persons coming from Attica entered Thebes. - $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \eta \chi \in \nu$, has been stationed by lot. Schol. B. ठià к入ウ́pov тétaктаи.
424. ríyas "ò' à $\lambda \lambda \frac{s}{}$. According to Homer, Il. v. 801, Tydeus was of small figure ; hence Æschylus could not take the word rizas in its common sense of giant, as the adjective ä $\lambda \lambda$ дos clearly indicates that he applied it both to

Tydeus and Kapaneus. It refers, therefore, merely to the terrible aspect of the two warriors.
425. о́ ко́ $\mu \pi$ оз . . . . фроvє̂̂, non homini instar. Comp. Agam. 925 ; Soph. Ajac. 761 ; and Blomf. Gloss. ad loc. and ad Agam. 342.

 gate has крávoı; compare, besides, above, note to v. 5.
 $\tau \epsilon \ldots$. . кai have the force of whether . . . or. Thus, Eurip.
 been imitated by Eurip. Suppl. 500, ${ }^{\prime} \mu \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda o \nu \tau o s, \eta^{\prime \prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \eta$. See Markland ad loc.
 wrath (German Eifer) of Zeus descending upon the plain keep him off. Zeus vents his wrath by hurling down thunderbolts. (Comp. Hor. Carm. i. 3, "Per nostrum patimur scelus, Iracunda Jovem ponere fulmina," and Soph. Antig. 127, ed. Wund.) We therefore may translate $\Delta i o ̀ s$ "E $\rho \iota s$ by lightning. In the parallel passage of Eurip. in Phœn. 1186 we read:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tò } \mu \grave{\eta} \text { oủ } \kappa a \tau^{’} \text { ä̉ } \kappa \rho \omega \nu \text { } \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu \text { € } \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$



432. $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu a$, for an emblem. - $\gamma v \mu \nu o ́ \nu, ~ n a k e d . ~ " I d e o ~$ nudum, ut contemptum suum indicaret, cui vel inarmato urbs ferro et flamma vastari posset." Butler, who also compares Virgil, Æn. xi. 641.
436. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \epsilon \in \sigma a s$. On this hypothetical use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ compare Jelf, § 746. 2.
 another advantage is produced; i. e. from the insolent and impious boasting of Kapaneus, which is an advantage to
us，since it will provoke the gods against him，we will de－ rive a second advantage，viz．victory over him．Kaí，also， has reference to the boastful emblem of Tydeus mentioned above，vv．388－390，which would，as Eteocles confidently hoped，prove a prophet of evil to Tydeus himself．Blom－ field＇s explanation（cfr．Gloss．ad loc．）is very improbable．

438．T $\omega \nu \nu$ тоє $\mu и \tau a i \omega \nu ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ф ~ ф о \nu \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, ~ o f ~ t h e ~ v a i n, ~ p r e-~-~$ sumptuous thoughts of men．àvóá⿱宀丁兀 has not to be con－ strued with rizvetat，but is the dativus incommodi，added to $\mu a \tau a i \omega \nu \quad \phi \rho \circ \nu \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，in order to express who will suffer from the vain thoughts．Comp．Jelf，§ 597，Obs． 1.
 threatens．The Scholiast supplies ì $\mu$ âs какá．

441．кả $\pi \sigma \gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ \zeta \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a . \quad " a ̉ \pi \sigma \gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ \zeta \omega$ ，exerceo；lin－ guam scilicet，ut athleta manus．＂Blomf．

444．ПémoıӨa，I trust．Cfr．above，note to v．37，and see also below，v．521．The manner in which the prayer of Eteocles was actually fulfilled on Kapaneus is beautifully described by Euripides，Phœn． 1180 seqq．



448．Aï $\theta_{\omega \nu} \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，ardent in temper．On the accusative $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$ compare the verse of Homer（Il．v．801）quoted at v． 424 ，and also Jelf，§ 579． 2 and Matth．§ 424．4．－
 $\Delta$ às ioxưv．Hom．Il．iii．105，Прıámoıo ßì $\nu$ ，et passim． Compare also below，v．488，＇I $\pi \pi o \mu \epsilon$＇́ovios $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，where Stanl．quotes Hor．Carm．iii．21，＂Catonis virtus，＂i．e． Cato．Add Virgil，Æn．xi．376，＂violentia Turni．＂See also Jelf，§ 442．e．

449．фоои́ $\eta \mu a$ ，i．e．фрovoós，an efficient guardian．－ $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ ' A \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \mu i \delta o s ~ \epsilon ं v o i a \iota \sigma \iota, ~ b y ~ t h e ~ f a v o r ~ o f ~ p r o t e c t i n g ~$ Artemis．Artemis was the protectress of Bœotia；she had a temple at Thebes（Pausan．ix．17），in which she was worshipped as＂A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota s$ Eük $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ．See Wunder ad Soph．

Ed．Tyr．169．The Elektrean gate was sacred to Arte－ mis；hence she is called $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a \tau \eta \dot{p} a$, lit．standing be－ fore（ $i t$ ）；in which sense the word also occurs in Agam．
 Polyphontes was priest of Artemis．

451．єi入 $\chi \chi$ óra．See above，v． 423.

 Kaтavés．s．

454．$\pi \omega \lambda \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \delta \omega \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$ ，i．e．$\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \delta \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$ ，from our maiden abodes；viz．the $\pi a \rho \theta \in \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon s$ or chambers set apart for virgins．The genitive is dependent on ék $\begin{aligned} & \text { anágat．The }\end{aligned}$ word $\pi \omega \hat{\omega}$ os（lit．foal）is frequently used by dramatic writ－ ers for boys or virgins．For instance，Eurip．Phen．947，


 place allotted after the one last mentioned．

458．＇Eтє́кк八थ．Eteoklus was the son of Iphis，and reigned，according to the Arundalian tables，together with Adrastus and Amphiaraus，over the Argives．These three heroes are said to have first celebrated the Nemean games， whilst Theses ruled over Attica．

459．＇${ }^{\prime} \xi$ intriov，к．т．$\lambda$ ．The custom of arbitrating in matters of dispute by shaking lots out of a helmet or urn is repeatedly mentioned by Homer already．Comp．，among other passages，II．iii．316，vii． 176.

460．Пúdaı兀 N from Neis，either daughter（according to Schol．ad．Eurip． Phoen．1104）or son（according to Pausan．ix．8．3）of Zethus．See Smith，Dict．of Gr．and Rom．Ant．The true reading，according to Unger，is，however，N niotaict． — $\lambda_{\text {óóov，his troop．According to Thucyd．v．68，the }}$ $\lambda_{\text {óxos consisted of five hundred and twelve soldiers ；here，}}^{\text {che }}$
however, the term is of course to be used in a general sense.
461. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \mu \pi v \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma \iota \nu$. $\mathfrak{a} \mu \pi v \kappa \pi \dot{\eta} \rho$ or ${ }^{a} \mu \pi v \xi$, the frontlet or frontal trapping of the horse. The Schol. refers it in this passage to the bridle, and so do Schütz and Passow. It means, however, more properly, the leather thong joining the bridle to the frontlet, to keep the former in the mouth of the horse. See Wunder ad Soph. CEd. Col. 1063.
463. ßápßapò тоо́тоу, horribly. Cfr. above, v. 283.
464. Миктךроко́ $\mu \pi о \iota s$ (from $\mu v ́ к т \eta \rho, ~ n o s t r i l, ~ a n d ~ к о ́ \mu \pi о s), ~$ proudly blown through the nostrils.
466. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \mu \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \iota$, walks the steps. Verbs expressing to walk, etc. have an accusative of the thing or place along which the motion takes place. Thus, Agam.
 Soph. Antig. 988, $\eta^{\prime} \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu$. . . . коьข̀̀̀ óoóv, etc. See also Jelf, § 558. 1.
468. Boâ. Thus above, v. 434, Xpvбoîs ठ̀̀ фшvєî $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu-$ $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu .-\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є̇̀ $\xi \cup \lambda \lambda a \beta a i ̂ s$, in collections of letters, i. e. in written words.
472. $\sigma \grave{v} \nu \tau u ́ \chi \eta \delta^{\prime} \tau \omega$ (i. e. $\left.\tau \iota \nu \grave{l}\right)$, with some, i. e. with good success. Thus Choeph. 138, oìv túx $\eta$ тıvi. Soph. Ajac. 853, бı̀̀ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \in \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu i ́ . ~$
473. Kaì $\delta \dot{\eta}$. . . . $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$. This reading is according to a conjecture of Erfurdt, on the ground that at could not be elided before a vowel, and is to be translated, And indeed he is sent, having his boast in his hands, i. e. boasting only with deeds, and not with words. Hands and arms stand frequently, both in Greek and Latin poets, for vigor,

 Horat. Carm. iii. 4. 50, "Fidens juventus horrida brachiis." Wellauer condemns this conjecture of Erfurdt, and retains the Vulgate, Kaì $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau^{\prime}$ où кó $\mu \pi o \nu$, And indeed he is sent, bearing his boast nот in his hands; in
defence of which he (or rather Linwood) remarks, that Eteoklus himself bore his boast, viz. the emblem on his shield, in his hand; that therefore Megareus could not, in opposition to Eteoklus, be said to have carried his own there. If the elision of at can be defended, it is perhaps best to prefer Wellauer's reading, because it is a reading found in manuscripts ; yet Erfurdt's conjecture by no means deserves Wellauer's condemnation as being "ad sensum inepta et sine causa prolata"; the interpretation of the Vulgate is far from being satisfactory, and the sense of Erfurdt's reading quite plain.
 Kreon by whom the crown of Thebes had been given to Edipus after the death of Laius, and who succeeded Eteocles. Sophocles, in his Antigone, mentions only Hæmon as the son of Kreon.
475. ${ }^{\circ}$ Os oűtน. On the force of oűtı, cfr. note to v. 38 , above.
477. трофєі̂a $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota ~ \chi \theta o \nu$ ', he will pay to his mothersoil the nursing debt. This is an expression of frequent occurrence in the poets, and especially the Epic poets, who use for $\tau \rho о \phi \epsilon i a$ the word $\theta \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota a$. Stanley compares, among other passages, Hom. Il. iv. 476 ; Hes. Op. et Dies,

478. $\delta \ddot{v} \cdot \ddot{a} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon$, namely, Eteoklus and the man whom he bears as device on his shield.
480. Kó $\mu \pi a \zeta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$, speak boastfully, i. e. tell the boasts of another.
482. Про́лах' $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\hat{\omega}} \nu \quad \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$. The Chorus refers to Megareus, and not to Eteocles, as Schol. B. thinks. Comp. above, vv. 417-419.
484. $\nu \iota \nu$, i. e. aủtoús.
485. Zєìs $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ ' $\tau \omega$, Zeus the avenger ; called so because he was believed to punish arrogant boasting.
486. $\gamma$ єitovas пú入as ${ }^{\text {é }} \chi \omega \nu$. Not, as Schol. B. explains, the
gate of Onka Pallas，neighboring to the Neitan gate，but the gate（which is）neighbor to Onka Pallas，i．e．to her shrine．

488．＇I $\pi \pi о \mu$＇́óovтos $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ．Compare what has been said on v．448．The second syllable of＇I $\pi \pi$ о̆ $\mu$＇́̇ovios，properly short，is here used long，as also below，v．547，Пap $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \rho^{-}$ $\pi a i o s$, where the syllable $\theta_{\epsilon}$ is originally short．For the reason of this poetic license，cfr．Matth．§ 19．c．－Hip－ pomedon was a son of Aristomachus（Sophocles makes him a son of Talaus）．He was slain by Hyperbius，whom Eteocles opposed to him．Cfr．Smith，Dict．of Gr．and Rom．Biog．

 ever，makes $\not{\epsilon} \phi \rho ı \xi \xi^{\prime}$ govern the genitive $\delta \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau o s .-a ̃ \lambda \omega$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu, a$ great disc．The shields of the ancient Greeks were of a circular form．Comp．Virgil，Æn．iii．637，＂Ar－ golici clypei，aut Phœbeæ lampadis instar．＂The words $\dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta o s ~ \kappa u ́ \kappa \lambda o \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ are added to å $\lambda \omega$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，in order to explain more clearly what the poet means by the latter
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma a ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon$ ．－oủк ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega s$ є́ $\rho \hat{\omega}, ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ n o t ~ d e n y ~ i t . ~$

493．Tvфผิข＇．Typhaon or Typhœus was son of Tartarus and Gæa，struck with lightning by Zeus and buried under Mount Ætna．See Smith，Dict．，etc．，and Anthon，Syst．of Anc．and Mod．Geog．，p． 399.

494．ムıүv̀̀̀ $\mu^{\prime} \lambda a \iota v a \nu . . .$. кá $\sigma \iota \nu$ ，black smoke，the swift－ moving brother of fire．On this metaphorical expression， comp．what has been said above，on v．351，and，besides， Butl．ad loc．

495．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \rho о \mu о \nu$ ，lit．running round；here，surrounding in a circle．In order that the student may fully under－ stand the construction of the shield，it may，perhaps，be best to transcribe Pauw＇s note．He says，＂Koı入óyaot $\omega \rho$ кúkえos est orbis ex cavo protuberans instar ventris．In isto
orbe protuberante expressus erat 'Typhon, ignem et fumum ore vomens; id omne quod orbem istum protuberantem ambibat, кúтоs $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \rho о \mu о \nu$ dicitur et in isto кv́тф $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \rho o ́ \mu \varphi$

497. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \theta_{\epsilon 0 s} \delta^{\prime \prime}$ "A $\rho \epsilon \iota$, inspired with Ares. The sense is: Hippomedon rages, inspired with Ares, as a Bacchante is frantic when filled with Bacchus.
498. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \kappa \grave{̀} \nu$, with all his might.—— Өvás (from $\theta \dot{\iota} \epsilon \nu$, to rave) $=$ Вáкхך. - фóßov $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu$, looking fearfully. Comp. above, on v. 53, and Mitchell ad Aristoph. Acharn. 227, and Frogs, 558. Dindorf, with Canter, prefers 申óvov.
499. $\pi \epsilon i \rho a \nu$ is in the accusative, object to the verbal adjective $\phi$ uौakt'́ov, which, being used impersonally, governs $^{2}$ the same case as the verb (фuえá $\sigma \sigma \omega$ ) from which it is derived. Cfr. Jelf, §613. 2.
501. $\eta_{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma \chi^{i \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau 0 \lambda \iota s$. See above, note to v. 162.

 Horat. Epod. i. 19, "Ut assidens implumibus pullis avis Serpentium allapsus timet."

505, 506. $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ ' ' $\xi \iota \sigma \tau о \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota \mu 0 \hat{\rho} \rho a \nu$, willing to test his fate, viz. whether he shall conquer Hippomedon or die by his hands. - ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon i a q u \dot{\chi} \chi \eta s$, in this decisive time of danger.

507. $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \sigma \iota \nu$, condition.
 ety brought them together. According to the two Scholiasts, the Greeks considered every advantage which they accidentally experienced as a gift of Hermes. Hence also, in this instance, Hermes is said to have opposed the two antagonists to each other, since Hyperbius was not only a match for Hippomedon in size, courage, and arms, but bore also Zeus, the victorious enemy of Typhaon, as device on
his shield. For more specimens of this kind of imagery in Eschylus, comp. Mitchell's note ad Aristoph. Frogs, 1249.

513. इтaঠaíos $\bar{\eta} \sigma \tau a$, is placed erect.
 these five verses an interpolation, and indeed they appear

515. Toádé . . . . $\delta a \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$, such is the friendship of the gods. Pauw explains, " Пообфìєta $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ est amicitia, qua Dii illi suos tuentur," the friendship of the gods is so bestowed that Zeus favors Hyperbius and Typhaon Hippomedon. Schütz takes $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \phi i \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ ironically, in the sense of enmity, but without good reason.
516. Прòs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кратov́vт $\omega \nu$, we are on the side of, etc. On the omission of the article before $\dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega \mu \in \mathcal{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, comp. Matth. § 268, Obs. 1.
517. The Vulgate reads v. 518 before 517. The order in which we read these two verses in our text was first proposed by Brunck, who also changed the Vulgate Ei Zeús $\tau \epsilon$ Tuф̂̂ into Ei Zєús $\gamma \in \operatorname{Tv} \phi \hat{\omega}$. Well. improperly condemns this change, and retains the reading of the manuscripts.
518. $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \in \epsilon \nu \bar{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$, will come off in like manner.
 тлós, cfr. Mitchell's Frogs, 820.

521-524. Пє́тоьӨa . . . . $\theta$ єоїбl. Construe, Пє́тоь $\theta a$, тòv

 $\chi$ Өoviov, of the earth-born demon. Blomf. quotes Prom. 359, where Typhœus is called $\gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$ 's. Others translate it by infernal, as having been cast into Tartarus by Zeus.
525. iá $\epsilon \epsilon \nu$, will hurl away, i. e. will lose.
527. Boppaiaus mú入als, the Borrhean gate, i. e. the northern or upper ( ${ }^{\prime} \psi \iota \sigma \tau a \iota$ ) gate. Its proper name was, according to Unger (quoted by Dind.), Botariac. Euripides assigns to Parthenopæus the Neitan and Apollodorus the Elektrean gate.
528. Tí $\beta$ ßov . . . . 'A $\mu \phi$ iovos. Amphion, son of Zeus (hence $\delta \iota o y \in \nu o u ̂ s)$, and his twin brother Zethus, together with Antiope, were buried in the same tomb near Thebes. For this reason Euripides in Phœn. 145 places Partheno-

529. "O $\mu v v \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ai $\chi \mu \eta \nu$, he swears by his spear. On the accusative $a i \chi \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ after ${ }_{o} \mu \nu v \sigma \iota$, compare note on v. 45,


 $\theta^{\prime}$ á $\gamma \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\sigma \in \in \beta a s . ~ H i p p o l . ~ 714, ~ e t c . ~ S i m i l a r ~ i n ~ L a t i n ~: ~$ Ovid, Metam. i. 101, "Stygias juravimus undas." -
 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$.
530. і̀ $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \theta^{\prime}$ int́ $\rho \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$, dearer than his eyes. Blomf. compares, among other passages, Theoc. x. 53, Naì $\mu \grave{a}$ tò $\nu$ ò $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ v, \tau \hat{\omega} \mu o \iota \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ov่ót́v, and the familiar verse of Catullus (iii. 5), "Quem plus ille oculis suis amabat." Add Catull. xiv. 1, "Ni te plus oculis meis amarem." Similarly the insolence and impious self-reliance of Mezentius is expressed by Virgil, Æn. x. 773, "Dextra, mihi Deus, et telum, quod missile libro, Nunc adsint." Comp. also Soph. Philoct. 649, and there Wunder.
531. Bía $\Delta$ ós, in spite of Zeus. Thus below, v. 612, $\phi \rho \in \nu \omega ิ \nu$ ßiạ ; v. 745, 'A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ os ßiạ. Soph. CEd. Col. 854,

 after her birth exposed by her father Iasus, king of Arcadia, in the woods, where she was nursed by a she bear. She led the life of a huntress (Eurip. Phœn. 1106, $\delta \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 nized her as his daughter. Her subsequent marriage to Meilanion, who had conquered her in a foot-race, by means of the golden apples presented to him for that purpose by Aphrodite, is well known. The offspring of these two was

Parthenopæus, who must, however, not be confounded with another Parthenopæus, nephew of Adrastus.
 offspring. Thus Agam. 235, $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau o ́ s ~ \tau є ~ к а \lambda \lambda \iota \pi \rho ஸ ́ \rho o v ~ ф u \lambda a-~$ кà̀ катабұєìv. Mitchell, in a note to v. 871 of Aristophanes's Frogs, says, in reference to this figurative expression, that it must have been provocative of absolute laughter in an Athenian audience. It is certainly not one of the poet's happiest metaphors, yet if we bear in mind that Æschylus wrote his piece probably the year after the battle of Salamis, a period in which his native state directed all her energies to the improvement and enlargement of her naval power, we may readily understand and well excuse our poet's borrowing his images perhaps too often from those objects which most readily presented themselves to his observation. -ávópúnaıs àv'ŋ is a man who has scarcely passed the age of boyhood.
534. äpть, lately, nuper. Thus, Hom. Il. xix. 56, ŋ̉ äpть


535. "תpas фvov́oŋs, his youthful prime causing it to grow. Genitive absolute. On the signification of $\check{\omega} \rho a$, cfr. note to v. 13, above. - тapфús, think, from $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \omega$. Its original form was probably т $\rho a \phi u ́ s$.
536. oütı $\pi a \rho \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu \nu$, by no means agreeing with the name of virgins. The name Parthenopæus is com-
 therefore says, that his cruel disposition does not at all harmonize with his name of maiden-face.
537. yopyóv, that which strikes terror into the beholder, like the Гopy', from which the word is derived. Comp.
 ó $\pi \lambda i \tau \eta s$ фaveís. - $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma i \sigma \tau a \tau a \ell, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a ı s . ~$
 grace of the city, because her appearance before Thebes,
and subsequent destruction by CEdipus, occasioned all the crimes and miseries which disgraced the house of Labdacus after the death of Laius.
540. кvк $\lambda \omega \tau \hat{\varphi}$, circular. See remark on v. 489, above.
541. £ $\phi^{\prime} \gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ ఉ̈ $\mu$ óб兀тоу. Thus Euripides in Phœn. 1023
 hostile monster, with mad wings and claws preying on raw flesh. - $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \epsilon \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu \phi o \iota s$, fastened with nails. Æschylus is very fond of using the word $\gamma$ о́ $\mu \phi$ os and making compounds of it; hence Aristophanes in Ranis
 nails. See Mitchell ad loc. cit.
542. є̈ккроvбтор, embossed, in bass-relief. Lat. crustatus.
543. $\dot{v} \phi^{\prime}$ avi $\hat{\eta}$, i. e. $\tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma \phi \iota \gamma \gamma i ́$.
544. ' $\Omega s \pi \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \tau$ ' . . . . $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \eta$, supply $\chi \rho \eta$ '. By the words $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \stackrel{\imath}{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$, the Kadmean, whom the Sphinx on the shield is tearing with her talons, is to be understood. The meaning of the verse is evidently this: Parthenopæus exhibits on his shield the sphinx, the disgrace of Thebes; it behooves, therefore, the Thebans to hurl their darts upon that man above all others, who thus dares to taunt them with a device most offensive to their feelings.
 (Jelf, § 564 ), will not fight the fight of a retailer, i. e. will fight by wholesale, as Blomf. renders it. See also Trollope ad Eurip. Hippol. 950.

547, 548. Парөєуотаîos 'Аркàs . . . . т $\rho о$ о́ás. The Scholiast informs us that Parthenopæus committed an involuntary murder in his native state, Arcadia, in consequence of which he fled to Argos, where he lived (cfr. Eurip. Suppl. 891, $\pi a \iota 8 \varepsilon v_{\epsilon} \epsilon a \iota ~ к а \tau^{\prime}$ "Apyos) till he joined the expedition against Thebes. On the quantity of the second syllable in Пар $\theta$ є $\nu=\pi a i o s$, consult above, v. 488, and Wunder ad Soph. Ajac. 208, and on the expression ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau i \nu \omega \nu$ ка入às $\tau \rho \circ \phi$ ás compare note to v . 477, above.
549. â $\mu \grave{\eta}$ крaivoı $\theta$ éós. Comp. above, v. 5.

550-552. Ei $\gamma$ à $\rho \tau \dot{\chi} \chi о \iota \in \nu . .$. ỏдoíato. Eteocles, in these three lines, assents to the prayer of the messenger, $\hat{a} \mu \grave{\eta}$ kpaivoo $\theta$ eós, and follows up the thought expressed in those words. He says this: Indeed may the gods not accomplish it, but, on the contrary, turn their wrath against them (the enemy); for if the latter were to be treated as they deserve it, viz. were to obtain at the hands of the gods what they are intending against us, they would with their impious boasts be utterly destroyed. We have thus followed Dindorf in taking $\epsilon i$ rá $\rho$ in a conditional sense, although we are fully aware of the great harshness of this construction. Butler and Blomf., on the other hand, following the two Scholiasts, take $\epsilon i$ rá $\rho$ as equivalent to $\epsilon^{\sharp i} \theta \in \gamma^{\prime} \rho$, utinam. The explanation we have given seems to us preferable for two reasons. First, the conditional force of $\epsilon i$ $\gamma$ á $\rho$ here is evident from the words $\grave{\eta} \tau a ́ \nu$ in v. 552 , which would be entirely useless were we to take $\epsilon i$ rá $\rho$ in the sense of utinam ; and secondly, an ejaculatory prayer, such as $\epsilon^{\ell} \theta_{\epsilon} \gamma$ áp would make these lines, would sound very strange in the mouth of Eteocles, especially if we consider the assurance expressed by him a line further on, when appointing Aktor as a fit champion to oppose Parthenopæus. To remove the harshness of the construction, Dindorf thinks that two lines must have been lost before $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \gamma$ á $\rho$ т $\dot{\chi} \chi \circ \iota \in \nu$, and proposes to read v. 552 before v. 551. $\pi a \nu \omega \dot{\lambda} \eta$ s has the sense of $\pi a \nu \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s$ below, v. 932, who perishes entirely. Comp. Blomf. ad Pers. 738.
 relative, comp. Matth. § 474. a.
554. $\chi \epsilon i \rho ~ \delta ' ~ o ́ \rho a ̣ ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \mu о \nu, ~ h i s ~ h a n d ~ p e r c e i v e s ~ w h a t ~ i s ~$ efficacious. The poet represents Aktor's hand as endowed with sight, in order to express the promptness with which Aktor is accustomed to execute whatever he considers advantageous in fight. Well. and Linw. translate $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \mu \circ$ s
by faciendus, what is to be done; but that does not convey the exact meaning of the word. The whole phrase is opposed to àviे äko $\kappa$ тоs, and the sense of the verse is plainly this: He is not a man who brags, but who will act.

## 555. тov̂ $\pi a ́ \rho o s ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \hat{e} v o v$, i. e. $\tau о \hat{v} ~ ' Y \pi \epsilon \rho \beta i o v . ~$

 have taken ${ }_{\text {ér }} \rho \gamma \mu \mathrm{a}$ in the sense of agger, and construe, ôs ouk
 restraint; but Hermann (see Linw.) condemns this use of ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \mu a$, and Blomf. has quoted Eum. 499, Eurip. Orest. 160, and other passages, in support of the meaning of € $\rho \gamma \mu a$ which we have given. Four manuscripts read " $\xi(\omega$ for ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$; this reading has been adopted by some editors, who have then taken $\pi v \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the sense of the Homeric $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \kappa o s ~ o \partial \delta o \partial \tau \omega \nu$; but that $\pi \nu \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ is to be taken literally for the gates of Thebes appears clearly from eivaцєi千at in the following line.
 Onpós, of the hateful monster of a beast. Blomf. compares
 ilar pleonastic expressions occur riequently in the dramatists ; e. g. Æsch. Pers. 549, $\lambda_{\epsilon \kappa \kappa \rho \rho \omega \nu ~}^{\text {ev̉val. }}$. Soph. Ant.
 also Trollope ad Eurip. Hecub. 295. - ф'́povaa in line 559 refers to Parthenopæus.

560 . ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}{ }{ }^{\prime} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$. . . . $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \psi_{\epsilon \tau \pi a l}$, who, outside of the walls, shall reproach him, who carries her within. Parthenopæus will make efforts to carry his shield into Thebes, but he will not succeed. On the contrary, the Sphinx on the shield will, outside of the walls, be received with such a hail-storm of missiles, that she will reproach her bearer for carrying her to the assault. Schütz does not construe eौ'ow with $\phi$ ' $\rho 0 \nu \tau$, but translates it by "introrsum, nempe ad Parthenopæum illum clypeum ferentem conversa," and this is adopted by Dindorf. It seems, however, the simplest way to construe it with $\boldsymbol{\tau} \bar{\varphi} \phi \hat{\varphi} \rho \rho \nu \tau \tau$.
561. $\dot{\text { jò }} \pi$ mod $\lambda \iota v$, under the walls of the city.
564. óptias. Blomf. reads oैp $\theta \iota o s$ from a conjecture of Wakefield, and compares Hom. Il. xxiv. 389, ỏp $\theta a i$ ס̀̀̀ $\tau \rho i ́ \chi \epsilon s$ є́бтау, etc.
565. Mє $\boldsymbol{\text { áda. The Schol. supplies } \pi \rho \text { á } \gamma \mu a \tau a \text { ; perhaps a }}$ word like кодтá $\sigma$ ала would be more to the point. $\kappa \lambda \nu o v ́ \sigma a$. The Vulgate has $\kappa \lambda \imath \imath \omega \nu$, which, not agreeing with the corresponding anastrophic line, has been changed by Well. into $\kappa \lambda \dot{v}$ ovgav, in preference to $\kappa \lambda \nu o v i \sigma a$, , as avoiding the hiatus before àvori$\omega \nu$.
567. '่̇ $\gamma$ ậ. Scil. ne incolumes in patriam revertantur. Schütz.
569. $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \nu$, 'A $\mu \phi$ ıápєє ßiav. Amphiaraus, son of Oïkles and Hypermnestra, was descended from the famous seer Melampus, to which origin he owed the power of prophecy with which he was gifted. After having reigned for a time over Argos, jointly with Adrastus, he quarrelled with the latter, who fled for the time. He was, however, afterwards reconciled to him, and married the sister of Adrastus, Eriphyle. When Adrastus, induced by the urgent persuasions of Polyneikes and Tydeus, resolved to restore his son-in-law to the throne of Thebes, he was informed by an oracle that it would be useless to undertake the expedition without the coöperation of Amphiaraus. The prophet, however, foreseeing the disastrous issue of the campaign, violently opposed the undertaking till Polyneikes bribed Eriphyle with a necklace, and induced her to urge her husband to join the host against Thebes. Amphiaraus reluctantly went, but not before he had enjoined on his sons to avenge his death on his treacherous wife. As he looked upon Tydeus as the chief mover of the expedition, he conceived a violent hatred against that hero, which prompted him at last to cause his enemy's death when Athene was on the point of saving him. In the course of the siege of Thebes, Amphiaraus was pursued by Periklymenus, but
before his enemy could reach him, the earth, by the favor of Zeus, opened and swallowed him up. For more particulars, see Smith, Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Biog., and Grote, Hist. of Greece, 2d ed., Vol. I. p. 369, etc.
570. ' $\mathrm{O} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$, к. т. $\lambda$. This gate, according to the two Scholiasts, was so named from Homolois, a daughter of Niobe. Near it were probably temples of Zeus and Deme-
 shipped at Thebes.
 See Matth. $\S 416$, Obs. 1. - $\beta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ governs a double accusative of the thing said and the person addressed, as


572. à $\nu \delta \rho \circ \phi$ óvт $\nu$, the murderer. Ancient authors disagree as to the person or persons murdered by Tydeus. According to Diodorus Siculus, he slew Lykopeus and Alkathous, sons of his brother Melanus or Melanes; according to Pherekydes, his brother Olenius. The two Scholiasts seem to agree with the former, although they give somewhat different names. - тò̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \tau а р а ́ к т о р а, ~ b e c a u s e ~ h e, ~$ together with Polyneikes, was the prime mover of the expedition. Comp. next line.
574. 'Eptvios $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \bar{\eta} \rho a$, the summoner of the Erinnys. He is aptly called the summoner of the Erinnys (the curse) of Edipus ; for by inducing Adrastus to make war against Thebes, he caused the fulfilment of the curse, that both brothers should fall by each other's hands.
576. Kaì тò̀ . . . áó $\notin \lambda \phi$ ф́ov. This passage, as we read it in the Vulgate, is corrupt and without sense. The difficulties lie in $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \sigma \mu o \rho o \nu$, which is a word not found elsewhere, although the Scholiast renders it doomed ; in $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \dot{\delta} \in \lambda$ ф' $\kappa \frac{0}{}$, which is an Ionic form not found in the dramatic trimeters ; and in $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi v \pi \tau \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$, which (according to Blomfield) can mean nothing but resupino, ad caclum tollo, to
cast upwards. To obviate these difficulties, various corrections have been suggested. Schütz has proposed $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma=$
 your doomed brother. Dindorf follows Dobree, and reads
 inclamans nomen ejus de patris more, which translation is incomprehensible. Well. gives kaì tò̀ $\sigma o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̂ \theta ı s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ * ~ \delta \mu ~ o ́-~$ $\sigma \pi o \rho o \nu$, and Blomf. каì тò̀ $\sigma o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \theta \iota s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \mu o \lambda \omega ̀ \nu ~ o ́ \mu o ́ \sigma \pi о \rho o \nu ~$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{v} v \tau \iota a \dot{\zeta} \omega \nu{ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \mu a$. This is, perhaps, the best of the whole, although the change of ${ }_{\circ}^{\prime} \nu о \mu a$ to ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu a$ is objectionable. Linwood retains $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi v \pi \tau a \dot{a} \xi \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\circ} \nu \circ \mu a$, and explains it turning the name upside down, i. e. punning upon it; but that will scarcely do. Perhaps the best is to retain oै oै $\mu a$, and besides adopt Blomfield's reading, so that the passage then
 övo䒑a, thereupon going in turn up to your brother, he calls with a loud voice his name Polyneikes.
 (of his upbraiding), dividing his name and reproachfully pronouncing it twice, i. e. saying Пoдv́vєıкєs $\pi$ одvขєєкє́s, Polyneikes of many strifes. '̇vóareīఠAal, according to Hesychius means at the same time to divide and to reproach. It corresponds with the Latin differre or dividere. See Blomf. ad loc. Æschylus plays in similar manner upon the name of Polyneikes, below, vv. 658 and 830. Other examples of this kind of punning upon names are found in the tragıc writers; e. g. in Agam. 687, đà $\nu \delta o \rho i \gamma a \mu \beta \rho o \nu$.
 . . . $\nLeftarrow \pi \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon . ~ C o m p . ~ b e s i d e s ~ S o p h . ~ A j a c . ~ 430 ; ~ E u r i p . ~$ Phœn. 636 ; Orest. 1008 ; Rhes. 158.
582. кaì $\theta$ єoùs roùs ' $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \nu \in i=$, the native gods. We have to understand those gods from whom the Thebans claimed to be descended, such as Dionysus, Aphrodite, Ares, etc. Abresch compares Soph. Antig. 199, "Os $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ тatpథ̣à кai

$\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \epsilon$ í $\sigma \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu$ '่ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. See also Blomf. Gloss. ad Choeph. 459.
583. є̇ $\pi a \kappa \tau o ́ v$, brought from abroad, i. e. foreign.
584. M Mт $о$ ós $\tau \epsilon$. . . . סiкŋ; What justice (i. e. what just cause) will quench the fountain of a mother('s tears)? Amphiaraus, referring in this line to the claim of his cause, and perhaps also hinting at the figure of Dike (cfr. below, v. 646), which the former bore as emblem on his shield, says: "Thou art waging war against thy mother, i. e. thy native land; therefore, however just thy cause may be, thou must not hope to quench the floods of tears which she will shed on account of the wounds you are about to inflict on her." The Scholiast takes dik $\eta$ as synonymous with rıuорia, which would give the following sense: "What vengeance will dry up the fountain of your mother? i. e. What vengeance will you take that would not cause the tears of your mother to flow?" Others have translated $\mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{s} \pi \eta \gamma^{\prime} \nu$ by the fountain of a mother's blood; but this is forced and improbable, and Schütz's emendation (see Linwood in Lex. sub voce) is unnecessary.
585. $\sigma \hat{\eta} s ~ i \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta \grave{\eta} s$, by thy eagerness.
 raus, can you ever expect to be kindly received and supported by your citizens, if you first make war upon them and lay waste their city ?
587. "E $\bar{\omega} \gamma \epsilon$, I for my part. - $\pi \iota a \nu \omega$. Blomf. compares Pind. Nem. ix. 54, and Stanl. Virgil, Georg. i. 491, "Nec fuit indignum Superis, bis sanguine nostro Emathiam et latos Hæmi pinguescere campos," and Horat. Carm. ii. 1. 29, "Quis non Latino sanguine pinguior campus?"
588. кєкєv $\theta$ '̀s, buried. Comp. note on v. 569, above. The word is here used intransitively, as in Soph. Electr. 868, where the Scholiast says, àvì̀ $\tau o \hat{u}$ крúnтєтaı. Comp. also Soph. Antig. 911, and Wunder ad EEd. Reg. 939.

emblem was upon his shield．Eurip．in Phœn． 1112 imi－
 ä $\sigma \eta \mu^{\prime}$ ö $\pi \lambda a$ ．

592．Oủ yà $\rho$ סoкєiv äplatos．Plutarch relates（see Stanl． ad loc. ），that at the first representation of this drama Aris－ tides was present，and that when these words were recited， the eyes of the whole audience turned upon him．As Eschylus belonged to the same political party with Aristi－ des，and was no doubt an ardent admirer of his just fellow－ citizen，it is not unlikely that he had him in his mind when he wrote the verse．
 the deep furrows in his mind，i．e．reaping the rich wisdom of his mind．The mind is here compared to a field，which is rich in proportion as the furrows made by the plough remain deep．Stanley compares Pind．Nem．iv．13，фféva $\beta$ $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\epsilon i a v, ~ a n d ~ N e m . ~ v i i . ~ 1, ~ \beta a \theta u ́ \phi \rho o v a . ~}^{\text {a }}$

596．$\Delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{s}$ ôs $\theta_{\text {Goùs }} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ éßel．Comp．Hom．Il．xv． 98.
597．Фєî тov̂ छ彑vva入入á $\sigma \sigma o v \tau o s ~ o ̋ p \nu ı \theta o s=\tau u ́ \chi \eta s$ ．The use of ${ }^{\circ} p \nu \iota s$ ，and in Latin ales，for fortune，is known to every student．Of the examples quoted by Stanl．，comp．Hom．
 and Hor．Epod．x．，＂Mala alite，＂etc．On the genitive after the interjection $\phi \in \hat{v}$ ，comp．Matth．§ 371 ；Jelf，§ 489.

600．картòs ои ко䒑єтт＇́os，a fruit that is not to be gath－ ered，is not worth gathering．Cfr．Eurip．Suppl．226， 228.

601．＂Atךs äpovpa．Burton compares Æsch．Pers．821， and St．Paul＇s Epist．ad Rom．vi．23．＂Air is the infatua－ tion which drives men to the commission of crimes．－ кпртіґєтає，bears as fruit．－This verse has，on account of its proverbial character，been considered by Schütz and most subsequent editors as a later addition ；in Dobree＇s opinion， even as a marginal addition of some Christian writer．It is on that account included in brackets．Wellauer，on the contrary，considers the verse both genuine and worthy
of Æschylus. But that it is an interpolation becomes very probable if we consider that the word $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa к а р \pi i \xi \epsilon \tau а є ~ b e a r s ~$ exactly the same sense as the phrase картòs où конєбтє́оя, which latter very likely suggested to the mind of the interpolator the other verse as a striking parallel passage. Besides, картi乡ouat, according to Blomf., never occurs in this sense in the tragic writers, who use instead of it карлойда. Wellauer's argument in favor of the genuineness of the verse, - " that it is found nowhere else," - is no argument at all ; many passages in extant works may be interpolations, yet we find them nowhere else, from the simple fact that the original works have perished.
 often utterly perished. The perfect ő $\lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu$ not only expresses in this instance that the action has frequently occurred, but also that it has been completely done. Cfr. Matth. Gr. Gr. §502. 2, and compare also Hesiod, Op. et Dies, 240 (ed. Göttl.), and Horat. Carm. iii. 2. 29, "Sæpe Diespiter Neglectus incesto addidit integrum." - $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \boldsymbol{i}$, hot-headed, audacious. - $\quad$ тavovpria $=\pi a \nu o v ́ \rho \gamma \varphi$.

 same net with, which latter words are governed in the genitive by кир $\dot{\sigma a s}$. On this genitive after кvр $\epsilon \omega$, comp. Blomf. ad Choeph. 695, and Jelf, §512. 1. On the meaning
 unjustly. The Vulgate has évoíras, justly, which Linwood sub voce $\epsilon \in \delta \delta i ́ k \omega s$ defends, enlarging on the explanation of Schol. A.
 Carm. iii. 26. 11, "Sublimi flagello Tange Chloen semel arrogantem"; and Hom. Il. xii. 37, 'Apyєiol $\delta$ è, $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \sigma \tau t \gamma \iota ~$ $\delta a \mu$ évtes, which Butler takes improperly in the sense of lightning. The term $\mu$ á $\sigma \tau \iota \xi$ (flagellum) means either a calamity which brings utter destruction on the one whom
it befalls, or panic terror, as in Prom. 682, where Io, speaking of the persecution of Here, says of herself, $\mu a ́ \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \iota$ $\theta \epsilon i a ̨ ~ \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda a u ́ v o \mu a \iota . ~ S e e, ~ b e s i d e s, ~ E u r i p . ~ R h e s . ~ 37, ~$
 Nonnus x. 4, $\mu$ avıஸ́óєi חavòs i $\mu a ́ \sigma \theta \lambda \eta$. Cfr. also Bothe ad [l. loc. cit.
612. $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega ̂ \nu$ ßía. Cfr. above, v. 531.
613. Teivova九 . . . . $\mu$ oोєiv, pursuing, in spite of their betler sense, their course, to come to the distant city; i. e. Orcus, the land of the dead. $\pi \circ \mu \pi \eta^{\prime}$ is used in the same sense in Eurip. Rhes. 229. For módıд the Vulgate reads $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$, which gives no sense. Dindorf thinks the whole verse has been patched together by some grammarian.
614. $\xi v \gamma к а \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa v \sigma \theta_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$. $\xi v \gamma к а \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$, to drag down along with.
615. $\sigma \phi \epsilon$ (shortened from $\sigma \phi \omega \notin$ ) for aủzóv. Two verses below, it stands for éautóv, and v. 630 for aủroús. See Matth. § 147, Obs. 8; Jelf, § 654. 1. a.
616. ©s ä $\theta v \mu \circ \nu$. Fourteen manuscripts have ${ }^{\prime} \theta v \mu o s$, which Well. and Blomf. have received, and construe with oijet in the next line. —— $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$ атоs ка́кп, from cowardice of disposition. Cfr. above, vv. 192 and 488.
 filment of the event which it predicts. The Scholiast says,

619. $\Phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. . . . каipıa. This is to be understood of Amphiaraus. The prophet had distinctly and confidently foretold his impending death (above, v. 587), and this, Eteocles says, he would not have done, if he had not been certain that the oracle of Apollo would be fulfilled; for Amphiaraus would rather have been silent than have said any thing that was not to the point. For parallel passages, see Blomf. Gloss. in Choeph. 574. Why Eteocles, the Theban king, speaks in so high terms of praise of his enemy, Amphiaraus, Grote explains in his Hist. of Greece, Vol. I. p. 374, note 1.
623. Побळَкєs, swift ; lit. swift-footed.
624. пар' à $\sigma \pi$ íos, a clypeo ; i. e. a lava manu, on the left-hand side. The ancients were accustomed to hold spear and shield in their left hand till they actually engaged in fight, when they took the former into the right hand.
628. பорітора ка́к', the evils of war.
629. 'E $\pi \iota \mu o ́ \lambda o v s, ~ i n v a d e r s . ~$
 rúxas. A similar position of words, the peculiar force of which will be felt by the student, occurs in Soph. Electr.


 name of the seventh gate, as he has mentioned the names of the other six already. Euripides places Polyneikes near the Krenæan gate. See, however, above, note on v. 376. ——On the accusative after катєن́ұєтal, cfr. supra, v. 45.
634. кàmıкךрvұ $\theta$ єis, and having been proclaimed king (by the herald). In translating, supply after rúxas, namely, that he; for the following lines explain what these $\tau$ v́xa are.
 the pæan of conquest. The pæan is here properly called $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota \mu \nu \nu$, as it was to be sung after the capture of the city. Pæans sung, after victory in battle were usually called '̇лıviкıa. The words, or rather sounds, which the victors shouted whilst singing the pæan were " ${ }^{\prime} \eta$ "aкхє, hence the song itself was occasionally called lacchos. 'Iaк $\chi$ á $\zeta \omega$ means, therefore, literally, to shout "aкхє.*

[^2]
 $\dot{a} \tau \iota \mu a \sigma \tau \eta \rho \rho a . \quad a \dot{a} \nu \rho \eta \lambda a ́ \tau \eta s$ is he who expels others from their native country.
639. By $\theta \epsilon o \dot{s} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \theta$ ious the same divinities are meant who above (v. 582) were called $\theta$ єò $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \gamma \epsilon \nu \varepsilon$ is.
 and Matth. § 149.
644. $\tau \epsilon \cup \chi \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ (from $\tau \epsilon \cup \cup \chi o s) ~ i \delta \epsilon i v$, armed in appearance. The Scholiast explains $\tau \in v \chi \eta \sigma \tau \eta \dot{s}$ by $\dot{o} \pi \lambda i \tau \eta s$. This additional use of $i \delta \in i \nu$ after adjectives, substantives, and verbs, is very common. Cfr. Pers. 27, 379 ; Choeph. 174 ; Eurip. Iph. Aul. 1587 ; Iph. Taur. 1294 ; Bacch. 1005, etc.; and Jelf, § 667, Obs. 2 and 3.
645. ì $\gamma o v \mu$ év, leading the way. Thus, Eum. 1010,

648. є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ$ ás, residence in his turn. The Scholiast explains סatpıßás, סıairas. Cfr. Eum. 518, and Mitchell, Frogs, ad v. 1351.
651. ' $\Omega$ s оӥтот' . . . $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon$, Since thou wilt by no means blame me for what I have announced. - ảvopì тஸ̂ठє. $\delta \epsilon \iota^{-}$


 Eurip. Alcest. 690, 719, et passim. Cfr. Matth. § 470. Verbs expressing to praise, to blame, to admire, etc. gov-
who sang it were overwhelmed by misery (comp. Soph. Ed. Tyr. 5). Kuinoel ad Eurip. Alcest. 438 quotes a passage from Herodotus, where the pæan was sung at a funeral, and very properly so ; for it was (according to Hermann's explanation of that passage) done in order that the god might grant relief to the mourners from their grief. It would lead us too far to say more here on the nature of the pæan. A full exposition of the nature of the pæan is given by Mure, Hist. of Gr. Lit, Vol. III. p. 66. Comp. also K. O. Muller, Geschichte der Griech. Lit., Theil I. pp. 31, 32.
ern the accusative of the person and the genitive of the object which calls forth the praise, reproach, or admiration. Comp. Jelf, § 495 ; Matth. § 368. a; and Jelf, § 589. 3, and Obs. 2.
 affairs of) the city. On this metaphor, comp. note to v. 2, above.

653,654 . ${ }^{\varsigma} \Omega \theta_{\epsilon \circ \mu a v}{ }^{\prime} s$. Scholiast) refer the first of these two lines to Polyneikes alone, and the second to the two brothers together. It is, however, better to understand both verses of Eteocles and Polyneikes jointly. $\theta \epsilon o \mu a \nu$ '́s means, not, as Schol. A. explains it, raging against the gods, but maddened by the gods, in the sense of the Latin saying, quem Deus vult perdere, prius dementat. - $\sigma \tau \dot{y}$ yos, object of abhorrence. Cfr. Choeph. 1028. - ả $\rho_{o ́ \nu}^{\nu}$ is Doric for $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu . ~ C f r . ~$
 which Schütz and Bothe (ad Hom. Odyss. x. 343) prefer, but Well. rejects.
655. $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o l . ~ S e e ~ I n t r o d u c t i o n . ~$
657. M̀̀ кaì . . . . үóos, lest a more intolerable lamentation be produced. Some editors think that Eteocles says this because he fears that, if he should commence to lament, the Chorus would set up a more intolerable wailing; this is, however, not the sense. Eteocles endeavors to suppress his own feelings, because he fears that an exhibition of grief on his part at this critical moment would have a disheartening effect on the soldiery, and that thus by his tears a greater cause for lamentation, viz. the taking of the city, would be produced.

659. ö $\pi \eta$ тє $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, what will come of them.
661. $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ фоít¢ ф $\rho \in \nu \omega ̄ \nu$. фoítos, madness, $\mu a ́ v ı a, \lambda v ́ \sigma \sigma a$. Hesych.

$\eta \nu$, If justice were with him, it might perhaps be. The indicative with $\epsilon l$ in the protasis and with $a \not \partial \nu$ in the apodosis is used, because the speaker neither considers the condition to be true, nor believes that the result arising from it will happen. Comp. Jelf, § 853. c. ——ì $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi a i ̂ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \Delta i k \eta . ~$ Dike, Eunomia, and Irene were the daughters of Zeus from his second wife Themis. The three sisters were called the Horæ, "quod hominibus "́pya $\varrho \rho \in \dot{v} o v \sigma \iota$, custodiunt ac tuentur, faciuntque ut sui quisque laboris fructum percipiat." Cfr. Van Lennep. ad Hes. Theog. 901. -_ тá $\chi^{\prime}$ ä $\nu$ тód ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\eta} \nu$, it might perhaps be (that the golden emblem on his shield would help Polyneikes to take the city).
664. фиуóvта $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ бкóтov. $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ \theta \in \nu$ stands for éк $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ s$, which occurs in Choeph. 416, where see Blomfield's
 $\nu \eta \delta o ́ v o s ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, and Pind. Nem. i. 53, $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$ vinò $\mu a \tau \epsilon \in-$

665. '̇ф $\dagger \beta$ ŕ $\sigma a \nu \tau a ́ ~ \pi \omega$, when a boy. Young men were called $\epsilon \phi \eta \beta \circ \iota$ from their fifteenth to their twentieth year.
 worthy of her favor. A hendiadys in the sense of "Aspectu dignata est." The manuscripts have $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon i \pi \epsilon$, which Well. properly retains, and which is supported by Eurip. Suppl. 959, where comp. Markland. The reading $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon i \delta \varepsilon$ was probably in one of the manuscripts which Schol. II. used, on whose authority it has been taken into the text.
668. є̇̀ . . . . какогхіа, in the wretched possession of his native country, i. e. in a possession which he cannot obtain except by first devastating it.

670. $\pi a v \delta i x \omega s$, completely, plane, omnino, funditus. Comp. Wunder ad Soph. (Ed. Col. 1301.
671. झ̇vขoû $a$ a, being with, i. e. helping. - $\pi a \nu \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \varphi$, i. e. $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ тод $\mu \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \iota$. Wunder compares $\pi u \nu \tau o v \rho \gamma \hat{̣}$ in Soph. Ajac. 437. Sce also EEd. Col. 758.
672. єiцє каì $\xi v \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$. The more usual construction
 object of the verb is expressed by the future participle. Cfr. Matth. § 566. 6.
 comparative after $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, Monk ad Hippol. 158 has collected many examples. Comp., besides, Matth. § 458. In the same way we find two superlatives, as $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \neq \iota \sigma \tau o s$, $\pi \lambda \epsilon і \sigma \tau o \nu$ ка́кıбтos, etc., used.

 as quickly as possible. Thus, Agam. 27, єن̉vク̀s є́mavtєìiaaav

 of $\omega$ s with a noun following, comp. Jelf, § 869. 5.
676. $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, defences against the stones, i. e. the shield. Some manuscripts read $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ instead of $\pi \epsilon-$ $\tau \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, which, if preferred, is synonymous with ȯi $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. The passage has been imitated, but not improved, by Euripides in Phœn. 790, etc.
678. 'O $\rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ ó óoios, like in wrath. Cfr. Jelf. § 579. 2.
 most bitterly denounced (by you).
 of this pollution; no sacrifice of purification can wipe it away. Comp. Soph. Ed. Col. 951, ed. Wunder. In verse 681, the words $\theta$ ávatos $\hat{\dot{\omega}} \delta^{\prime}$ au̇toktóvos are in the nominative absolute, and appear as the agent of the thought expressed

 Comp. Jelf, § 708. 1, and Mitchell to Frogs, 1406.

683-685. Eïтєр како̀̀ фє́рєє . . . . є́ $\epsilon і$ ís. We have adopted the reading of Blomf., who has put a comma after ris and taken away the comma after ärep. The sense of the lines is rather obscure, and has been variously explained.
（Cfr．the crit notes in Stanley＇s edition．）Butler＇s propo－ sition，to assign only vv． 683 and 685 to Eteocles，and v． 684 to the Chorus，is highly objectionable，as the poet would not have interrupted the sets of three lines which be assigns to each speaker down to v．695．The sense of the passage is this：If any one suffers death（какু̀̀ ф＇́ $\rho є \iota$ ），let it be without disgrace，for（to die glorious）is the only profit which the dead may gain．But you could not say that there would come any glory from base and disgraceful actions．After épeis understand eival．

686．Tí $\mu^{\prime} \mu$ о⿱亠乂口as；What wilt thou，child？Some manu－ scripts read Tí $\mu$ є́ $\eta \eta$ vas ；Why dost thou rage？－－$v \mu$ o－
 with the spear，i．e．mad and destructive desire of fighting．

689．тò $\pi \rho \hat{\rho} \gamma \mu a$ ，the affair，i．e．the destruction of the house of Laius．

690．＂Iт кат＇oủpov，к．т．入．Construe，$\pi \hat{a} \nu$ тò Laîov $\gamma^{\prime} \nu 0$ ， $\Phi \circ i \beta \varphi \sigma \tau v \gamma \eta \theta \in ̇ \nu$＂t $\tau \omega$ ，etc．，may the whole race of Laius，hate－ ful to Phæbus，go straightway to the wave of Kokytus， which has been allotted to them．The race of Laius was odious to Apollo，because he had forbidden the Theban king to beget children，which injunction Laius had diso－ beyed，and thus caused the pollution of his descendants． For this crime the wave of Kokytus was allotted to them， i．e．the whole race was condemned to extinction． кат＇oủpov，straightway，lit．with a fair wind．Stanley com－
 The words кरิца $\mathrm{K} \omega \kappa \nu \tau o \hat{v} \lambda a \chi o ́ \nu$ are in the accusative，gov－ erned by ${ }^{i} \tau \omega$ ，because verbs expressing motion to some place govern the accusative．Comp．Jelf，§ 559.

692－694．＇$\Omega \mu о \delta а к \eta$＇．．．．$\theta \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \tau o v ̄ . ~ T h e ~ s e n s e ~ o f ~ t h i s ~$ reply of the Chorus is this：It is not the god who hurries you on to destruction，but your own fierce hatred against your brother urges you to accomplish the shedding of unlawful blood．The words aïuatos oú $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{u}$ are not in
the genitive absolute, as Schütz says, but are to be taken together with àvóoктa⿱iav, which latter has simply the sense of $\sigma \phi a \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$.
695. $\tau^{\prime} \hat{\wedge} \lambda \epsilon i^{\prime}$ àá. These two words are corrupt, because, if $\tau^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon}$ is taken as the neuter plural, it gives no sense, and if we take it for the nom. sing. fem. (in which case we ought to write $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon i^{\prime}$ ), the final cannot be elided. Blomf. and Dind. prefer, therefore, the interpolation of Turnebus, $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, making this infinitive dependent on $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \iota \zeta$ दáv $\iota$, sits upon . . . . in order that I may finish. To this also Well. inclines. Blomf. quotes in support of this construction Eumen. 673, 732 ; Soph. Ed. Col. 12; Hom. Il. i. 442 ; Virg. Æn. i. 527 ; and Horat. Carm. i. 23. 10. Comp. Blomf. ad Agam. 978, and Matth. § 532. - The $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s$ ápá is his Erinnys. Comp. Eumen. 416, and Wunder ad Soph. CEd. Tyr. 413. - By $\xi \eta \eta o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ к \lambda a v ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ o ̈ \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu, ~ n o t ~$ the eyes of 'Apá, but those of Eteocles are meant, and they are called dry and tearless, because nothing can move him from his determination to fulfil the doom of his race.
697. $\Lambda$ '́ $\gamma o v \sigma a$ (i. e. $\dot{\eta}$ ảpà) кє́ $\rho \delta o s ~ \pi \rho u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ v o \tau \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ \mu o ́ \rho o v, ~$ announcing a profit previous to subsequent death, i. e. telling me that I shall be victorious before I die myself. This is the explanation which Wellauer has given of this difficult passage. It is certainly better, at least simpler and more intelligible, than the far-fetched interpretation of Schol. B., who explains $\kappa \in \in \rho \delta o s$, tò $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \in \theta \nu a ̂ v a \iota \cdot \pi \rho o ́-$ $\tau \in \rho \circ \nu, \tau \iota \mu \iota \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$.
699. ßiov єن̉ кupŋ́бas. Schol. A., тòv ßiov єủ סıákas, living a good life. But Linwood takes kvpeiv in the sense of $\tau v \gamma \chi^{a} \nu \in \iota \nu$, in venire, and translates, if you retain life from honorable motives, which seems preferable. See Matth. § 326, Obs., and Beck ad Eurip. Hec. 686. _T The sense of the following words of the Chorus is, that the Erinnys does not enter the house of any one as long as he keeps himself free from guilt and the gods will accept sacrifices
from his unpolluted hands. It is only by acts of impiety that the black cloud of the Curse is made to burst over the head of the guiliy. On the conjunctive after ötav, cfr. Jelf, §841. 1. Some manuscripts read $\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ instead of $\delta \dot{o} \mu$ ovs. If this is preferred, $\delta o{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ 'Epıvís is to be taken together in the sense of the Erinnys of the house of EEdipus, and cîcı in an absolute sense, like the Latin incedo in Virg. Æn. i. 46, "Ast ego, quæ divum incedo regina." Instead

 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \chi^{\text {ápıtos }} \mu$ oipa $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu$, i. e. our death will be a pleasure to them.
704. Tí oủv.... . Mópov; Why should we, then, still endeavor by fawning to ward off our doomed destruction? Blomf., objecting to the hiatus in $\tau i{ }^{i}$ ov̂, reads $\tau i v v \nu$. See also Matth. § 42. On the force of $\tau i$ oûv, comp. Jelf, § 737. 4.

705-707. N $\hat{\nu} \nu$ öтє $\sigma о \iota \pi a \rho^{\prime} \sigma \tau a к \epsilon \nu$, Now it is (still) in thy power. $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ถ̈ $\tau \epsilon$ is equivalent to $\nu \hat{v} \nu$. Comp. Matth. § 624. Schütz, however, explains, "Nunc saltem, scil. бaivє $\mu$ ópov cum te urget, $\pi a \rho \in ́ \sigma \pi a \kappa \epsilon \nu$ enim hoc loco malo sensu accipi-

 changed in the long-delayed change of his temper or design. - $\tau \rho \circ \pi a i a, ~ p o e t . ~ f o r ~ \tau \rho o \pi \hat{\eta}$.
 i. e. with milder influence. By $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ in v. 705 is meant the spirit who now fills the mind of Eteocles with fierce hatred against Polyneikes, but who in the course of time will appease his passion and dispose him to gentler feelings towards his brother.
 deed) for. ${ }^{\mathfrak{\epsilon}} \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$, have boiled over. Comp. Blomf. Gloss. ad Prom. 378.


my father's possessions. The phantom of the Curse pronounced by (Edipus upon his sons, that they should, in the language of Euripides (Phœn. 67), |  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\kappa \tau \tau \varphi ิ$ |  |
| $\sigma \iota \delta \eta$ | $\rho$ |
| $\delta \omega$ | $\mu a$ |
| $\delta \iota a \lambda a-$ |  | $\chi \epsilon i \nu$, has often appeared to Eteocles in his dreams, and now, convinced that his own and his brother's death is at hand, he feels that those nightly visions were but too true.

712. חєi $\theta_{o v} . . .{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$. On the dative $\gamma v v a \iota \xi i$ after $\pi \epsilon i \theta_{o v}$,
 them. On the use of ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \omega$, comp. Matth. § 566 ; Jelf, § 697. d; and Blomf. ad Pers. 300.


713. M $\eta$ ' $\lambda \theta_{\eta l}$ s óoov's. On the accusative ofoús, comp. Jelf, §55\%. 1.
714. T $\epsilon \theta_{\eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu . ~ C o m p . ~ V a l c k e n a e r ~ a d ~ E u r i p . ~ H i p p . ~}^{684 .}$
 an inglorious victory; i. e. yet even if you yourself abstain from battle, you will enjoy all the fruits of the victory gained by your army.

 because she is about to destroy the house of Laius ; she is further called ou $\theta$ oois ópoiav, because, according to the Scholiast, she is the only mischief-working deity, whilst all the other gods are ajzatomool, or, in the language of Homer, $\delta \omega \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho \in s$ éá $^{\omega} \omega \nu$.
715. єủkтaià 'Epıvúv, the Erinnys, called upon by imprecation. "'Epıvús. Furia, i. e. ảpá, seu potius dea quæ ápás ad exitum perducebat." Similar is Eurip. Med. 171, $\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \ell \nu$ єủkтaíav, where see Musgrave.
 erned by $\pi^{\prime} \phi \rho \iota \kappa a$ (comp. Matth. § 520, Ots., and above, v. 419), and stands for the more usual $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta$, which occurs below, v. 791. At the same time, $\tau \dot{a} \nu \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma i o u k o \nu \theta \epsilon o ́ \nu$, and all the other accusatives, depend on $\pi^{\prime} \phi \rho \iota к а$, expressing
the object of the fear. Translate, therefore, $I$ shudder at the . . . . Erinnys, lest she will fulfil. —— $\beta \lambda a \psi \iota \phi \rho o ́ v \omega s$ Oiòı$\pi o ́ o ́ a$. Read, with Blomf., Oiòıлóda $\beta \lambda a \psi i \phi \rho o v o s . ~ E d i p u s$, when discovering the awful deeds which he had unwittingly done, was struck with frenzy. Having thus become mente captus, he, the instrument of the overruling Erinnys of his father, cursed his sons for an offence too slight to have drawn from him so fearful imprecations, had his reason not been clouded. On the term $\beta \lambda a \psi i \phi \rho \omega \nu, c f r$. Bothe ad Hom. Odyss. xiv. 180. Oiòımóóa is the Doric genitive, instead of Oiôınóóao, from the nominative oiointóóas. Cfr. Matth. § 68. 9.
 quarrel presses on (viz. the fulfilment of the curse).
 $\Sigma_{\kappa v} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, the Chalybian foreigner, the stranger from the Scythians. The substantive Xádvßos is used poetically for Xádv $\psi$, a Chalybian. The Chalybians were a nation of Pontus, of whom it is said that they first invented the art of hardening iron ; they are not altogether properly called Scythians, as the latter appellation was only applicable to the nations beyond the Euxine Sea. - $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho o v s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nu \omega \mu a ̂, ~$ distributes their lots, i. e. shares out to them (Eteocles and Polyneikes) their possessions. The whole metaphor is taken from the custom of putting lots into a helmet or urn and shaking them out, as has been mentioned above, at v. 55 ; hence $\delta a \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a s$ in v. 731, having assigned by lot, lit. having shaken through.

731, 732. X Oóva . . . . ả $\mu$ oipovs. Construe, $\delta \iota a \pi \eta$ خ̀as ả $\mu o i-$
 катє́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ (sc. $\pi \rho \in \in \notin о \iota)$, allotting them, destitute of their large plains, to inhabit land, as much as may be fit for them to possess, even when dead, i. e. as much as will be necessary for their grave. A similar constraction occurs in Soph. ©Ed. Col. 786 :

##  <br> 

where comp. Wunder. By $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \delta i \omega \nu$ the Theban territory is meant. Blomf. compares below, v. 818 ; Juvenal, x. 168; and Epigr. in Catalect., p. 212, "Ite: novas toto terras conquirite mundo, Nempe manet magnos parvula terra duces." - Instead of іл $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu ~ к а і ̀ ~ \phi \theta_{\iota} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime} \nu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а т є ́ \chi є \iota \nu, ~$ Blomf. and others read ó óórà kaì $\phi \theta \iota \mu \in ́ \nu o u s ~ a ̉ \nu ~ к a т є ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ a c-~$ cording to Brunck's conjecture.
733. av̇токтóvตs av̀тодáikто. Both words have the same meaning, slain by each other.
739. Tís à $\nu \sigma \phi \epsilon \lambda o v \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$. The poet seems here to have in his mind the ancient belief, that if a foul murder had been committed, nothing could remove the stain of blood from the earth, if the soil had once imbibed it. Comp. Blomf. ad Choeph. 61.
743. Фккйтоьขоу, bringing quick punishment. In Agam. 58 , the Erinnys is called $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ро́тowos. Comp. also Hes.

 situated in the navel of the earth. The seat of the Delphian oracle was considered by the Greeks as the centre (umbilicus) of the earth. Comp. Eumen. 166, $\pi$ ápe $\sigma \tau \iota \gamma$ âs




 $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu, b y$ imprudent counsels from friends; the preposition $\dot{\epsilon} k$ expressing the origin whence the counsels arose. Similar instances of this use of $\epsilon \xi$ we find in Soph. Antig. 95,
 є่ $\pi \iota \beta \hat{\eta}$.


to plant a bloody root into the unholy soil (incestum agrum) where he was born; i. e. into the womb of his mother. ${ }^{\prime} \tau \lambda a$ governs here the participle $\sigma \pi \epsilon i p a s$, as in Soph. Electr. 943, $\tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu a i \quad \sigma \epsilon \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a \nu$. More usually it is followed by the infinitive, especially when used in the sense of to dare. Cfr. Matth. §550. 6, and Jelf, § 687, Obs. 1. —— Instead of $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ c ́ \gamma \nu a ́ \nu ~ t h e ~ V u l g a t e ~ r e a d s ~ \mu a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a ́ \gamma \nu a ́ v . ~$
 to Laius and Iokasta, and not to CEdipus and his mother ; for Cedipus cannot be said to have been $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta s$ (mente captus) at the time of his marriage. Comp. below, v. 778. If it is yet preferred to refer the words to Cdipus, mapávous must be translated by ignorance ; but then it will scarcely suit as nominative to ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ in v. 758 .


 $\pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda a \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\text {é }}{ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \omega \gamma \epsilon \nu$; Eurip. Hippol. 822; and Shakspeare, Hamlet iii. 1, "Or to take arms against a sea of troubles," etc.
759. Tò $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu(\mathrm{sc} . \kappa \hat{\nu} \mu a) \pi i \not \tau \nu o \nu$, the one subsiding.
760. T $\rho i \chi \chi a \lambda o \nu$, Dor. for $\tau \rho i \chi \eta \lambda o \nu$ (from $\chi \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}$, the claw) triply-cloven. Casaubon says, " $\tau \rho i \chi \chi a \lambda o \nu$, h. е. т $\kappa \iota \kappa o ́ \rho v ф о \nu ~$ fluctus divisus in plures корvфás q. dicas cacumina et $\chi \eta \lambda$ ás." Stanl. and Blomf., however, take $\tau \rho i ́ \chi a \lambda o \nu$ as bearing a similar sense with тоıкинia (Prom. 1015), viz. a third wave; so called because it was believed that every third wave was larger than the two preceding ones.
 with àдка́, and translate, but between (it and us) stretches a defence through a small space, a tower in breadth. The war of misfortune which threatens to overwhelm us is separated from us merely by the width of a tower, which forms our only defence against it. $\pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma \operatorname{los}^{\epsilon} \nu \in \cup \cup \rho \epsilon \iota$ is in apposition with $\grave{a} \lambda \kappa \grave{a} ~ \delta \grave{\imath} \dot{o} \lambda i ́ \gamma o v$. Blomf. compares Arati Phæ-
 628 ; Virgil, En. ix. 142 ; and Juvenal, xii. 57.

766．$\pi$ aגai申atoı，pronounced long ago．
767．Варєîa ката入入aүaì（sc．єiбь），graves sunt（fratrum） reconciliationes，i．e．they will only be reconciled in death．

768．Tà $\delta^{\circ}$ ỏ入oà ．．．．$\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \rho \chi є \tau a l, ~ d i s a s t e r s, ~(i f ~ o n c e) ~ e x-~$ isting，do not pass by．Instead of $\pi \epsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \mu \in \nu^{\prime}$ Well．retains the reading of the Vulgate $\tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda o{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu^{\prime}$ ，disasters arising（like a tempest）do not pass away．

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \phi \eta \sigma \tau a ̂ \nu$ ，Dor．for ${ }^{\lambda} \lambda \phi \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，seeking gain，refers here to men who trade across the sea；its more general meaning is inventive ；as in Hom．Od．i．349，vi．8，xiii． 261 ；and Hes．Scut．29，where comp．Göttling；Op．et Dies， 82.
 board from the stern．Instead of the adverb $\pi \rho o ́ \pi \rho v \mu \nu a$ ，two manuscripts have $\pi \rho o ́ \pi \rho v \mu \nu a \nu$ ，Turn．$\pi \rho o ́ \pi \rho \nu \mu \nu o \nu$ ；the latter is received by Blomf．The sense of the passage is obvi－ ous．Comp．Wunder ad Soph．Ed．Tyr． 1155.
 ＂guvéotios est qui sub iisdem laribus vivit．＂Blomf．
 men，i．e．the whole human race then living．Schol．A．ex－
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, кaì $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ oí ä $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$ ，тoûto $\gamma$ à $\rho \delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\imath}$ тò $\pi о \lambda \dot{\beta} \beta$ отоs $a i \omega ̀ \nu \beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ．This is the interpretation adopted
 $\beta \rho o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ as a paraphrase for mo入úßoтo七 $\beta$ potoi，men rich in herds，in the Homeric sense of the word．

775．＂Oqov тót＇Oidiitov̀ tiov．Stanl．compares Soph． EEd．Tyr． 31 and 47.
 $\Sigma \phi i \gamma \gamma a$ ，because the Sphinx devoured every one who did not succeed in guessing her riddle．
 miserable one，had become fully aware of his wretched
marriage. Here Blomf. says: " Pessime autem interpretes $\gamma^{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$ cum $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau i \phi \rho \omega \nu$ conjungunt, et vertunt postquam certior factus nuptiarum. Dixerat Æschylus mapávoıa $\sigma v \nu a ̂ \gamma \epsilon ~ \nu v \mu-$ фious $\phi \rho \in \nu \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$; dein postquam in se rediit, miser ob infaustas nuptias: subaudito ${ }^{\text {éveка." }}$ " The words $\pi$ та́ávoıa . . . $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ do not, as we have seen (above, v. 758), refer to the marriage of EEdipus with his mother, but to Laius and Iokasta; for of them only it could be said that тapávoua, frenzy, brought them together. Edipus, at the time of his marriage, was altogether mentes compos; he married his mother in ignorance, and it was only after having been informed of his incestuous wedlock that he became frenzied.
782. Díoupa ка́к' $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$, he perpetrated a twofold deed of wrong. The Scholiast says the affliction was twofold, because he tore out both his eyes. Butl., Blomf., and Linwood refer the words to his destroying his eyes and cursing his sons. The explanation of the Scholiast is the more poetical of the two.
784. K Кєєбботє́кушу, dearer than his children. On the various explanations of this word, compare Butler and Well. Dindorf considers it corrupt.- $\dot{\epsilon}^{\pi} \lambda \dot{a} \gamma \chi \theta \eta$, he deprived himself of. Comp. Linwood sub voce $\pi \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$.

785, 786. T'єкцoıs . . . . тоофás. The reading of the text is that of the Vulgate. Well. defends it: "Edipus, qui educationem victumque debebat filiis suis, dedit quidem, sed ảpaias, émıкóтovs трофás, quæ deinde explicantur apposito $\pi \iota \kappa \rho о \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v s$ ảpás." Heath and Blomf. read є̇ $\pi i к \kappa т о s ~ \tau \rho о-$ фâs, enraged on account of the food which his sons gave him, for the Schol. ad Soph. Edd. Col. 1375 relates, on the authority of the Cyclic Thebais, that the sons of Edipus, accustomed to supply their father with the shoulder of the victim, once from wantonness sent him the hip-joint. This insult so enraged the frenzied old man, that he pronounced the curse on his sons to which we have repeatedly
referred. Dindorf calls Heath's conjecture infelix, and says: "Scribendum émukórous rooфâs cum Schuetzio, qui recte interpretatur indigne ferens se tales filios educasse." Wellauer's explanation of the Vulgate is exceedingly harsh, as far as the sense is concerned; yet it is perhaps the only one that can be maintained as long as dapaias remains in the text. Compare, besides, Mr. Grote, who sides with Heath and Blomf. in his Hist. of Greece, Vol. I. p. 367, etc.
791. кан廿itovs, plying her feet, i. e. swift. Comp. Linw. sub voce.
792. $\mu \eta \tau \in \in \rho \omega \nu \tau \in \theta \rho a \mu \mu \hat{v} v a t$, raised under the supervision of mothers. The genitive $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ depends on the participle
 $\lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ т $\rho а ф \epsilon і$.
 $\lambda a i ̂ \sigma \iota \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a i ̂ s . ~ S t a n l . ~ c o m p a r e s ~ S o p h . ~ E d . ~ T y r . ~ 22 ; ~ A n t i g . ~$ 162 ; Eurip. Phœen. 859.
 not receive bilge-water ; in French, n'a pas pris eau.
 prie dicitur de nave (vel vase), que aquam per foramina vel rimas neque admittat neque transmittat."
 v. 396.
 because, according to Proclus, on every seventh day in the month a sacrifice was offered to him. The poet, however, in giving this epithet to Apollo, seems also to allude to his (the god's) taking the command of the seventh gate.
802. Kрaivav . . . . סvaßovias, accomplishing the ancient follies of Laius, i. e. bringing about their punishment. סvoßovias, though in the plural, refers merely to the one indiscreet act of Laius alluded to in vv. 750-752.
807. Фроvov̀ $\sigma$, with calmness ; opposed to $\pi a \rho a \phi p o \nu \hat{\omega}, I$ am distracted, in the preceding line.
808. $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota s ~ \epsilon i \mu \grave{~} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а к \hat{\omega} \nu$, auguror mala.
 Comp. Aristoph. Thesm. 560.
 for Kai '́єкєî̀ $\grave{j} \lambda \theta_{o \nu}$; Did they come to that? In the following words oủv is added, because the Chorus is anxious for further information. See Jelf, § 737. 6. Blomf. reads $\gamma^{\prime}$ oủv instead of $\delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\nu$, which is entirely unnecessary. $\delta^{\prime}$ oủv ö $\mu \omega$ s means yet at the same time.
 Yes, indeed, he (their evil genius) consumes, etc. I have translated $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \bar{\eta} \tau a$ by yes, indeed, because these two words have to be construed together as referring back to what was said in verse 811. Similar examples are Eurip. Phœn. 423, and Soph. Ed. Col. 52, where see Wunder.
 Eurip. Med. 325, 入ózovs ảva入oís.
 more usually governs the dative, or is followed by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ cum dat. The accusative is, however, occasionally found in the dramatists ; e. g. Eurip. Hipp. 1339, where comp. Valckenaer.
 $\mu o \nu ; 418$, каì $\Sigma к \dot{\theta} \theta_{\eta s}$ ö $\mu \lambda$ доs. - $\pi a \mu \pi \eta \sigma i a \nu$, the whole possession. "Formatur ab antiquo verbo $\pi a ́ \omega$, possideo." Blomf.

 $\chi$ Өovòs, $\hat{\eta}_{\nu} \lambda \dot{a} \beta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a \phi \hat{\eta}$, They will have that possession of land, which they may receive at their burial. Well. joins $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \tau a \phi \hat{\eta} \chi$ Øovós. Blomf. reads, with Brunck, $\chi$ Өová. Dindorf considers vv. 818-821 as spurious.
 thanks. ' $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi o \lambda o \lambda \dot{v} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Lat. ululare) is, according to Pollux, properly used of the joyful shouts of women ; occasionally,
however, also of men, and under sad circumstances. Cfr. Wunder ad Soph. Trach. 202 ; Elmsley's note ad Eurip. Heracl. 777, and Casaubon, as quoted by Bothe ad Hom. Il. vi. 301.
826. à $\sigma \iota \nu \epsilon$ î, protecting from harm. Hermann, Well., and Dind., however, consider this verse incomplete; the latter proposes to read $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ à $\sigma \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota \tau \dot{\chi} \chi a . \quad$ By $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota$ we may, with Blomf., understand Zeus.
828. 'Aт'́кעous, childless. To die without offspring was a great misfortune in the opinions of the ancients. Stanley
 є̀лаßєд äpa ßiotov.
 according to their name. Of course the name of Polyneikes alone is referred to. Comp. what has been said above, on v. 578.
831. á $\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{i}$, because they committed fratricide.
833. Г'éveos Oioímov t' ápá, of Gedipus and of his race. The reading seems, however, to be corrupt. Some read $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$; the edition of Robortelli omits the particle altogether, and Dind. thinks that either Oioinov or ááa is spurious.


836. Өviás. The Vulg. reads $\grave{\omega}$ s $\Theta$ viás ; the sense is the same.
838. ס̂́voopuls, ill-omened. Thus, in Eum. 770, пaрópvl$\theta$ as $\pi$ ópovs are ill-omened journeys. Comp. also Eurip. Hippol. 759, 8v́ซopvıs ধ̈ $\pi \tau$ тато к $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \eta \nu a s . ~$
 $\sigma o ́ v . ~ " U n d e ~ Æ s c h y l u s ~ f r a t r u m ~ d u o r u m ~ \mu о \nu о \mu a \chi i a \nu ~ \xi v \nu a v-~$ خíav dixit." Heinsius.
840. ov̇ठ' àmeinc, has not failed, lit. has not ceased to speak. On the phrase єíктаia фа́тıs, comp. above, v. 721.
842. Bou入ai . . . . סınpкє
dient) counsels of Laius have had their full effect. Schol.


843. Мє́ $\rho \iota \mu \nu a \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \phi і$ т $\pi$ ó̀ $\lambda \iota \nu$, there is care concerning the city. On this force of à $\mu \phi$ ', comp. Jelf, $\$ 631$, iii. 3.
844. Ө'є́ $\sigma \phi \tau^{\prime}$ оѝк à $\mu \beta \lambda \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \tau a l$, the divine oracles are not rendered ineffective. The Vulgate has кai before $\theta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma a \tau^{\prime}$.
845. $\pi$ oरv́rтovou. The Chorus means the two brothers.
846. $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \theta \epsilon \ldots$. . . $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \varphi$. Construe, $\grave{j} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ठè $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ aiakтá, and there have come woes, not to be mourned with words.
848. Here we must suppose the bloody corpses of Eteocles and Polyneikes to be brought on the stage. - $\pi \rho o \hat{v}-$ ттоs. Hesych., $\pi \rho о$ ѝттov • $\pi \rho o ́ \delta ̊ \eta \lambda o \nu, \phi a v \epsilon \rho o ́ v . ~ T h u s ~ T h u c y d . ~$


849 - 851. $\Delta \iota \pi \lambda a i ̂ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi a ́ \theta \eta, ~ T w o f o l d ~ a r e ~ o u r ~ c a r e s ~(i . ~ e . ~$ the objects of our sorrow, Eteocles and Polyneikes) ; twofold (lit. concerning two men) are the crimes produced by mutual murder ; twofold are these sufferings, (now) brought to their end.
 woe upon woe (were) the inmates of this house?

854-856. 'А $\lambda \lambda \grave{a} . . . \pi_{i} \boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \lambda o \nu . ~ C o n s t r u e, ~ ' A \lambda \lambda a ̀, ~ \grave{\omega} \phi i \lambda a t$,
 O friends, along with the breeze of sighs, raise the conducting noise of constant beating of your hands around your head. The poet imagines that the sail of Charon's boat, which carries the dead across Acheron, is swelled by the breeze of sighs which the mourners utter whilst performing the funeral obsequies; along with these sighs the Chorus exhorts to raise a noise by beating their heads with their hands. This noise ( $\pi i \not i v \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ) the poet calls $\pi o ́ \mu \pi \tau \mu \nu \nu$, because it conducts the dead to Hades; it is, therefore, synonymous with $\pi o \mu \pi a i o s$, the epithet of Hermes as conductor of the dead. The verb ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is similarly used in

Pers．1040，${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ ，${ }_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{\epsilon} \beta \in \sigma \sigma^{\prime}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．，where Linwood supplies тòv крâтa，and in Soph．Ajac． 251.

85̄7．äбтovov，full of sighs，taking the $a$ as $a$ intensivum； with privative force，it would mean sighless．The former signification is supported by the gloss of Hesychius，ä aroo－ vov．$\mu \in \gamma$ àóqтovov；and by Theocrit．xvii．47，who calls
 epithet，although here applied to Charon＇s boat，has also reference to the black sail which the vessel of Theseus carried on its journey to and from Crete．

858．Nav́бтodov $\theta \epsilon \omega p i o ̂ a$ ，the sailing bark．Instead of ขav́бтo入ov，Butler，Blomf．，and Boissonnade read עєкvoбтó入ò， conveying the dead，and Schütz äбтo入ov，ill－omened，infaus－ tum．The term $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho$ is was properly used of the sacred ship in which the Athenians sent annually a deputation （ $e_{\epsilon \omega \rho o u ́ s) ~ t o ~ D e l o s, ~ t o ~ f u l f i l ~ a ~ v o w ~ m a d e ~ b y ~ T h e s e u s ~ b e f o r e ~}^{\text {en }}$ he slew the Minotaur of Crete．Here it means Charon＇s boat．

859．Tàv à $\sigma \tau \kappa \bar{\eta}$＇$\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，the one not trodden by Apollo． This the poet adds，in order to point out more distinctly that he does not speak of the $\theta \epsilon \omega$ pis properly so called，but of Charon＇s boat．The opposite to $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \iota \beta \dot{\eta} s$ is $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o \sigma \tau \iota \beta \dot{\eta} s$ ， which our poet uses in Prom．791．Comp．above，note to v．218．－ $\operatorname{\tau à} \nu$ à $\nu a ́ \lambda \iota o \nu(D o r . ~ f o r ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ́ \lambda \iota o \nu), ~ s u n l e s s . ~ C o m p . ~$ Eurip．Alcest．437，єì＇Aî̀a סó $\mu \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ тò̀ ảvádıov oîkov oikє－ tevoos．Blomf．considers these two words as a gloss，but without sufficient reason．In lively descriptions，Æschylus is fond of heaping adjectives together，even if nearly synonymous．

860．Пávסокоข ．．．．$\chi$＇́ $\rho \sigma o \nu$ ，into the all－receiving and invisible land．

865．ä入 yos $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \xi \iota o \nu$ is in apposition with $\theta \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu \circ \nu$ ．
 that we，before any thing is said．Schol．B．，however，

 chant of the Erinnys; i. e. the lament on account of the death of the two brothers, which the Erinnys of their father caused.

 poet had said simply $\theta \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o \nu$.
873. є̇к ф $\varnothing \in \nu o ̀ s ~ o ̉ \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s, ~ t r u l y ~ f r o m ~ m y ~ h e a r t . ~ T h u s, ~ b e-~$ low, v. 919, є̇тú $\mu \omega \mathbf{s}$ є̇к ф $\phi \in \nu$ ós.
875. Фì $\omega \nu$ ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \iota$, distrustful of your friends, i. e. not heeding their counsels. - какюิ̀ àтри́моуєs, not subdued by misfortunes. On the genitive как $\omega \nu$, comp. Matth. §345, Obs.
876. $\sigma \grave{v} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \kappa \hat{a}$, i. e. $\dot{a} \lambda \kappa \hat{a}$, in fight, with the help of fighting. In the same manner ov́v is redundantly used below, v. 882, $\sigma \grave{\nu \nu} \sigma \iota \delta a ́ \rho \omega$. Comp. also Pind. Nem. x. 89, $\delta \rho о ́ \mu \varphi$ $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \notin \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \in \nu \iota \kappa a ̂ \sigma a \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \iota$.
879. ठó $\mu \omega \nu$ éni $\lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$, to the ruin of their houses.
885. Ti ò $\delta \iota \eta \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta_{\epsilon}$; how now are ye reconciled? Instead of $\tau i \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$, the manuscripts have $\eta^{\prime \prime} \delta \eta$. $\delta i \eta \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta \epsilon$, poet. for $\delta \iota \eta \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$.
886. Kápтa $\delta^{\prime} a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$, sc. тà катєú $\gamma \mu a \tau a$.
 through their left sides, i. e. through their heart. Before ó $\mu \sigma \pi \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$ understand again $\delta \star a ́$.

892, 893. ảvтィфóv $\omega_{\nu}^{*}$ *avát $\omega \nu$ ápaí. Dindorf inserts êk before $\theta a \nu a ́ t \omega \nu$. Well. prefers ail: Woe for the curses of death effected by mutual slaughter !

894, 895. பıavтaíà . . . . $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s . ~ A f t e r ~ \delta ı a \nu \tau a i a \nu ~ u n-~$ derstand $\pi \lambda a \gamma \dot{a} \nu$, and construe, $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s \pi \lambda a \gamma a ̀ \nu$ dıav $\pi a v a \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda a \gamma-$ $\mu$ évovs סópoьбь каì $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$, Thou speakest of a blow, piercing right through those struck in their houses and bodies; i. e. thou art speaking of a blow, which not only deprived them of their inheritance, but also of their lives.

 $\theta \in \nu$ єíктаia фiтıs. Translate, and with the fate of discord, loaded with the curse (apai $\omega$ ) from their father.
 will remain for their successors. '̇ $\pi$ ryóvous does not mean descendants, for, according to the dramatists, Eteocles and Polyneikes died childless (comp. á $\tau \in ́ \kappa \nu o v s, ~ a b o v e, ~ v . ~ 828), ~$ and with them, or rather their sisters, the house of Cdipus became extinct. Cfr. Soph. Antig. 593, עûv $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ 光 $\sigma \chi u \tau a s$
 der's note. For the same reason, we cannot well understand the poet to refer to the Epigoni, or seven leaders in the second expedition against Thebes, for one of them was Thersander, son of Polyneikes.
904. $\Delta \imath^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu$, i. е. $\kappa \tau \epsilon a ́ v \omega \nu .-a i v o \mu o ́ \rho o \iota s=\delta \delta^{\prime} \sigma \mu о \rho o t s$.
 the sword) is not without blame from their friends. фinous is the dative of the person from whom the blame arises. Comp. Jelf, $\S 589$, Obs. 4, and 611, Obs. 1.

911. |  |
| :---: |
| $\delta$ | ' ' $\neq 0$ ovot, thus they are (sese habent).

 -Táर’ äע тıs є̈̈roı, tives; perhaps some one might ask, who? - $\sigma \iota \alpha \rho o ́ \pi \lambda a к \tau о \iota ~ \lambda a \chi a i ~ \tau a ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ \pi a \tau \rho \varrho ̣ ่ \omega \nu ~ a r e ~ t h e ~ a l-~$ lotted portions of their paternal tombs which they obtained by slaying each other. Blomf., on the contrary, says: "Verte $\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho$. тá $\phi$. $\lambda a \chi a i$, sepulcrorum sortitiones ferro facta, i. e. sepulcra ferro effossa."
915. We must here suppose the Chorus to hear the lamentations of Antigone and Ismene, which they raised in the palace when informed of the death of their brothers.

 them, i. e. Eteocles and Polyneikes.
916. à̉то́бтоขos, av̇тот $\mu \mu \omega$, sua mala gemens, sua mala habens.
917. ov̉ $\phi \iota \lambda o \gamma a \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} s\left(\gamma \eta \theta^{\prime} \omega\right)$, not loving gayety.
920. â (i. e. $\left.\phi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu\right) \mu \nu \nu \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \iota$, which pines away. - тoîvঠє ס̀voî̀ ảváктоıv, sc. ëveка. Comp., however, our note to v. 145, above, and Jelf, § 481. 1.
 many evils to their fellow-citizens. ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \xi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \nu$ is $\mathbf{3}$ dual aor. 1 of $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \delta \omega$, which governs a double accusative. Cfr. Hom.

 467.
927. ' $\mathfrak{I} \omega$ dvaai $\omega \nu$, alas ! wretched living. This is a correction of Dind. for $\delta v \sigma \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, which all the manuscripts and editors read.
928. Пןò $\pi a \sigma \omega \hat{\nu}$ (sc. $\gamma v \nu a \kappa \kappa \hat{\nu}$ ), above all women. Comp. Jelf, $\S 619 . \quad \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ is in the text of the Vulgate.
935. பıатодаis, dissectionibus. "Hæreditatis scilicet, cum allusione etiam ad vulnera ferro inflicta; quod optime monet Butlerus." Blomf.
937. Neikєos $̇$ ėv $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau a ̣ ̂, ~ a t ~ t h e ~ e n d ~ o f ~ t h e i r ~ f e u d ; ~ v i z . ~$ when they slew each other. $\nu$ eikos is the whole quarrel which divided the brothers, $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \iota s$, the fight in which they both fell.
939. Zóa, lit. life; here, lifeblood.
940. ка́рта $\delta^{\prime}$ єі"' 'о' оаицоь, and they are indeed of one blood. They are not only ö $\mu a \iota \mu o \iota$ because descended from the same parents, but they are so also because their blood has mingled in the earth.
942. тóvtıos, because the Chalybes lived on the coast of the Pontus Euxinus. Compare above, v. 728.
945. "A $\eta$ s ảpáv. For similar examples of paronomasia, comp. Stanl. ad loc.
948. $\Delta \iota \sigma$ ก̊ót $\omega \nu$ áx́ $\epsilon \nu$, of woes given by Zeus. Thus,

 (enallage for bodies) there will be an unfathomable wealth
of land. The two brothers had fought for the possession of the Theban territory, but, instead of obtaining it, were both slain; and now, says the Chorus with bitter irony, now in their graves they may satisfy their desire after land; for the depth of the earth under their bodies is immeasurable. Blomf. reads $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\omega} \mu а т ь ~(s u b ~ t u m u l o) ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~}$ бஸ́цать.
951. ėmavӨiซavtes, having caused to flourish, to abound. Instead of the Vulg. Пóvoוテí $\gamma \epsilon$ סónovs, Lachmann, Herm.,


953, 954. ai̊ð'. . . . vơ $\mu o \nu$, these curses have shouted in triumph their shrill strain.

956. "Ебтакєข "Atas тротаĭо, the trophy of Ate (the goddess of mischief) stands. Ate has wrought her work by causing the brothers to slay each other.
961. In the following verses, Antigone's lamentations have more immediate reference to Polyneikes, whilst Ismene appears as the chief mourner of Eteocles.
 wretched by suffering.
965. Прокєíєєтає катакта́s. This reading of the Vulgate is without sense. Hermann has corrected into $\pi$ ро́кєєбає, and Lachmann proposes: AN. Поóкєıбає. Iг. Kaтактás.
968. $\pi a ́ v \delta \partial \rho \tau \epsilon$, poet. for $\pi a \nu o ́ \delta \partial \nu \tau \epsilon$. Blamf. reads $\pi a \nu \delta a ́ k \rho v \tau \epsilon$.
972. $\Delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a}$, i. е. кака́.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i}$ is, we two. The neuter is used for the feminine, as in Pers. 1, $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \mu \grave{\delta} \nu \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu . .$.

 brothers exposed to view are meant. The following line expresses exactly the same thought in plainer terms.
976. Пóт $\iota a ́$ $\tau^{\prime}$ Oi̊oítov $\sigma \kappa \iota a ́$. The poet supposed Edipus dead at the time that the events of this tragedy took place,
977. $\bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta_{s}$ ris $\epsilon i$. The pronoun ris is added to the adjective $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s$, in order to bring it more prominently forward. See Jelf, § 659. 4.

Well. assigns v. 978 to Antigone, and v. 979 to Ismene ; so also Blomfield.
979. '̇k фuरâs, after his exile, or returning from his exile.
 killed (him). The use of $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ in the sense of postquam is
 eod. 454, etc.
981. $\Sigma \omega \theta$ eis, after having been saved; i. e. after having safely returned from exile.
984. $\dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu v \mu a$, agreeing with thy name; again an allusion to the name of Polyneikes.
 suffering. $\tau \rho \iota \pi a ́ \lambda \tau \omega \nu$ (from $\pi a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$, vibrare) means, literally, three times shaken, and is properly said of the lance, which, before it is hurled, is shaken to and fro in order to give it greater force. Similar is Eurip. Iph. in Taur., dimàra $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu \xi^{\prime} \dot{\phi} \eta$.
990. इ̇̀ тoìvv oî $\sigma a$ ठ $\iota a \pi \epsilon \rho \omega ิ \nu$, thou (Polyneikes) indeed understandest it, crossing over (from Peloponnesus). I have followed Schütz ; the Scholiasts understand $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ of the crossing of Acheron, which is preferred by Blomf. and Dindorf.
 taken as a continuation of v .990 . In the same manner the following line, $\Delta$ ooós, к. т. $\lambda$., is closely connected with v. 991. By $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$ in v. 993 we have, therefore, to understand Polyneikes, and by ảvтทрє́тas Eteocles.
997. $\Delta \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma \iota$, dativus commodi depending on кака́ in the preceding line. Comp. Jelf, § 602. 3.
1001. $\delta a \iota \mu о \nu \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon$. $\delta a \iota \mu o \nu a ̂ \nu, ~ t o ~ b e ~ p o s s e s s e d . ~ T h u s, ~ E u r i p . ~$ Phœn. 888, ஸ́s $\delta a \iota \mu о \nu \omega ิ \nu \tau a s ~ \kappa a ̉ \nu a \tau \rho \in ́ \psi o \nu \tau a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~$
1004. $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тaтpì $\pi$ á $\rho \epsilon v \nu \circ \nu$, woe, sleeping beside my father. By $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ (sing. for plural) we have to understand the two brothers ; Linw. refers it to Iokasta.
1005. பоко仑̂̀та каì סógavt', that which has been decreed and now exists as law. " סокоиิvтa sunt ea quæ facienda esse censuit senatus, סógaṽa quæ decrevit." Schütz.
 Spanheim ad Aristoph. Nubes, 1145, compares Josephus,
 se primates populi sequerentur.
1008. $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ фìaus катабкафаis. The digging up of the ground is called dear (to the dead), because the ancients believed that the shades of the dead could not obtain passage across the Acheron until their bodies were buried. Cfr. Virg. Æn. vi. 365 ; Horat. Carm. i. 28.
1010. 'I $1 \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi a \tau \rho \oplus \varphi^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ' $\delta$ ' öбlos, undefiled as regards the sacred rites of his country. On the genitive ip $\hat{\nu} \nu$ joined to övos, in order to define its sense more exactly, comp. Matth. § 339. The Scholiast supplies, however, im $\epsilon \rho$, and
 The construction is very uncertain.


1015. à $\nu a \sigma \tau a \tau \eta \rho \rho a$, eversor. "Proprie, qui urbe capta, populum ảvaбтaròv $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, i. e. sedes mutare cogit." Blomf. Gloss. ad Agam. 1198.
1019. $\eta_{j}^{\prime} \rho \in \iota \quad \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$, he attempted to take the city. On this use of the imperfect tense, expressing merely the attempt of the action implied in the verb, comp. Jelf, § 398. 2 ; Matth. § 497. c.

1020, 1021. Ойть . . . . à ái $\omega \omega$, thus it is resolved, that he, having been dishonorably buried by the winged birds of prey; i. e. having been devoured by them.
1022. тvцßохо́a $\chi є ь \rho \dot{\rho} \mu a \tau a$, the grave-mound raised by the hand. Absurd is the Scholiast's explanation: $\theta \dot{v} \mu a \tau a ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota ~$

1024. *Атцно . . . . ่̇кфора̂s, not honored by burial. The word $\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa \phi o \rho a ́$ is used in the same sense in Choeph. 430, סaiaus
 see Jelf, § 529, Obs. 2 and 3. Compare also Soph. Ant.


 referring to $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu o v ~ \pi \rho \rho \beta o o^{\lambda}$ ous in v . 1006, above. If we, however, read, with Blomf, т $\uparrow \hat{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon$ Ka $\delta \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu \tau^{\prime} \hat{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon$, it stands for rois $\gamma \epsilon{ }_{\epsilon}^{\dot{\epsilon}} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ov̀vt, and has no direct reference to v. 1006.
1027. *H $\mu \mu^{\prime} \tau \tau s$. . . $\theta^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda$, even if nobody else should be willing (although I hope there will be some); for this is expressed by the subjunctive. Comp. Jelf, $\$ 851$.
 risk and bury my brother. The attraction in this passage is explained by Kühner: " $\theta$ áqaáa is attracted from its construction after $\beta a \lambda \omega$ with $\grave{\epsilon} \mu a \dot{v} \eta \nu \quad$ understood, to the nominative $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ implied therein." Comp. Jelf, $\$ 689$, Obs. Blomf.

1031. $\Delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ k o l v o ̀ ̀ ~ \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu o \nu . ~ S i m i l a r ~ i s ~ P r o m . ~ 39, ~$

 after äкоуть understand полиveiкєє, and translate, Therefore, $O$ soul, willing with him unwilling, living with him dead, in sisterly spirit, share his woes. The woes of Polyneikes, which he unwillingly endures, are his death ; Antigone, therefore, exhorts her soul willingly to expose herself to the danger of joining her brother in his woes, viz. death. On the construction of kov̀vvє, comp. Jelf, § 588. 3 and § 535.
1036. пácovтai (from пatéopaı), shall devour. Another reading is onáoovat, shall tear in pieces, which is supported by other passages in the dramatists ; e. g. Eurip. Bacch. 339, etc. - $\mu \grave{\eta}$ סoкпбáт $\tau \iota v$ ', let no one think so. Thus, Prom. 332, $\mu \eta \partial \delta_{\epsilon} \sigma o \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma a ́ \tau \omega$.

1038．т $\hat{\varphi} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \epsilon$ construe with кó入 $\pi \omega$ ．The object after $\phi \epsilon ́-$ povaa is táфò kai kata⿱кафа́s，understood from the preced－ ing verse．

1040．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \varphi(\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{e} . \tau \iota \nu \grave{)}$ ）$\delta o ́ \xi \eta \eta \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ，let no one think to the contrary．

1042．$\mu \grave{\eta} \beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon . \quad \beta ı a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~ \tau i ́, ~ t o ~ d o ~ a n y ~ t h i n g ~$ in opposition to some one．

1045．T $\rho a ́ \chi v \nu$＇，make it，i．e．call it severe．Schol．A．，


1047．＂H $\mathrm{\eta} \eta$ ．．．$\theta$ өois，already he is dishonored by the gods．－$\tau \grave{a}$ тov̂ठє is the same as oìтos，and où $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \tau a \iota$ stands for $\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \tau a \iota$ ．Dind．thinks the verse corrupt； Well．puts a mark of interrogation after it，and translates， ＂Num jam a Diis hic dehonestatus est＂；and this is pre－ ferred by Linwood，as ov in the following line seems to answer to a preceding question．We may，however，trans－ late ov in v． 1048 by not so；in this case，the sense of the line will be，Not so，what you say is not true；he was not dishonored by the gods，at least not before he had exposed his country to this danger．

1049．ПаӨ̀̀ข какผิs．ímò тои̂＇Eтєок $\lambda_{\text {є́ovs．}}$ Schol．
1051．＂Epıs $\pi \epsilon \rho a i \nu \epsilon$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．，Strife is the last of gods to finish a dispute ；i．e．every dispute of words will finally end in contention．Blomf．has inclosed this verse within brackets，partly on account of its proverbial character， partly because the dialogue has so far been carried on in single lines，and it is unlikely that in this instance two lines should be assigned to Antigone．

1053．＇A $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ aùróßou入os（sc． $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \nu\end{array}\right) ~ \imath \imath \sigma \theta$＇，Well，being self－ willed，be it．Thus，Soph．EEd．Col．1210，oẁs $\iota \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ；
 Comp．Valckenaer ad Eurip．Hippol． 304 ；Matth．§ 549. 6，§ 3，and Jelf，§ 682． 3.

1054．$\mu \epsilon$ रá入avðoı，haughty in triumph．Comp．above， v． 953 ．
1055. K $\hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon$ 'E $\rho \imath v \in \epsilon$, the destructive Furies. The Furies of CEdipus were properly called K $\bar{\eta} \rho \epsilon s$, because they caused the violent death of his two sons. Comp. Göttling ad Hes. Theog. 217; Scut. Her. 249.
1056. $\pi \rho^{\prime} \mu \nu 0 \theta \epsilon \nu$. Read $\pi \rho^{\prime} \mu \nu 0 \theta \epsilon \nu$, and comp. above, v. 71.
1057. Tí $\pi$ á $\theta \omega$; What shall I suffer? The aorist subjunctive is in such questions very frequently used with the force of the future indicative. Cfr. Eurip. Hec. 614 ; Suppl. 257 ; and especially Soph. Trach 959 (Wunder),

 and Choeph. 82.
1058. пิ̂s $\tau \lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$; How shall I have the heart?
1065. Eîఠ九. Schol., єis "Aıסov порєv́єтаı. -Tis ầv тav̂тa $\pi i \theta_{0}$ ito ; Who would obey such injunctions? lit. Who would be persuaded as to such things ?
1066. The Chorus now divide themselves into two parts; one half resolve to assist Antigone in the burial of Polyneikes, whilst the other half consider it their duty to be obedient to the decree of the people. - $\Delta \rho a ́ t \omega ~ \tau \iota \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ к а i ~$
 v. 922), governs a double accusative. Cfr. Jelf, § 583. 61.
1068. ${ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \mu \epsilon i$ is $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ aî $\delta \epsilon$, we here on this side.
 grief is common to this race (i. e. both brothers, as sons of OEdipus, have equal claims on our mourning), and the state sanctions justice differently at different times (i. e. varies in her maxims of justice).
1073. äّ $\mu a \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon\left(\tau \hat{Q}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \tau \epsilon о \kappa \lambda \epsilon \bar{\imath}\right)$, sc. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \epsilon \nu$.
1075. Мєтà $\gamma$ à $\rho$ нáкараs (i. e. тov̀s $\theta$ eovis), for next to the blessed gods. $\mu$ áкарєs is often used for $\theta є o i$. Comp. Eurip. Hec. 644, крìvє трıббàs $\mu a \kappa a ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \pi a i ̂ o a s ~ a ̉ v \eta ̀ \rho ~ \beta o v i \tau a s ; ~ F r a g m . ~$


from being destroyed. $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$ vév is used in the sense of фи入átтelv.
1078. à $\lambda \lambda$ одат $\omega \hat{\nu}$ кن́parı $\phi \omega \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$, by the wave of foreign

 and our note to v . 758.

## METRICALKEY．

1－77．$=\perp \smile,=1,-,=1 \smile \ldots$ Iamb．trim． acatalect．

78． $1 \ldots,-1$

87－93．Versus dochmiaci asynart．
 dim．cum cretico．

96．ー ト，ー cum iambo præmisso．

97．Dochm．dim．
100．$=\perp \_\ldots,=\perp \_-,=1 \_\ldots$ ．Iamb．trim． acatalect．

101．～ 1 ～．．Dochmius．
102．Dochm．dim．
 acatalect．
 chius．
 dochm．

108－116．Dochm．monometri et dimetri．

120. = $\because=-$, - 1 - ... Antispast.
121. -1 - -, ぃ 1 - -. Dochm. dim.
122. Idem.
123. Antispast ( $=120$ ).
124. Dochm. dim.
125. Idem.
126. Antispast $(=120)$.
127. Dochm. dim.
130. Idem.
131. - $\doteq=$ - - .. Dochm. monom.
132. Antispast ( $=120$ ).
133. Dochm. dim.
135. Idem.
136. $=\perp-\_,=\perp-\ldots, \perp \_=$. Iamb. trim. catalect.
140. Antispast.
141. Dochm. dim.
142. Idem.
143. Antispast.
145. Dochm. dim.
146. Idem.
147. $-1, \perp \smile \smile, \cup \perp \ldots$ Choriamb.
148. 1 ~ _ _ .. Ithyphall.
150. Dochm. monom.
151. Dochm. dim.
152. $-\smile \smile \div=$. Dactyl.
153. Dochm. dim.
154. Dochm. monom.

155-158. Dochm. dim.
159. 1 - $=$. Dactyl.
160. Dochm. dim.
161. Dochm. trimeter (каі̀ $\Delta \iota o ́ \theta \in \nu$ * * * * $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu o ́ к \rho а \nu \tau о \nu, ~$ к. т. $\lambda$.).
162. Dochm. dim.


166．$\smile \perp-\ldots, \perp-$－Iambo－creticus．
167．$-1-\ldots, \perp \smile-, 1$－．Iambo－creticus．
168．」－－，」 し－．．Cretici．
169．$-1 \div$－$\div$－- ．Dochm．cum cretico．
170．乞～～－ 1 ～－．Cretici．
171．Dochm．dim．
172．Dochm．monom．
173．$-\perp \backsim \_, \perp$ ．Iambico－creticus．

176．」－＿，レ し＿．Creticus．
177．$\ldots \ldots=,=1$ ．I Iamb．dim．acatalect．


181．＝$\doteq \perp$－．．Dochm．monom．
182－202．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
203．Dochm．dim．
204．Idem．
205．Idem．
 dochmio．

207．－$\xlongequal[=]{=}$－，－＿＿．．．Antispast．
208－210．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
$211-215=203-207$ ．
216－218．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
219－221．－$!\stackrel{1}{=}$－,$=\frac{1}{=}$－－．Dochm．dim．
222．$-\sim \smile, ~ \perp 1$－．Dact．et dochmius．
223－225．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
$226-229=219-222$ ．
230－232．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
233．Dochm．dim．
234．Idem．
235． 1 ，$\xlongequal[-]{\perp}$－．．Troch．et dochm．
236－238．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
$239-241=233-235$ ．
242－286．Iamb．trim．acatalect．

287．$-\cup \smile, \perp, \ldots, 1$－．．Iambico－creticus．
288．$\perp \smile-1$＿．Ithyphall．
289．－レ－ぃ，ー ー－．．Antispast．
290．$-\perp \sim \ldots, \perp \_$．Iambico－creticus．
291．Idem．
 creticus．

293．$\llcorner ー ー \smile レ$－．Ithyphall．
295． 1 －$\perp ー, ~ 1 \simeq$ ．Dactyl．
296．Idem．
297．Idem．
298．Idem．

300．$\perp \sim$ ，$-\smile$－．．Dactyl．
301．$\perp \smile \smile \ldots, \perp \_$＿．Duo choriambi．
302．$-\perp$－，$\llcorner$＿．Dipodia iamb．cum cretico．
303．$\perp \smile-\ldots, \perp$ ．．Choriamb．
$304-320=287-303$ ．

cum basi bisyllaba．
325．$\perp \sim \sim-,-\perp \ldots$ Clausula choriamb．


328．$\perp \ldots, \perp \smile \smile \ldots$ Choriamb．cum basi bisyllaba．
329．～－1～～＿－＿．Choriamb．
330．～- ，乞 1 乙－－－．Iamb．
 basi trisyllaba．

332．$\simeq \stackrel{1}{=}$ ，$-1 \ldots$ ．．．Antispast． $333-344=321-332$ ．
 cum molosso．

347．Dochm．dim．（ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ v \delta ̊ \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ * ~ \delta o \rho ı ̀ ~ \mu a i v \epsilon \tau a l) . ~$
348．$\perp$＿$\perp$－， 1 ．．Dactyl．
349．ㄴ－－－．．Dactyl．

350． 1 乙 ，，－＿．．Clausula choriamb．
351．$\perp \smile \ldots, \perp \smile \ldots \backsim \perp$＿．．Troch．trim．cata－ lect．

352． 1 ＿＿－ 1 —＿．．Troch．dim．acatalect．
353． 1 乙－， 1 －．．Troch．dim．catalect．
354．Idem．
 lect．

356．～ノ＿－ー ー－－－－．Antispast．
$357-368=345-356$.
369－416．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
417－419．Dochm．dim．

421．ー－－－－－．Ithyphall．
422－451．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
$452-456=417-421$ ．
457－480．Iamb．trim．acatalect．

482．Dochm．dim．
483．Idem．

 sula choriamb．

486－520．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
$521-525=481-485$.
526－562．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
563．Dochm．dim．
564．Idem．
565．－$\doteq \stackrel{1}{=}$－，ー ー－－－Antispast．
566．$\stackrel{\prime}{\leftrightharpoons}$－$=$－$\perp$－－－．Dochmius cum pen－ them．trochaico．

567．1 —＿，－＿．．Clausula choriamb．
568－625．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
$626-630=563-567$ ．
631－685．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
686. Dochm. dim.
687. Idem.
688. ~ 1 - _ - _. Iamb.

689-691. Iamb. trim. acatalect.
$692-694=686-688$.
695-697. Iamb. trim. acatalect.
698-700. Dochm. dim.
701. - $\doteq$ - -. Dochm. a fine syllaba auctior.

702-704. Iamb. trim. acatalect.
$705-708=698-701$.
709-719. Iamb. trim. acatalect.
720-725. Ionici a minore cum clausula choriambica a dactylo incipiente.
$727-732=720-725$.
 troch.
735. 1 乙 - , $\perp$ _ .. Choriambico-troch.
736. 1 - - , - 1 - .. Choriamb.
737. - 1 , ー 1 - - .. Iamb.
738. $-\perp-\ldots,-\ldots$. Iambico-troch.
739. Idem.
 bico-troch.
$742-749=733-741$.

751. , $-\smile \smile, ~ \smile \smile 1$.. Dactyl. cum anacrusi.
752. $, \perp \smile \smile, \perp \smile \smile, 1$. Dactyl.
753. 1 - -1 . Troch.



757. $\perp$ - - _ . Ithyphall.
$758-767=750-757$.
 et troch.
769. -1 - 1 - .. Iamb. dim. acatalect.
770. Dochmius.
771. 1 - - _ , _ .. Clausula choriamb.
$772-777=766-771$.
778. Dochmius.

780. Idem.
781. 1 ~ - ㄴ - ... Dactyl.
782. 1 ~ー - ఒ - ~. Dactyl.
783. _ - _ . - .. Dactyl.
784. _ $\doteq$
$785-791=778-784$.
792-821. Iamb. trim. acatalect.
822-831. Anapæst.
832. 1 — — 1 — _ . . Troch. dim. acatalect.
833. 1 _ _ 1 ~ _. Troch. dim. catalect.
 lect.
835. ~ 1 ~ - - . Iambico-troch.
836. 1 ~ - 1 - _. Troch.

1 _ _ _ _ .. Iamb. tetram. cum troch. dimetro catalect. compositus.
$840-847=832-839$.
848-860. Pars carminis nondum satis emendata, ex numeris iambicis composita duobusque versis antispasticis (854 et 860).
854. ~

861-873. Anapæst.
874. — - —, 1 - _. Iambico-troch. (catalect.).
875. $-1, \ldots, \smile \perp \smile \ldots, \smile \perp \smile \ldots$ Iamb. trim. acatalect.
 clausula choriamb.
 lect．

878．＿ 1 －－$-\smile$－＿．Anapæst．dim．catalect． $880-885=874-876$ ．

88\％．Choriamb．dim．hypercatalect．
888－889（ $\left.\Delta \imath^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega \nu v ่ \mu \omega \nu \ldots \pi o ́ \tau \mu \omega\right)=900-910$ ．


902．Idem．
903．－$\stackrel{1}{=}$－．．Dochm．
904．～ $1, ~\llcorner\smile \smile$ ．Choriamb．
905．Idem．
906．」 し－ー，レ－Choriamb．

908．1～＿－，」－．．Troch．
909．$\left\llcorner\perp \_\perp \_\right.$－．Amphibrach．cum cretico．
 Iamb．
 912．Idem．
913．～$-\ldots, 1$＿．Iambico－troch．
914．Idem．

916．$\perp, \perp \smile \smile \_, \perp \_\_\ldots, \ldots$ ．Choriamb．
917－921．Octo choriambi cum catalexi．
$922-931=911-921$ ．

935．」 乞－＿，1＿．．Duo cretici．

937．＿－＿＿＿＿＿．Clausula choriamb．

939．－ 1 」－．Dochmius．
940．～


943．Idem．

946．-1 ＿＿，$-\perp \ldots$ ．Iamb．
$947-960=934-946$ ．



964． 1 — -1 －．Iamb．dim．acatalect．
965．－ 1 ～＿－－I Iamb．

967． 1 ＿－- ＿．Iamb．dim．acatalect．
968．Idem．
969．Idem．
970．～$\xlongequal[=]{-}$－．．Dochm．
971．－$\doteq$－．．Dochm．

973．乞 1 ～－－ 1 ～－．Idem．
974．乞＿＿＿＿＿．．Iambico－troch．
975．Antispast．trim．brachycatalect．
976． 1 ～— — —－＿．Choriamb．
 lect．
 979．乞 1 ～－ 1 ～．．Iamb．dim．acatalect．
980．Idem．
981．Idem．
$982=961$ ．

984．～～～～～～～－（？）．

Iamb．dim．acatalect．
 $987-989=975-977$ ．
990．～$-\ldots \backsim \smile \perp \smile$ ．．Iamb．dim．acatalect．

991．ー ー ー ー ー－．Iamb．dim．acatalect． 992．Idem．
993．Idem．
$994=986$ ．
995．～－～＿＿～．．＇Iamb．dim．acatalect．
 dochmio．

997． - －- －－—．Iamb．penthem．cum iamb．monometro．

998．Idem．


1001．〕－ 1 －－ 1 －－．Trochaic．
 cum troch．hephthem．

1004．$-\perp \smile, ~ \perp \smile-\smile \div \smile-(=1002)$ ．
1005－1053．Iamb．trim．acatalect．
1054－1079．Anapæstici．


[^0]:    * Cfr. Plutarch. Symp. vii. 9.

[^1]:    167. $\tau^{\prime} \hat{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon \circ \iota \tau_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon a i ́ ~}^{\tau} \epsilon$, unfailing.
[^2]:    * In Smith's Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, the writer of the article "Pæan" says: "The pæan was always of a joyous nature, and its tune and sounds expressed hope and confidence." Of this only the second half is true. The pæan was of a propitiatory nature (cfi. e.g. Hom. Il. i. 473), and was sung, not only when dangers had passed by, but also when they were approaching, or even when those

